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## SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

## Financial Results

Table 1

## Earnings

(\$ in Thousands, except per share data)

|  | GAAP <br> Earnings | Core Earnings | Average <br> Diluted <br> Shares <br> Outstanding | $\begin{gathered} \text { GAAP } \\ \text { EPS } \end{gathered}$ | Core EPS | GAAP <br> Earnings / <br> Average <br> GAAP <br> Common Equity <br> (Annualized) | Core <br> Earnings / Average Common <br> Core Equity <br> (Annualized) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ 11,219 | \$ 10,887 | 14,077,405 | \$ 0.80 | \$0.77 | 14.2\% | 14.2\% |
| Q2: 2002 | 13,802 | 12,546 | 15,747,048 | 0.88 | 0.80 | 15.4\% | 14.5\% |
| Q3: 2002 | 14,306 | 12,831 | 16,240,194 | 0.88 | 0.79 | 14.1\% | 14.3\% |
| Q4: 2002 | 14,566 | 13,183 | 16,529,075 | 0.88 | 0.80 | 13.7\% | 14.2\% |
| 2002 | 53,893 | 49,447 | 15,658,623 | 3.44 | 3.16 | 14.3\% | 14.3\% |
| Q1: 2003 | 14,932 | 14,962 | 16,983,513 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 12.9\% | 15.4\% |
| Q2: 2003 | 22,212 | 20,761 | 18,433,165 | 1.21 | 1.13 | 17.6\% | 19.4\% |
| Q3: 2003 | 24,636 | 24,481 | 19,018,487 | 1.30 | 1.29 | 17.8\% | 21.4\% |
| Q4: 2003 | 69,933 | 30,485 | 19,800,863 | 3.53 | 1.54 | 50.0\% | 26.0\% |
| 2003 | 131,713 | $\mathbf{9 0 , 6 8 9}$ | 18,586,649 | 7.09 | 4.88 | 25.3\% | 20.8\% |
| Q1: 2004 | 50,791 | 34,783 | 20,398,644 | 2.49 | 1.71 | 34.8\% | 27.5\% |
| Q2: 2004 | 55,088 | 37,029 | 21,325,075 | 2.58 | 1.74 | 33.2\% | 25.4\% |

See Tables $\mathbf{5} \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{6}$ for a description of core earnings and core equity and a related reconciliation of GAAP earnings to core earnings and GAAP equity to core equity.
Table 2
Net Interest Income
(\$ in Thousands)

|  | Total <br> Interest <br> Income | Total Interest Expense | Net <br> Interest <br> Income | Earning <br> Asset <br> Yield | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Funds } \end{gathered}$ | Interest <br> Rate <br> Spread | Interest <br> Rate <br> Margin | Net <br> Interest <br> Income/ <br> Average <br> GAAP <br> Equity | Net <br> Interest <br> Income/ <br> Average Core Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ 30,716 | \$ 15,602 | \$ 15,114 | 4.92\% | 2.82\% | 2.10\% | 2.36\% | 17.69\% | 18.13\% |
| Q2: 2002 | 36,252 | 18,489 | 17,763 | 4.71\% | 2.69\% | 2.02\% | 2.25\% | 18.41\% | 19.12\% |
| Q3: 2002 | 42,093 | 24,291 | 17,802 | 4.07\% | 2.57\% | 1.51\% | 1.68\% | 16.47\% | 18.51\% |
| Q4: 2002 | 54,155 | 33,323 | 20,832 | 3.59\% | 2.35\% | 1.24\% | 1.35\% | 18.50\% | 20.92\% |
| 2002 | 163,216 | 91,705 | 71,511 | 4.13\% | 2.54\% | 1.60\% | 1.77\% | 17.75\% | 19.21\% |
| Q1: 2003 | 61,125 | 36,933 | 24,192 | 3.31\% | 2.10\% | 1.21\% | 1.28\% | 19.79\% | 23.33\% |
| Q2: 2003 | 71,426 | 41,802 | 29,624 | 3.35\% | 2.05\% | 1.30\% | 1.36\% | 23.45\% | 27.63\% |
| Q3: 2003 | 90,163 | 55,532 | 34,631 | 3.03\% | 1.92\% | 1.11\% | 1.14\% | 25.07\% | 30.23\% |
| Q4: 2003 | 108,262 | 68,594 | 39,668 | 2.79\% | 1.81\% | 0.98\% | 1.01\% | 28.38\% | 33.77\% |
| 2003 | 330,976 | 202,861 | 128,115 | 3.05\% | 1.93\% | 1.12\% | 1.16\% | 24.32\% | 28.91\% |
| Q1: 2004 | 124,837 | 79,577 | 45,260 | 2.75\% | 1.79\% | 0.96\% | 0.98\% | 30.98\% | 35.75\% |
| Q2: 2004 | 137,979 | 90,359 | 47,620 | 2.72\% | 1.82\% | 0.90\% | 0.92\% | 28.69\% | 32.62\% |

Table 3
Interest Expense
(\$ in Thousands)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Obligations } \end{gathered}$ | Total Interest Expense | Total Cost Of Funds |  | Average nsolidated set-Backed rites (ABS) Issued |  | nterest <br> Expense <br> On <br> solidated <br> Issued | Cost of Funds of Consolidated ABS Issued | Average Short Term Debt |  | Interest Expense On Short Term Debt |  | Cost of Funds of Short Term Debt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ 2,211,927 | \$ 15,602 | 2.82\% | \$ | 1,280,503 | \$ | 10,661 | 3.33\% |  | 931,424 | \$ | 4,941 | 2.12\% |
| Q2: 2002 | 2,752,215 | 18,489 | 2.69\% |  | 1,806,884 |  | 12,894 | 2.85\% |  | 945,331 |  | 5,595 | 2.37\% |
| Q3: 2002 | 3,781,717 | 24,291 | 2.57\% |  | 2,893,682 |  | 18,893 | 2.61\% |  | 888,035 |  | 5,398 | 2.43\% |
| Q4: 2002 | 5,680,238 | 33,323 | 2.35\% |  | 5,018,353 |  | 28,945 | 2.31\% |  | 661,885 |  | 4,378 | 2.65\% |
| 2002 | 3,616,506 | 91,705 | 2.54\% |  | 2,760,490 |  | 71,393 | 2.59\% |  | 856,016 |  | 20,312 | 2.37\% |
| Q1: 2003 | 7,036,183 | 36,933 | 2.10\% |  | 6,637,053 |  | 34,993 | 2.11\% |  | 399,130 |  | 1,940 | 1.94\% |
| Q2: 2003 | 8,160,393 | 41,802 | 2.05\% |  | 7,861,252 |  | 40,163 | 2.04\% |  | 299,141 |  | 1,639 | 2.19\% |
| Q3: 2003 | 11,541,894 | 55,532 | 1.92\% |  | 11,197,470 |  | 53,861 | 1.92\% |  | 344,424 |  | 1,671 | 1.94\% |
| Q4: 2003 | 15,119,594 | 68,594 | 1.81\% |  | 14,708,963 |  | 66,806 | 1.82\% |  | 410,631 |  | 1,788 | 1.74\% |
| 2003 | 10,489,614 | 202,861 | 1.93\% |  | 10,126,303 |  | 195,823 | 1.93\% |  | 363,311 |  | 7,038 | 1.94\% |
| Q1: 2004 | 17,747,434 | 79,577 | 1.79\% |  | 17,299,503 |  | 77,006 | 1.78\% |  | 447,931 |  | 2,571 | 2.30\% |
| Q2: 2004 | 19,890,064 | 90,359 | 1.82\% |  | 19,350,833 |  | 87,869 | 1.82\% |  | 539,231 |  | 2,490 | 1.85\% |

## Financial Results (continued)

Table 4
Net Interest Income on an "At Risk" Assets and Recourse Debt Basis (\$ in Thousands)

|  | Interest <br> Income On "At Risk" Assets | Interest <br> Expense <br> On <br> Recourse Debt | Net <br> Interest Income on "At Risk" Basis | $\begin{gathered} \text { "At Risk" } \\ \text { Asset } \\ \text { Yield } \end{gathered}$ | Recourse <br> Cost <br> of <br> Funds | "At Risk" <br> Asset <br> Interest <br> Rate <br> Spread | "At Risk" <br> Asset <br> Interest <br> Rate <br> Margin | Net <br> Interest <br> Income/ <br> Average <br> Core <br> Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ 20,055 | \$ 4,941 | \$ 15,114 | 6.30\% | 2.12\% | 4.18\% | 4.75\% | 18.13\% |
| Q2: 2002 | 23,358 | 5,595 | 17,763 | 7.02\% | 2.37\% | 4.65\% | 5.34\% | 19.12\% |
| Q3: 2002 | 23,200 | 5,398 | 17,802 | 7.03\% | 2.43\% | 4.60\% | 5.39\% | 18.51\% |
| Q4: 2002 | 25,210 | 4,378 | 20,832 | 9.07\% | 2.65\% | 6.42\% | 7.49\% | 20.92\% |
| 2002 | 91,823 | 20,312 | 71,511 | 7.29\% | 2.37\% | 4.92\% | 5.68\% | 19.21\% |
| Q1: 2003 | 26,132 | 1,940 | 24,192 | 11.77\% | 1.94\% | 9.82\% | 10.89\% | 23.33\% |
| Q2: 2003 | 31,263 | 1,639 | 29,624 | 15.54\% | 2.19\% | 13.35\% | 14.73\% | 27.63\% |
| Q3: 2003 | 36,302 | 1,671 | 34,631 | 16.19\% | 1.94\% | 14.25\% | 15.44\% | 30.23\% |
| Q4: 2003 | 41,456 | 1,788 | 39,668 | 17.10\% | 1.74\% | 15.36\% | 16.36\% | 33.77\% |
| 2003 | 135,153 | 7,038 | 128,115 | 15.18\% | 1.94\% | 13.25\% | 14.39\% | 28.91\% |
| Q1: 2004 | 47,831 | 2,571 | 45,260 | 18.53\% | 2.30\% | 16.24\% | 17.54\% | 35.75\% |
| Q2: 2004 | 50,110 | 2,490 | 47,620 | 16.66\% | 1.85\% | 14.81\% | 15.83\% | 32.62\% |

See Table 7 for a reconciliation of GAAP interest income to net interest income on an "at risk" basis and GAAP interest expense to recourse cost of funds. See Table 8 for a description of recourse assets and recourse debt and a related reconciliation to reported GAAP assets and reported GAAP debt.

Table 5
Core Earnings (1)
(\$ in Thousands)

|  | GAAP <br> Earnings |  | sss: Net alized \& realized Market Value Gains/ osses) |  | ess: <br> riable <br> tock <br> ption <br> uation <br> stments | Less: <br> Deferred Tax Benefit |  | Core Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ 11,219 | \$ | 875 | \$ | (543) | \$ | - | \$ 10,887 |
| Q2: 2002 | 13,802 |  | 2,045 |  | (789) |  | - | 12,546 |
| Q3: 2002 | 14,306 |  | 730 |  | 745 |  | - | 12,831 |
| Q4: 2002 | 14,566 |  | 1,461 |  | (78) |  | - | 13,183 |
| 2002 | 53,893 |  | 5,111 |  | (665) |  | - | 49,447 |
| Q1: 2003 | 14,932 |  | 918 |  | (948) |  | - | 14,962 |
| Q2: 2003 | 22,212 |  | 2,941 |  | $(1,490)$ |  | - | 20,761 |
| Q3: 2003 | 24,636 |  | 668 |  | (513) |  | - | 24,481 |
| Q4: 2003 | 69,933 |  | 42,149 |  | $(2,701)$ |  | - | 30,485 |
| 2003 | 131,713 |  | 46,676 |  | $(5,652)$ |  | - | $\mathbf{9 0 , 6 8 9}$ |
| Q1: 2004 | 50,791 |  | 17,437 |  | $(1,429)$ |  | - | 34,783 |
| Q2: 2004 | 55,088 |  | 12,258 |  | 621 |  | 80 | 37,029 |

(1) Core earnings is not a measure of earnings in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). It is calculated as GAAP earnings from ongoing operations less net recognized gains (losses) and valuation adjustments (which include gains and losses from sales and calls and valuation adjustments on certain assets hedges) and deferred tax benefits. Management believes that core earnings provides relevant and useful information regarding its results from operations in addition to GAAP measures of performance. This is, in part, because market valuation adjustments on only a portion of the company's assets and stock options and none of its liabilities are recognized through the income statement under GAAP and thus GAAP valuation adjustments may not be fully indicative of changes in market values on the balance sheet as a whole or a reliable guide to current operating performance. Furthermore, gains or losses realized upon sales of assets vary based on portfolio management decisions; a sale of an asset for a gain or a loss may or may not affect ongoing earnings from operations. Because all companies and analysts do not calculate non-GAAP measures such as core earnings in the same fashion, core earnings as calculated by the company may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

## Financial Results (continued)

Table 6
Core Equity (2)
(\$ in Thousands)

|  | Total <br> GAAP <br> Equity | Balance Sheet Mark-to-Mkt Adjustments |  | Core Equity | Average GAAP Equity |  | verage <br> alance <br> Sheet <br> k-to-Mkt <br> ustments | Average <br> Core <br> Equity | Average <br> Preferred Equity | Average Common Equity | Average Common Core Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1: 2002 | \$364,444 | \$ | 11,015 | \$353,429 | \$341,766 | \$ | 8,360 | \$333,406 | \$ 26,517 | \$315,249 | \$306,889 |
| Q2: 2002 | 417,930 |  | 35,826 | 382,104 | 385,887 |  | 14,213 | 371,674 | 26,517 | 359,370 | 345,157 |
| Q3: 2002 | 445,728 |  | 54,148 | 391,580 | 432,310 |  | 47,705 | 384,605 | 26,517 | 405,793 | 358,088 |
| Q4: 2002 | 473,033 |  | 69,146 | 403,887 | 450,464 |  | 52,200 | 398,264 | 26,517 | 423,947 | 371,747 |
| 2002 | 473,033 |  | 69,146 | 403,887 | 402,986 |  | 30,786 | 372,200 | 26,517 | 376,469 | 345,683 |
| Q1: 2003 | 485,402 |  | 68,077 | 417,325 | 489,086 |  | 74,231 | 414,855 | 26,517 | 462,569 | 388,338 |
| Q2: 2003 | 547,176 |  | 108,409 | 438,767 | 505,373 |  | 76,477 | 428,896 | - | 505,373 | 428,896 |
| Q3: 2003 | 566,134 |  | 90,592 | 475,542 | 552,645 |  | 94,341 | 458,304 | - | 552,645 | 458,304 |
| Q4: 2003 | 553,328 |  | 82,179 | 471,149 | 559,073 |  | 89,216 | 469,857 | - | 559,073 | 469,857 |
| 2003 | 553,328 |  | 82,179 | 471,149 | 526,808 |  | 83,637 | 443,171 | 6,538 | 520,270 | 436,633 |
| Q1: 2004 | 608,122 |  | 78,517 | 529,605 | 584,385 |  | 77,940 | 506,445 | - | 584,385 | 506,445 |
| Q2: 2004 | 757,940 |  | 111,221 | 646,719 | 663,873 |  | 79,998 | 583,875 | - | 663,873 | 583,875 |

(2) Core equity is calculated as GAAP equity less unrealized gains and losses on certain assets and hedges. Management believes measurements based on core equity provide relevant and useful information regarding its results of operations in addition to GAAP measures of performance. This is, in part, because market valuation adjustments reflected in GAAP equity represent unrealized gains and losses on a portion of the balance sheet only and may not be reflective of the equity available to invest in operations. Because all companies and analysts do not calculate non-GAAP measures in the same fashion, core equity and ratios using core equity as calculated by the company may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

Table 7
Reconciliation of GAAP Interest Income and Interest Expense to Interest Income on "At Risk" Assets and
Interest Expense on Recourse Debt
(\$ in Thousands)

|  | Total Interest Income | Interest Income On NonRecourse Assets | Interest <br> Income On <br> "At Risk" Assets | Total Interest Expense | Interest <br> Expense On <br> Consolidated <br> ABS Issued |  | Interest <br> Expense On <br> Recourse Debt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ 30,716 | \$ 10,661 | \$ 20,055 | \$ 15,602 | \$ | 10,661 | \$ 4,941 |
| Q2: 2002 | 36,252 | 12,894 | 23,358 | 18,489 |  | 12,894 | 5,595 |
| Q3: 2002 | 42,093 | 18,893 | 23,200 | 24,291 |  | 18,893 | 5,398 |
| Q4: 2002 | 54,155 | 28,945 | 25,210 | 33,323 |  | 28,945 | 4,378 |
| 2002 | 163,216 | 71,393 | 91,823 | 91,705 |  | 71,393 | 20,312 |
| Q1: 2003 | 61,125 | 34,993 | 26,132 | 36,933 |  | 34,993 | 1,940 |
| Q2: 2003 | 71,426 | 40,163 | 31,263 | 41,802 |  | 40,163 | 1,639 |
| Q3: 2003 | 90,163 | 53,861 | 36,302 | 55,532 |  | 53,861 | 1,671 |
| Q4: 2003 | 108,262 | 66,806 | 41,456 | 68,594 |  | 66,806 | 1,788 |
| 2003 | 330,976 | 195,823 | 135,153 | 202,861 |  | 195,823 | 7,038 |
| Q1: 2004 | 124,837 | 77,006 | 47,831 | 79,577 |  | 77,006 | 2,571 |
| Q2: 2004 | 137,979 | 87,869 | 50,110 | 90,359 |  | 87,869 | 2,490 |

Table 8

## Leverage Ratios

## Equity to Assets and Debt to Equity

(\$ in thousands)

|  | Reported Assets | NonRecourse Assets | (3) Recourse Assets | GAAP <br> Equity to Reported Assets | GAAP <br> Equity to Recourse Assets | Reported Debt | NonRecourse Debt | (3) Recourse Debt | Reported Debt to GAAP Equity | Recourse Debt to GAAP Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ 2,739,838 | \$ 1,252,881 | \$1,486,957 | 13\% | 25\% | \$ 2,356,972 | \$ 1,234,459 | \$1,122,513 | 6.5 | 3.1 |
| Q2: 2002 | 3,689,782 | 2,266,849 | 1,422,933 | 11\% | 29\% | 3,246,603 | 2,241,600 | 1,005,003 | 7.8 | 2.4 |
| Q3: 2002 | 5,674,302 | 4,394,493 | 1,279,809 | 8\% | 35\% | 5,199,362 | 4,365,281 | 834,081 | 11.7 | 1.9 |
| Q4: 2002 | 7,007,772 | 6,435,025 | 572,747 | 7\% | 83\% | 6,496,734 | 6,397,020 | 99,714 | 13.7 | 0.2 |
| 2002 | 7,007,772 | 6,435,025 | 572,747 | 7\% | 83\% | 6,496,734 | 6,397,020 | 99,714 | 13.7 | 0.2 |
| Q1: 2003 | 8,172,063 | 7,210,944 | 961,119 | 6\% | 51\% | 7,646,408 | 7,170,691 | 475,717 | 15.8 | 1.0 |
| Q2: 2003 | 10,356,052 | 9,591,192 | 764,860 | 5\% | 72\% | 9,760,315 | 9,542,631 | 217,684 | 17.8 | 0.4 |
| Q3: 2003 | 14,901,374 | 13,835,517 | 1,065,857 | 4\% | 53\% | 14,281,936 | 13,782,213 | 499,723 | 25.2 | 0.9 |
| Q4: 2003 | 17,626,770 | 16,837,005 | 789,765 | 3\% | 70\% | 17,019,023 | 16,782,586 | 236,437 | 30.8 | 0.4 |
| 2003 | 17,626,770 | 16,837,005 | 789,765 | 3\% | 70\% | 17,019,023 | 16,782,586 | 236,437 | 30.8 | 0.4 |
| Q2: 2004 | 19,543,573 | 18,657,541 | 886,032 | 3\% | 69\% | 18,860,940 | 18,583,030 | 277,910 | 31.0 | 0.5 |
| Q1: 2004 | 21,962,372 | 20,934,548 | 1,027,824 | 3\% | 74\% | 21,140,086 | 20,870,202 | 269,884 | 27.9 | 0.4 |

(3) Assets that have been sold to non-recourse securitization trusts and asset-backed securities issued that are non-recourse to Redwood are reported on our balance sheet. Only our net investments in the equity of these trusts constitute "at-risk" assets to us. If we had used different terms or forms of securitization these transactions may have been accounted for as sales. With sales accounting, our reported balance sheet (both assets and liabilities) would be substantially smaller (although the economics of the transaction and our exposure to risks would be unchanged). If we structured the securitizations differently and therefore accounted for them as sales rather than financings, our asset-based margins would have been different and, in some respects, reported on a basis that is more comparable to some other financial institutions. Our interest rate spread and our interest rate margin would have been higher and would show a positive trend in recent quarters. Our reported debt-to-equity ratio would have been substantially lower. Table 4 above presents our interest income and interest expense as if we had structured our securitizations to obtain sales accounting treatment rather than financing accounting treatment; effectively, on an "at-risk" basis for assets and on a recourse basis for liabilities.

Table 9
Reconciliation of Average Reported Assets to Average "At Risk" Assets and
Average Reported Debt to Average Recourse Debt

## (\$ in Thousands)

|  | Average Reported Assets | Average <br> Non- <br> Recourse <br> Assets | Average "At Risk" Assets | Average Reported Debt | Average Consolidated ABS Issued | Average Recourse Debt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ 2,564,847 | \$ 1,291,657 | \$1,273,190 | \$ 2,211,927 | \$ 1,280,503 | \$931,424 |
| Q2: 2002 | 3,158,751 | 1,827,533 | 1,331,218 | 2,752,215 | 1,806,884 | 945,331 |
| Q3: 2002 | 4,234,477 | 2,914,133 | 1,320,344 | 3,781,717 | 2,893,682 | 888,035 |
| Q4: 2002 | 6,158,898 | 5,046,549 | 1,112,349 | 5,680,238 | 5,018,353 | 661,885 |
| 2002 | 4,039,652 | 2,780,650 | 1,259,002 | 3,616,506 | 2,760,490 | 856,016 |
| Q1: 2003 | 7,553,727 | 6,665,511 | 888,216 | 7,036,183 | 6,637,053 | 399,130 |
| Q2: 2003 | 8,687,371 | 7,882,857 | 804,514 | 8,160,393 | 7,861,252 | 299,141 |
| Q3: 2003 | 12,131,616 | 11,234,547 | 897,069 | 11,541,894 | 11,197,470 | 344,424 |
| Q4: 2003 | 15,758,417 | 14,788,713 | 969,704 | 15,119,594 | 14,708,963 | 410,631 |
| 2003 | 11,058,272 | 10,168,153 | $\mathbf{8 9 0 , 1 1 9}$ | 10,489,614 | 10,126,303 | 363,311 |
| Q1: 2004 | 18,385,969 | 17,353,653 | 1,032,316 | 17,747,434 | 17,299,503 | 447,931 |
| Q2: 2004 | 20,610,362 | 19,407,258 | 1,203,104 | 19,890,064 | 19,350,833 | 539,231 |

## Portfolios

Table 10
Balances \& Yields
(\$ in Thousands)

|  | At period end |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | For period ended |  |  | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Current Face | Unamortized Premium/ (Discount) |  |  | Credit Protection | Unrealized Gain/ (loss) |  | Net <br> Book <br> Value |  | Average Balance* |  | Interest Income |  |
| Total Earning Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ | 2,912,217 | \$ | $(10,332)$ |  | \$ $(200,037)$ | \$ | 11,016 |  | 2,712,864 | \$ | 2,498,565 | 30,716 | 4.92\% |
| Q2: 2002 |  | 3,854,545 |  | $(14,318)$ |  | $(212,296)$ |  | 35,827 |  | 3,663,758 |  | 3,080,165 | 36,252 | 4.71\% |
| Q3: 2002 |  | 5,829,188 |  | $(6,941)$ |  | $(227,346)$ |  | 54,148 |  | 5,649,049 |  | 4,131,870 | 42,093 | 4.07\% |
| Q4: 2002 |  | 7,158,374 |  | $(25,644)$ |  | $(233,162)$ |  | 72,226 |  | 6,971,794 |  | 6,042,042 | 54,155 | 3.59\% |
| 2002 |  | 7,158,374 |  | $(25,644)$ |  | $(233,162)$ |  | 72,226 |  | 6,971,794 |  | 3,948,399 | 163,216 | 4.13\% |
| Q1: 2003 |  | 8,356,918 |  | $(50,540)$ |  | $(244,056)$ |  | 72,282 |  | 8,134,604 |  | 7,393,566 | 61,125 | 3.31\% |
| Q2: 2003 |  | 10,471,188 |  | $(62,789)$ |  | $(216,834)$ |  | 115,903 |  | 10,307,468 |  | 8,523,925 | 71,426 | 3.35\% |
| Q3: 2003 |  | 14,969,841 |  | $(23,059)$ |  | $(191,264)$ |  | 91,992 |  | 14,847,510 |  | 11,911,196 | 90,163 | 3.03\% |
| Q4: 2003 |  | 17,657,339 |  | 21,354 |  | $(217,806)$ |  | 82,600 |  | 17,543,487 |  | 15,503,865 | 108,262 | 2.79\% |
| 2003 |  | 17,657,339 |  | 21,354 |  | $(217,806)$ |  | 82,600 |  | 17,543,487 |  | 10,858,311 | 330,976 | 3.05\% |
| Q1: 2004 |  | 19,537,316 |  | 39,166 |  | $(244,412)$ |  | 87,874 |  | 19,477,810 |  | 18,158,335 | 124,837 | 2.75\% |
| Q2: 2004 |  | 21,975,772 |  | 49,407 |  | $(264,523)$ |  | 91,454 |  | 21,852,110 |  | 20,283,156 | 137,979 | 2.72\% |
| Residential Real Estate Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ | 1,790,239 | \$ | 9,502 |  | \$ $(5,481)$ | \$ | - |  | 1,794,260 | \$ | 1,544,924 | 14,125 | 3.66\% |
| Q2: 2002 |  | 2,795,628 |  | 13,881 |  | $(5,953)$ |  | - |  | 2,803,556 |  | 2,201,384 | 19,601 | 3.56\% |
| Q3: 2002 |  | 4,736,645 |  | 31,859 |  | $(6,611)$ |  | - |  | 4,761,893 |  | 3,262,462 | 24,447 | 3.00\% |
| Q4: 2002 |  | 6,190,674 |  | 32,776 |  | $(8,271)$ |  | - |  | 6,215,179 |  | 5,318,910 | 37,264 | 2.80\% |
| 2002 |  | 6,190,674 |  | 32,776 |  | $(8,271)$ |  | - |  | 6,215,179 |  | 3,092,755 | $\mathbf{9 5 , 4 3 7}$ | 3.09\% |
| Q1: 2003 |  | 7,297,515 |  | 33,520 |  | $(9,996)$ |  | - |  | 7,321,039 |  | 6,625,539 | 42,314 | 2.55\% |
| Q2: 2003 |  | 9,206,986 |  | 52,593 |  | $(12,159)$ |  | - |  | 9,247,420 |  | 7,670,484 | 47,299 | 2.47\% |
| Q3: 2003 |  | 13,703,475 |  | 123,392 |  | $(13,617)$ |  | - |  | 13,813,250 |  | 10,958,059 | 63,638 | 2.32\% |
| Q4: 2003 |  | 16,110,748 |  | 144,748 |  | $(16,336)$ |  | - |  | 16,239,160 |  | 14,381,270 | 82,727 | 2.30\% |
| 2003 |  | 16,110,748 |  | 144,748 |  | $(16,336)$ |  | - |  | 16,239,160 |  | 9,932,961 | 235,978 | 2.38\% |
| Q1: 2004 |  | 17,950,901 |  | 154,451 |  | $(18,847)$ |  | - |  | 18,086,505 |  | 16,916,295 | 98,826 | 2.34\% |
| Q2: 2004 |  | 19,766,481 |  | 169,174 |  | $(20,080)$ |  |  |  | 19,915,575 |  | 18,754,200 | 109,880 | 2.34\% |
| Home Equity Line of Credit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Q2: 2002 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| Q3: 2002 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| Q4: 2002 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| 2002 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| Q1: 2003 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| Q2: 2003 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| Q3: 2003 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| Q4: 2003 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| 2003 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| Q1: 2004 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| Q2: 2004 |  | 317,045 |  | 10,043 |  | (267) |  | - |  | 326,821 |  | 124,053 | 536 | 1.73\% |
| Residential Loan Credit-Enhancement Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ | 460,035 | \$ | $(28,058)$ |  | \$(194,556) | \$ | 12,411 |  | 249,832 | \$ | 201,540 | 6,695 | 13.29\% |
| Q2: 2002 |  | 492,642 |  | $(35,745)$ |  | $(206,343)$ |  | 34,205 |  | 284,759 |  | 238,282 | 9,006 | 15.12\% |
| Q3: 2002 |  | 542,669 |  | $(49,360)$ |  | $(220,735)$ |  | 51,556 |  | 324,130 |  | 257,844 | 10,443 | 16.20\% |
| Q4: 2002 |  | 559,186 |  | $(58,578)$ |  | $(224,891)$ |  | 76,762 |  | 352,479 |  | 271,016 | 11,283 | 16.65\% |
| 2002 |  | 559,186 |  | $(58,578)$ |  | $(224,891)$ |  | 76,762 |  | 352,479 |  | 242,404 | 37,427 | 15.44\% |
| Q1: 2003 |  | 614,111 |  | $(84,648)$ |  | $(234,060)$ |  | 77,759 |  | 373,162 |  | 278,339 | 13,693 | 19.68\% |
| Q2: 2003 |  | 598,134 |  | $(113,358)$ |  | $(204,675)$ |  | 113,310 |  | 393,411 |  | 279,010 | 17,977 | 25.77\% |
| Q3: 2003 |  | 603,855 |  | $(145,356)$ |  | $(177,647)$ |  | 92,559 |  | 373,411 |  | 270,991 | 19,027 | 28.09\% |
| Q4: 2003 |  | 623,692 |  | $(123,329)$ |  | $(200,970)$ |  | 79,334 |  | 378,727 |  | 272,999 | 17,394 | 25.49\% |
| 2003 |  | 623,692 |  | $(123,329)$ |  | $(200,970)$ |  | 79,334 |  | 378,727 |  | 275,308 | $\mathbf{6 8 , 0 9 1}$ | 24.73\% |
| Q1: 2004 |  | 634,000 |  | $(110,994)$ |  | $(216,924)$ |  | 68,534 |  | 374,616 |  | 287,078 | 15,533 | 21.64\% |
| Q2: 2004 |  | 712,908 |  | $(121,808)$ |  | $(235,535)$ |  | 86,674 |  | 442,239 |  | 317,235 | 16,077 | 20.27\% |

## Portfolios (continued)

Table 10 (continued)
Balances \& Yields

| (\$ in Thousands) | At period end |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | For period ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Current Face | Unamortized Premium/ (Discount) |  | Credit Protection |  | Unrealized Gain/ (loss) |  | Net Book Value | Average Balance* |  | Interest <br> Income | Yield |
| Commercial Real Estate Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ | 50,057 | \$ | (677) | \$ | - | \$ | - | 49,380 |  | 50,170 | 1,274 | 10.16\% |
| Q2: 2002 |  | 50,436 |  | (638) |  | - |  | - | 49,798 |  | 49,369 | 1,233 | 9.99\% |
| Q3: 2002 |  | 51,318 |  | (654) |  | - |  | - | 50,664 |  | 50,102 | 1,280 | 10.22\% |
| Q4: 2002 |  | 30,250 |  | (980) |  | - |  | - | 29,270 |  | 47,935 | 1,213 | 10.12\% |
| 2002 |  | 30,250 |  | (980) |  | - |  | - | 29,270 |  | 49,390 | 5,000 | 10.12\% |
| Q1: 2003 |  | 32,223 |  | $(1,009)$ |  | - |  | - | 31,214 |  | 30,888 | 816 | 10.57\% |
| Q2: 2003 |  | 42,492 |  | 171 |  | (8,141) |  | - | 34,522 |  | 33,138 | 960 | 11.59\% |
| Q3: 2003 |  | 31,211 |  | 538 |  | $(8,141)$ |  | - | 23,608 |  | 30,471 | 939 | 12.33\% |
| Q4: 2003 |  | 31,180 |  | (120) |  | (8,641) |  | - | 22,419 |  | 23,464 | 244 | 4.16\% |
| 2003 |  | 31,180 |  | (120) |  | (8,641) |  | - | 22,419 |  | 29,473 | 2,959 | 10.04\% |
| Q1: 2004 |  | 31,136 |  | (318) |  | (8,641) |  | - | 22,177 |  | 22,316 | 701 | 12.56\% |
| Q2: 2004 |  | 43,448 |  | $(1,261)$ |  | (8,641) |  | - | 33,546 |  | 26,129 | 868 | 13.29\% |
| Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ | 601,926 | \$ | 8,901 | \$ | - | \$ | $(1,395)$ | 609,432 |  | 676,692 | 8,514 | 5.03\% |
| Q2: 2002 |  | 502,684 |  | 8,184 |  | - |  | 1,622 | 512,490 |  | 529,843 | 6,222 | 4.70\% |
| Q3: 2002 |  | 477,950 |  | 11,214 |  | - |  | 2,592 | 491,756 |  | 493,997 | 5,719 | 4.63\% |
| Q4: 2002 |  | 339,095 |  | 1,138 |  | - |  | $(4,536)$ | 335,697 |  | 320,154 | 3,949 | 4.93\% |
| 2002 |  | 339,095 |  | 1,138 |  | - |  | $(4,536)$ | 335,697 |  | 504,401 | 24,404 | 4.84\% |
| Q1: 2003 |  | 370,187 |  | 1,597 |  | - |  | $(5,477)$ | 366,307 |  | 360,084 | 4,192 | 4.66\% |
| Q2: 2003 |  | 587,038 |  | 5,946 |  | - |  | 2,593 | 595,577 |  | 453,546 | 5,057 | 4.46\% |
| Q3: 2003 |  | 599,144 |  | 6,508 |  | - |  | (567) | 605,085 |  | 602,622 | 6,478 | 4.30\% |
| Q4: 2003 |  | 833,252 |  | 8,196 |  | - |  | 3,266 | 844,714 |  | 709,867 | 7,803 | 4.40\% |
| 2003 |  | 833,252 |  | 8,196 |  | - |  | 3,266 | 844,714 |  | 532,683 | 23,530 | 4.42\% |
| Q1: 2004 |  | 921,279 |  | $(3,973)$ |  | - |  | 9,340 | 936,646 |  | 862,005 | 9,611 | 4.46\% |
| Q2: 2004 |  | ,097,429 |  | $(6,741)$ |  | - |  | 4,780 | 1,095,468 |  | 980,089 | 10,545 | 4.30\% |
| Cash \& Equivalents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ | 9,960 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 9,960 |  |  | 108 |  |
| Q2: 2002 |  | 13,155 |  | - |  | - |  | - | 13,155 |  |  | 190 |  |
| Q3: 2002 |  | 20,606 |  | - |  | - |  | - | 20,606 |  |  | 204 |  |
| Q4: 2002 |  | 39,169 |  | - |  | - |  | - | 39,169 |  |  | 446 |  |
| 2002 |  | 39,169 |  | - |  | - |  | - | 39,169 |  |  | 948 |  |
| Q1: 2003 |  | 42,882 |  | - |  | - |  | - | 42,882 |  |  | 110 |  |
| Q2: 2003 |  | 36,539 |  | - |  | - |  | - | 36,539 |  |  | 133 |  |
| Q3: 2003 |  | 32,156 |  | - |  | - |  | - | 32,156 |  |  | 81 |  |
| Q4: 2003 |  | 58,467 |  | - |  | - |  | - | 58,467 |  |  | 94 |  |
| 2003 |  | 58,467 |  | - |  | - |  | - | 58,467 |  |  | 418 |  |
| Q1: 2004 |  | 57,866 |  | - |  | - |  | - | 57,866 |  |  | 166 |  |
| Q2: 2004 |  | 38,461 |  | - |  | - |  | - | 38,461 |  |  | 73 |  |
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## Portfolios (continued)

Table 11

| Portfolio Activity (\$ in Thousands) |  | cquisitions |  | Sales |  | Principal <br> Payments |  | scount/ emium) ortization |  | redit ovision | Ch <br> (Re | $\begin{aligned} & \text { et } \\ & \text { e-offs/ } \\ & \text { eries) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Net k-to-Mkt ustment |  | Net Increase/ <br> Decrease) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Real E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ | 417,276 | \$ | - | \$ | $(95,924)$ | \$ | $(1,672)$ | \$ | (282) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 319,398 |
| Q2: 2002 |  | 1,146,621 |  | $(46,683)$ |  | $(89,582)$ |  | $(1,060)$ |  | (472) |  | - |  | 472 |  | 1,009,296 |
| Q3: 2002 |  | 2,075,296 |  | $(2,960)$ |  | $(109,896)$ |  | $(3,502)$ |  | (894) |  | 236 |  | 57 |  | 1,958,337 |
| Q4: 2002 |  | 1,616,400 |  | - |  | $(155,915)$ |  | $(5,754)$ |  | $(1,660)$ |  | - |  | 215 |  | 1,453,286 |
| 2002 |  | 5,255,593 |  | $(49,643)$ |  | $(451,317)$ |  | $(11,988)$ |  | $(3,308)$ |  | 236 |  | 744 |  | 4,740,317 |
| Q1: 2003 |  | 1,338,920 |  | $(73,137)$ |  | $(152,768)$ |  | $(6,156)$ |  | $(1,756)$ |  | 31 |  | 726 |  | 1,105,860 |
| Q2: 2003 |  | 2,168,181 |  | - |  | $(234,582)$ |  | $(5,055)$ |  | $(2,163)$ |  | - |  | - |  | 1,926,381 |
| Q3: 2003 |  | 4,996,403 |  | - |  | $(420,395)$ |  | $(8,720)$ |  | $(1,458)$ |  | - |  | - |  | 4,565,830 |
| Q4: 2003 |  | 2,897,863 |  | (605) |  | $(458,957)$ |  | $(9,684)$ |  | 2,769) |  | 50 |  | 12 |  | 2,425,910 |
| 2003 |  | 1,401,367 |  | $(73,742)$ |  | $(1,266,702)$ |  | $(29,615)$ |  | $(8,146)$ |  | 81 |  | 738 |  | 0,023,981 |
| Q1: 2004 |  | 2,321,706 |  | - |  | $(460,334)$ |  | $(11,516)$ |  | (2,511) |  | - |  | - |  | 1,847,345 |
| Q2: 2004 |  | 2,703,443 |  | - |  | $(859,148)$ |  | $(13,992)$ |  | $(1,233)$ |  | - |  | - |  | 1,829,070 |
| Home Equity Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Q2: 2002 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Q3: 2002 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Q4: 2002 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2002 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Q1: 2003 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Q2: 2003 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Q3: 2003 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Q4: 2003 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2003 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Q1: 2004 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Q2: 2004 |  | 335,044 |  | - |  | $(7,706)$ |  | (250) |  | (267) |  | - |  | - |  | 326,821 |
| Residential Loan Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ | 59,157 | \$ | $(5,037)$ | \$ | $(4,270)$ | \$ | 366 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 8,803 | \$ | 59,019 |
| Q2: 2002 |  | 25,849 |  | (898) |  | $(15,801)$ |  | 1,767 |  | - |  | - |  | 24,010 |  | 34,927 |
| Q3: 2002 |  | 28,983 |  | - |  | $(9,437)$ |  | 2,722 |  | - |  | - |  | 17,103 |  | 39,371 |
| Q4: 2002 |  | 13,442 |  |  |  | $(13,573)$ |  | 3,275 |  | - |  | - |  | 25,205 |  | 28,349 |
| 2002 |  | 127,431 |  | $(5,935)$ |  | $(43,081)$ |  | 8,130 |  | - |  | - |  | 75,121 |  | 161,666 |
| Q1: 2003 |  | 37,077 |  | - |  | $(23,212)$ |  | 5,545 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,273 |  | 20,683 |
| Q2: 2003 |  | 11,265 |  | $(1,248)$ |  | $(38,773)$ |  | 10,024 |  | - |  | - |  | 38,981 |  | 20,249 |
| Q3: 2003 |  | 23,164 |  | - |  | $(37,647)$ |  | 11,432 |  | - |  | - |  | $(16,949)$ |  | $(20,000)$ |
| Q4: 2003 |  | 77,367 |  | - |  | $(116,575)$ |  | 10,188 |  | - |  | - |  | 34,336 |  | 5,316 |
| 2003 |  | 148,873 |  | $(1,248)$ |  | $(216,207)$ |  | 37,189 |  | - |  | - |  | 57,641 |  | 26,248 |
| Q1: 2004 |  | 37,608 |  | $(22,416)$ |  | $(34,640)$ |  | 8,637 |  | - |  | - |  | 6,700 |  | $(4,111)$ |
| Q2: 2004 |  | 75,027 |  | - |  | $(46,997)$ |  | 8,847 |  | - |  | - |  | 30,746 |  | 67,623 |
| Commercial Real |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ | 140 | \$ | - | \$ | $(1,873)$ | \$ | 28 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1 | \$ | $(1,704)$ |
| Q2: 2002 |  | 470 |  | - |  | (53) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |  | 418 |
| Q3: 2002 |  | 919 |  | - |  | (54) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |  | 866 |
| Q4: 2002 |  | - |  | - |  | $(21,068)$ |  | 24 |  | - |  | - |  | (350) |  | $(21,394)$ |
| 2002 |  | 1,529 |  | - |  | $(23,048)$ |  | 52 |  | - |  | - |  | (347) |  | $(21,814)$ |
| Q1: 2003 |  | 2,011 |  | - |  | (68) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |  | 1,944 |
| Q2: 2003 |  | 3,408 |  | - |  | (34) |  | (67) |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |  | 3,308 |
| Q3: 2003 |  | 1,023 |  | - |  | $(12,034)$ |  | (33) |  | - |  | - |  | 130 |  | $(10,914)$ |
| Q4: 2003 |  | - |  | - |  | (31) |  | (158) |  | (500) |  | - |  | (500) |  | $(1,189)$ |
| 2003 |  | 6,442 |  | - |  | $(12,167)$ |  | (258) |  | (500) |  | - |  | (368) |  | $(6,851)$ |
| Q1: 2004 |  | - |  | - |  | (45) |  | (122) |  | - |  | - |  | (75) |  | (242) |
| Q2: 2004 |  | 17,066 |  | $(2,339)$ |  | $(3,233)$ |  | (102) |  |  |  |  |  | (23) |  | 11,369 |
| Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ | 76,701 |  | $(89,395)$ | \$ | $(60,040)$ | \$ | $(1,701)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 385 | \$ | $(74,050)$ |
| Q2: 2002 |  | 23,026 |  | $(56,802)$ |  | $(65,617)$ |  | $(1,249)$ |  | - |  | - |  | 3,700 |  | $(96,942)$ |
| Q3: 2002 |  | 6,811 |  | - |  | $(31,830)$ |  | $(1,052)$ |  | - |  | - |  | 5,337 |  | $(20,734)$ |
| Q4: 2002 |  | 196,279 |  | $(315,308)$ |  | $(31,009)$ |  | (24) |  | - |  | - |  | $(5,997)$ |  | $(156,059)$ |
| 2002 |  | 302,817 |  | $(461,505)$ |  | $(188,496)$ |  | $(4,026)$ |  | - |  | - |  | 3,425 |  | $(347,785)$ |
| Q1: 2003 |  | 42,955 |  | - |  | $(11,329)$ |  | 3 |  | - |  | - |  | $(1,019)$ |  | 30,610 |
| Q2: 2003 |  | 237,515 |  | $(4,051)$ |  | $(12,126)$ |  | (111) |  | - |  | - |  | 8,043 |  | 229,270 |
| Q3: 2003 |  | 28,702 |  | - |  | $(12,677)$ |  | (96) |  | - |  | - |  | $(6,421)$ |  | 9,508 |
| Q4: 2003 |  | 256,588 |  | - |  | $(17,658)$ |  | (343) |  | - |  | - |  | 1,042 |  | 239,629 |
| 2003 |  | 565,760 |  | $(4,051)$ |  | $(53,790)$ |  | (547) |  | - |  | - |  | 1,645 |  | 509,017 |
| Q1: 2004 |  | 86,278 |  | (142) |  | $(9,807)$ |  | (484) |  | - |  | - |  | 16,087 |  | 91,932 |
| Q2: 2004 |  | 192,700 |  | $(8,333)$ |  | $(10,069)$ |  | (705) |  | - |  | - |  | $(14,771)$ |  | 158,822 |

## Portfolios (continued)

Table 12

## Residential Credit Results

## (\$ in Thousands)

|  |  | Underlying Loans |  | Internally- <br> Designated <br> Credit <br> Reserves | External Credit Enhancement |  | (4) <br> Total <br> Credit <br> Protection | Total Credit Protection As \% of Loans | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delinquent } \\ & \text { Loans } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delinquent } \\ & \text { Loan \% } \end{aligned}$ | Total <br> Credit <br> Losses |  | Losses To Securities Junior To Redwood's Interest |  | Redwood's <br> Share of Net <br> Charge Offs <br> (Recoveries) |  | Total Credit <br> Losses As \% of Loans (Annualized) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Residential Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 |  | \$ 66,620,865 | , | 200,037 | \$ | 79,924 | \$ 279,961 | 0.42\% |  | 134,775 | 0.20\% |  | \$ 452 | \$ | 618 | \$ | (166) | 0.01\% |
| Q2: 2002 |  | 68,864,715 |  | 212,296 |  | 65,102 | 277,398 | 0.40\% |  | 153,217 | 0.22\% |  | 115 |  | 189 |  | (74) | 0.01\% |
| Q3: 2002 |  | 73,245,252 |  | 227,346 |  | 64,147 | 291,493 | 0.40\% |  | 152,894 | 0.21\% |  | 386 |  | 103 |  | 283 | 0.01\% |
| Q4: 2002 |  | 64,874,289 |  | 233,162 |  | 63,179 | 296,341 | 0.46\% |  | 150,353 | 0.23\% |  | 377 |  | 163 |  | 214 | 0.01\% |
| 2002 |  | 64,874,289 |  | 233,162 |  | 63,179 | 296,341 | 0.46\% |  | 150,353 | 0.23\% |  | 1,330 |  | 1,073 |  | 257 | 0.01\% |
| Q1: 2003 |  | 68,069,255 |  | 244,056 |  | 61,814 | 305,870 | 0.45\% |  | 162,657 | 0.24\% |  | 1,171 |  | 456 |  | 715 | 0.01\% |
| Q2: 2003 |  | 61,071,919 |  | 216,834 |  | 58,296 | 275,130 | 0.45\% |  | 163,894 | 0.27\% |  | 384 |  | 152 |  | 232 | 0.01\% |
| Q3: 2003 |  | 57,493,402 |  | 191,264 |  | 51,985 | 243,249 | 0.42\% |  | 179,871 | 0.31\% |  | 986 |  | 38 |  | 948 | 0.01\% |
| Q4: 2003 |  | 84,372,335 |  | 217,306 |  | 46,476 | 263,782 | 0.31\% |  | 137,978 | 0.16\% |  | 1,645 |  | 357 |  | 1,288 | 0.01\% |
| 2003 |  | 84,372,335 |  | 217,306 |  | 46,476 | 263,782 | 0.31\% |  | 137,978 | 0.16\% |  | 4,186 |  | 1,003 |  | 3,183 | 0.01\% |
| Q1: 2004 |  | 89,448,075 |  | 235,771 |  | 43,797 | 279,568 | 0.31\% |  | 146,055 | 0.16\% |  | 103 |  | - |  | 103 | 0.01\% |
| Q2: 2004 |  | 117,020,797 |  | 255,615 |  | 70,460 | 326,075 | 0.28\% |  | 136,654 | 0.12\% |  | 1,781 |  | 75 |  | 1,706 | 0.01\% |
| Residential Real Estate Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 |  | \$ 1,790,239 | \$ | 5,481 | \$ | - | \$ 5,481 | 0.31\% | \$ | 4,926 | 0.28\% |  | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| Q2: 2002 |  | 2,795,628 |  | 5,953 |  | - | 5,953 | 0.21\% |  | 3,257 | 0.12\% |  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Q3: 2002 |  | 4,736,645 |  | 6,611 |  | - | 6,611 | 0.14\% |  | 1,387 | 0.03\% |  | 236 |  | - |  | 236 | 0.01\% |
| Q4: 2002 |  | 6,190,674 |  | 8,271 |  | - | 8,271 | 0.13\% |  | 4,127 | 0.07\% |  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% |
| 2002 |  | 6,190,674 |  | 8,271 |  | - | 8,271 | 0.13\% |  | 4,127 | 0.07\% |  | 236 |  | - |  | 236 | 0.01\% |
| Q1: 2003 |  | 7,297,515 |  | 9,996 |  | - | 9,996 | 0.14\% |  | 1,159 | 0.02\% |  | 31 |  | - |  | 31 | 0.01\% |
| Q2: 2003 |  | 9,206,986 |  | 12,159 |  | - | 12,159 | 0.13\% |  | 3,895 | 0.04\% |  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Q3: 2003 |  | 13,703,475 |  | 13,617 |  | - | 13,617 | 0.10\% |  | 1,598 | 0.01\% |  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Q4: 2003 |  | 16,110,748 |  | 16,336 |  | - | 16,336 | 0.10\% |  | 5,419 | 0.03\% |  | 50 |  | - |  | 50 | 0.01\% |
| 2003 |  | 16,110,748 |  | 16,336 |  | - | 16,336 | 0.10\% |  | 5,419 | 0.03\% |  | 81 |  | - |  | 81 | 0.01\% |
| Q1: 2004 |  | 17,950,901 |  | 18,847 |  | - | 18,847 | 0.10\% |  | 3,439 | 0.02\% |  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Q2: 2004 |  | 19,766,481 |  | 20,080 |  | - | 20,080 | 0.10\% |  | 5,362 | 0.03\% |  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Residential Loan Credit-Enhancement Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1: 2002 |  | \$ 64,826,605 | \$ | 194,556 | \$ | 79,924 | \$ 274,480 | 0.42\% | \$ | 129,849 | 0.20\% |  | \$ 452 | \$ | 618 | \$ | (166) | 0.01\% |
| Q2: 2002 |  | 66,061,159 |  | 206,343 |  | 65,102 | 271,445 | 0.41\% |  | 149,960 | 0.23\% |  | 115 |  | 189 |  | (74) | 0.01\% |
| Q3: 2002 |  | 68,483,359 |  | 220,735 |  | 64,147 | 284,882 | 0.42\% |  | 151,507 | 0.22\% |  | 150 |  | 103 |  | 47 | 0.01\% |
| Q4: 2002 |  | 58,659,110 |  | 224,891 |  | 63,179 | 288,070 | 0.49\% |  | 146,226 | 0.25\% |  | 377 |  | 163 |  | 214 | 0.01\% |
| 2002 |  | 58,659,110 |  | 224,891 |  | 63,179 | 288,070 | 0.49\% |  | 146,226 | 0.25\% |  | 1,094 |  | 1,073 |  | 21 | 0.01\% |
| Q1: 2003 |  | 60,748,216 |  | 234,060 |  | 61,814 | 295,874 | 0.49\% |  | 161,498 | 0.27\% |  | 1,140 |  | 456 |  | 684 | 0.01\% |
| Q2: 2003 |  | 51,824,499 |  | 204,675 |  | 58,296 | 262,971 | 0.51\% |  | 159,999 | 0.31\% |  | 384 |  | 152 |  | 232 | 0.01\% |
| Q3: 2003 |  | 43,680,152 |  | 177,647 |  | 51,985 | 229,632 | 0.53\% |  | 178,273 | 0.41\% |  | 986 |  | 38 |  | 948 | 0.01\% |
| Q4: 2003 |  | 68,133,175 |  | 200,970 |  | 46,476 | 247,446 | 0.36\% |  | 132,559 | 0.19\% |  | 1,595 |  | 357 |  | 1,238 | 0.01\% |
| 2003 |  | 68,133,175 |  | 200,970 |  | 46,476 | 247,446 | 0.36\% |  | 132,559 | 0.19\% |  | 4,105 |  | 1,003 |  | 3,102 | 0.01\% |
| Q1: 2004 |  | 71,361,570 |  | 216,924 |  | 43,797 | 260,721 | 0.37\% |  | 142,616 | 0.20\% |  | 103 |  | - |  | 103 | 0.01\% |
| Q2: 2004 |  | 97,105,222 |  | 235,535 |  | 70,460 | 305,995 | 0.32\% |  | 131,292 | 0.14\% |  | 1,781 |  | 75 |  | 1,706 | 0.01\% |

(4) The credit reserve on residential real estate loans owned is only available to absorb losses on the residential real estate loan portfolio. The internally-designated credit reserves on loans credit enhanced and the external credit enhancement on loans credit enhanced are only available to absorb losses on the residential loan creditenhancement portfolio. This table excludes residential home equity lines of credit.

## Loan Characteristics

Table 13
Residential Real Estate Loan
Characteristics
(at period end, all dollars in thousands)

|  | Jun. 2004 | Mar. 2004 | Dec. 2003 | Sep. 2003 | Jun. 2003 | Mar. 2003 | Dec. 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retained Residential Loans | \$19,915,575 | \$18,086,505 | \$16,239,160 | \$13,813,250 | \$9,247,420 | \$7,321,039 | \$6,215,179 |
| Number of loans | 56,097 | 49,756 | 43,919 | 37,122 | 24,988 | 19,805 | 16,669 |
| Average loan size | \$ 355 | 364 | 370 | 372 | \$ 370 | \$ 370 | \$ 373 |
| Adjustable \% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% |
| Hybrid \% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Fixed \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Northern California | 14\% | 13\% | 13\% | 13\% | 13\% | 13\% | 12\% |
| Southern California | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% |
| Florida | 11\% | 11\% | 11\% | 11\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% |
| Georgia | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% |
| New York | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 6\% | 7\% | 8\% |
| New Jersey | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Illinois | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Texas | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Arizona | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Colorado | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Virgina | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Other states (none greater than 3\%) | 31\% | 32\% | 29\% | 31\% | 33\% | 31\% | 30\% |
| Year 2004 origination | 23\% | 11\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Year 2003 origination | 52\% | 60\% | 66\% | 58\% | 40\% | 11\% | 0\% |
| Year 2002 origination | 21\% | 24\% | 28\% | 34\% | 49\% | 72\% | 78\% |
| Year 2001 origination | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 5\% | 6\% | 11\% | 13\% |
| Year 2000 origination | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Year 1999 origination | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Year 1998 origination or earlier | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| \% balance in loans $>\$ 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ per loan | 14\% | 15\% | 16\% | 17\% | 15\% | 14\% | 14\% |

## Loan Characteristics (continued)

Table 14
Residential Loan Credit-Enhancement Securities - Underlying Collateral Characteristics (all dollars in thousands)

|  | Jun. 2004 |  | Mar. 2004 |  | Dec. 2003 |  | Sep. 2003 |  | Jun. 2003 |  | Mar. 2003 |  | Dec. 2002 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First loss position, principal value | \$ | 279,927 | \$ | 262,329 | \$ | 255,570 | \$ | 236,968 | \$ | 233,787 | \$ | 236,122 | \$ | 215,046 |
| Second loss position, principal value |  | 197,403 |  | 176,672 |  | 174,592 |  | 168,547 |  | 168,524 |  | 176,864 |  | 163,428 |
| Third loss position, principal value |  | 235,578 |  | 194,999 |  | 193,530 |  | 198,340 |  | 195,823 |  | 201,125 |  | 180,712 |
| Total principal value | \$ | 712,908 | \$ | 634,000 | \$ | 623,692 | \$ | 603,855 | \$ | 598,134 | \$ | 614,111 | \$ | 559,186 |
| First loss position, reported value | \$ | 102,088 | \$ | 75,769 | \$ | 78,030 | \$ | 70,458 | \$ | 74,470 | \$ | 63,675 | \$ | 65,292 |
| Second loss position, reported value |  | 145,211 |  | 133,167 |  | 134,225 |  | 128,280 |  | 139,788 |  | 130,415 |  | 121,491 |
| Third loss position, reported value |  | 194,940 |  | 165,680 |  | 166,472 |  | 174,673 |  | 179,153 |  | 179,072 |  | 165,696 |
| Total reported value | \$ | 442,239 | \$ | 374,616 | \$ | 378,727 | \$ | 373,411 | \$ | 393,411 | \$ | 373,162 | \$ | 352,479 |
| Internal Designated Credit Reserves | \$ | 235,535 | \$ | 216,924 | \$ | 200,970 | \$ | 177,647 | \$ | 204,675 | \$ | 234,060 | \$ | 224,891 |
| External Credit Enhancement |  | 70,460 |  | 43,797 |  | 46,476 |  | 51,985 |  | 58,296 |  | 61,814 |  | 63,179 |
| Total Credit Protection | \$ | 305,995 | \$ | 260,721 | \$ | 247,446 | \$ | 229,632 | \$ | 262,971 | \$ | 295,874 | \$ | 288,070 |
| As \% of Total Portfolio |  | 0.32\% |  | 0.37\% |  | 0.36\% |  | 0.53\% |  | 0.51\% |  | 0.49\% |  | 0.49\% |
| Underlying Residential Real Estate Loans |  | 7,105,222 |  | 1,361,570 |  | ,133,175 |  | ,680,152 |  | 1,824,499 |  | ,748,216 |  | ,659,110 |
| Number of credit-enhanced loans |  | 216,048 |  | 163,673 |  | 152,083 |  | 96,424 |  | 116,730 |  | 138,327 |  | 135,196 |
| Average loan size | \$ | 449 | \$ | 436 | \$ | 448 | \$ | 453 | \$ | 444 | \$ | 439 | \$ | 434 |
| Adjustable \% |  | 13\% |  | 20\% |  | 21\% |  | 21\% |  | 36\% |  | 27\% |  | 20\% |
| Hybrid \% |  | 44\% |  | 66\% |  | 64\% |  | 57\% |  | 36\% |  | 39\% |  | 37\% |
| Fixed \% |  | 43\% |  | 14\% |  | 15\% |  | 22\% |  | 28\% |  | 34\% |  | 43\% |
| Northern California |  | 23\% |  | 26\% |  | 26\% |  | 25\% |  | 26\% |  | 26\% |  | 27\% |
| Southern California |  | 24\% |  | 25\% |  | 25\% |  | 25\% |  | 24\% |  | 24\% |  | 25\% |
| New York |  | 5\% |  | 5\% |  | 5\% |  | 6\% |  | 6\% |  | 5\% |  | 5\% |
| Florida |  | 4\% |  | 4\% |  | 4\% |  | 4\% |  | 4\% |  | 4\% |  | 3\% |
| Massachusetts |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |
| New Jersey |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |
| Virginia |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |
| Illinois |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |
| Other states (none greater than 3\%) |  | 32\% |  | 28\% |  | 28\% |  | 28\% |  | 28\% |  | 29\% |  | 28\% |
| Year 2004 origination |  | 16\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |
| Year 2003 origination |  | 50\% |  | 58\% |  | 51\% |  | 28\% |  | 12\% |  | 2\% |  | 0\% |
| Year 2002 origination |  | 18\% |  | 22\% |  | 26\% |  | 34\% |  | 42\% |  | 41\% |  | 28\% |
| Year 2001 origination |  | 6\% |  | 8\% |  | 11\% |  | 18\% |  | 24\% |  | 31\% |  | 40\% |
| Year 2000 origination |  | 2\% |  | 2\% |  | 2\% |  | 4\% |  | 4\% |  | 4\% |  | 5\% |
| Year 1999 origination |  | 2\% |  | 3\% |  | 3\% |  | 6\% |  | 7\% |  | 9\% |  | 11\% |
| Year 1998 or earlier origination |  | 6\% |  | 7\% |  | 7\% |  | 10\% |  | 11\% |  | 13\% |  | 16\% |
| \% balance in loans > \$ 1 mm per loan |  | 6\% |  | 9\% |  | 11\% |  | 11\% |  | 10\% |  | 10\% |  | 8\% |

Table 15
Commercial Real Estate Loans - Characteristics (at period end, all dollars in thousands)

|  | Jun. 2004 | Mar. 2004 | Dec. 2003 | Sep. 2003 | Jun. 2003 | Mar. 2003 | Dec. 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial Mortgage Loans | \$33,546 | \$ 22,177 | \$22,419 | \$23,608 | \$34,522 | \$ 31,214 | \$29,270 |
| Number of Loans | 6 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 7 |
| Average Loan Size | \$ 5,591 | \$ 2,464 | \$ 2,491 | \$ 2,623 | \$ 2,877 | \$ 3,121 | \$ 4,181 |
| Serious Delinquency | \$ - | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 650 | \$ 650 | \$ 650 |
| Realized Credit losses | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| California \% | 72\% | 65\% | 65\% | 65\% | 46\% | 40\% | 36\% |

## Loan Characteristics (continued)

Table 16
Securities Portfolio - Characteristics at June 30, 2004
(\$ in thousands)


Table 17
Asset / Liability Matching at June 30, 2004
(\$ in thousands)


[^1]Table 18
Long-Term Debt Characteristics - Residential Mortgage Loans (Sequoia) (all dollars in thousands)

| Sequoia LongTerm Debt Issue | Debt <br> Rating | Issue <br> Date | Original Issue Amount | Index | Stated Maturity | Estimated Callable Date | Principal Outstanding At June. 30, 2004 | Interest <br> Rate At <br> June. 30 $2004$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sequoia 1 A1 | AAA | 07/29/97 | \$ 334,347 | 1 m LIBOR | 2028 | Called | \$ - | NM |
| Sequoia 1 A2 | AAA | 07/29/97 | 200,000 | Fed Funds | 2028 | Called | - | NM |
| Sequoia 2 A1 | AAA | 11/06/97 | 592,560 | 1 y Treasury | 2029 | 2004 | 154,743 | 2.39\% |
| Sequoia 2 A2 | AAA | 11/06/97 | 156,600 | 1 m LIBOR | 2029 | 2004 | 40,895 | 1.64\% |
| Sequoia 3 A1 | AAA | 06/26/98 | 225,459 | Fixed to 12/02 | 2028 | Retired | - | NM |
| Sequoia 3 A2 | AAA | 06/26/98 | 95,000 | Fixed to 12/02 | 2028 | Retired | - | NM |
| Sequoia 3 A3 | AAA | 06/26/98 | 164,200 | Fixed to 12/02 | 2028 | Retired | - | NM |
| Sequoia 3 A4 | AAA | 06/26/98 | 121,923 | 1 m LIBOR | 2028 | Called | - | NM |
| Sequoia 3 M1 | AA/AAA | 06/26/98 | 16,127 | 1 m LIBOR | 2028 | Called | - | NM |
| Sequoia 3 M2 | A/AA | 06/26/98 | 7,741 | 1 m LIBOR | 2028 | Called | - | NM |
| Sequoia 3 M3 | BBB/A | 06/26/98 | 4,838 | 1 m LIBOR | 2028 | Called | - | NM |
| Sequoia 1A A1 | AAA | 05/04/99 | 157,266 | 1 m LIBOR | 2028 | Called | - | NM |
| Sequoia 4 A | AAA | 03/21/00 | 377,119 | 1 m LIBOR | 2024 | 2007 | 162,274 | 1.64\% |
| Sequoia 5 A | AAA | 10/29/01 | 496,667 | 1 m LIBOR | 2026 | 2008 | 336,284 | 1.63\% |
| Sequoia 5 B1 | AA | 10/29/01 | 5,918 | 1 m LIBOR | 2026 | 2008 | 5,918 | 2.08\% |
| Sequoia 5 B2 | A | 10/29/01 | 5,146 | 1 m LIBOR | 2026 | 2008 | 5,146 | 2.08\% |
| Sequoia 5 B3 | BBB | 10/29/01 | 2,316 | 1 m LIBOR | 2026 | 2008 | 2,316 | 2.08\% |
| Sequoia 6A | AAA | 04/26/02 | 496,378 | 1 m LIBOR | 2027 | 2009 | 367,700 | 1.60\% |
| Sequoia 6B1 | AA | 04/26/02 | 5,915 | 1 m LIBOR | 2027 | 2009 | 5,915 | 1.98\% |
| Sequoia 7A | AAA | 05/29/02 | 554,686 | 1 m LIBOR | 2032 | 2008 | 385,989 | 1.62\% |
| Sequoia 7B1 | AA | 05/29/02 | 8,080 | 1 m LIBOR | 2032 | 2008 | 8,080 | 2.03\% |
| Sequoia 8 1A-1 | AAA | 07/30/02 | 50,000 | 1 m LIBOR | 2032 | Retired | - | NM |
| Sequoia $81 \mathrm{~A}-2$ | AAA | 07/30/02 | 61,468 | Fixed to 12/04 | 2032 | 2008 | 18,071 | 3.46\% |
| Sequoia 82 A | AAA | 07/30/02 | 463,097 | 1 m LIBOR | 2032 | 2008 | 351,971 | 1.58\% |
| Sequoia 83 A | AAA | 07/30/02 | 49,973 | 6m LIBOR | 2032 | 2008 | 32,239 | 2.97\% |
| Sequoia 8 B1 | AA | 07/30/02 | 9,069 | 1 m LIBOR | 2032 | 2008 | 9,069 | 1.96\% |
| Sequoia 9 1A | AAA | 08/28/02 | 381,689 | 1 m LIBOR | 2032 | 2011 | 306,098 | 1.63\% |
| Sequoia 9 2A | AAA | 08/28/02 | 168,875 | 1 m LIBOR | 2032 | 2011 | 107,967 | 2.80\% |
| Sequoia 9 B1 | AA | 08/28/02 | 7,702 | 1 m LIBOR | 2032 | 2011 | 7,702 | 2.03\% |
| Sequoia 101 A | AAA | 09/26/02 | 822,375 | 1 m LIBOR | 2027 | 2011 | 654,688 | 1.68\% |
| Sequoia $102 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | AAA | 09/26/02 | 190,000 | 1 m LIBOR | 2027 | 2011 | 154,353 | 1.60\% |
| Sequoia $102 \mathrm{~A}-2$ | AAA | 09/26/02 | 3,500 | 1 m LIBOR | 2027 | 2011 | 3,500 | 1.96\% |
| Sequoia 10 B 1 | AA | 09/26/02 | 12,600 | 1 m LIBOR | 2027 | 2011 | 12,600 | 2.08\% |
| Sequoia 10 B2 | A | 09/26/02 | 8,400 | 1 m LIBOR | 2027 | 2011 | 8,400 | 2.08\% |
| Sequoia 10 B3 | BBB | 09/26/02 | 4,725 | 1 m LIBOR | 2027 | 2011 | 4,725 | 2.68\% |
| Sequoia 11 A | AAA | 10/30/02 | 695,210 | 1 m LIBOR | 2032 | 2011 | 540,224 | 1.73\% |
| Sequoia 11 Bl | AA | 10/30/02 | 9,726 | 1 m LIBOR | 2032 | 2011 | 9,726 | 2.25\% |
| Sequoia 12 A | AAA | 12/19/02 | 1,080,076 | 1 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2009 | 877,224 | 1.73\% |
| Sequoia 12 B 1 | AA | 12/19/02 | 16,815 | 1 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2009 | 16,815 | 2.13\% |
| Sequoia 2003-1 A1 | AAA | 02/27/03 | 798,206 | 1 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2009 | 654,518 | 1.66\% |
| Sequoia 2003-1 A2 | AAA | 02/27/03 | 190,000 | 6 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2009 | 155,317 | 1.57\% |
| Sequoia 2003-1 B1 | AA | 02/27/03 | 15,905 | 1 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2009 | 15,905 | 2.16\% |
| Sequoia 2003-1 B2 | A | 02/27/03 | 8,210 | Pass Through | 2033 | 2009 | 8,210 | 2.61\% |
| Sequoia 2003-2 A1 | AAA | 04/29/03 | 500,000 | 1 m LIBOR | 2022 | 2009 | 416,238 | 1.61\% |
| Sequoia 2003-2 A2 | AAA | 04/29/03 | 303,600 | 6 m LIBOR | 2022 | 2009 | 249,984 | 1.62\% |
| Sequoia 2003-2 M1 | AA | 04/29/03 | 11,480 | 1 m LIBOR | 2016 | 2009 | 11,480 | 1.93\% |
| Sequoia 2003-3 A1 | AAA | 06/26/03 | 379,455 | 1 m LIBOR | 2023 | 2009 | 322,897 | 1.61\% |
| Sequoia 2003-3 A2 | AAA | 06/26/03 | 149,922 | 6 m LIBOR | 2023 | 2009 | 132,738 | 2.22\% |
| Sequoia 2003-3 B1 | AA | 06/26/03 | 9,075 | 1 m LIBOR | 2025 | 2009 | 9,075 | 1.93\% |
| MLCC 2003-C A1 | AAA | 06/26/03 | 773,795 | 1 m LIBOR | 2023 | 2012 | 661,721 | 1.63\% |
| MLCC 2003-C A2 | AAA | 06/26/03 | 200,002 | 6 m LIBOR | 2023 | 2012 | 170,427 | 2.22\% |
| MLCC 2003-C B1 | AA | 06/26/03 | 10,553 | 1 m LIBOR | 2025 | 2012 | 10,553 | 1.95\% |
| MLCC 2003-D A | AAA | 07/29/03 | 992,833 | 1 m LIBOR | 2028 | 2012 | 891,033 | 1.61\% |
| MLCC 2003-D B1 | AA | 07/29/03 | 10,758 | 1 m LIBOR | 2028 | 2012 | 10,758 | 1.93\% |
| Sequoia 2003-4 1A1 | AAA | 07/29/03 | 148,641 | 1 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2009 | 135,212 | 1.59\% |
| Sequoia 2003-4 1A2 | AAA | 07/29/03 | 150,000 | 6m LIBOR | 2033 | 2009 | 139,083 | 1.50\% |
| Sequoia 2003-4 1B1 | AA | 07/29/03 | 3,864 | 1 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2009 | 3,864 | 1.93\% |
| Sequoia 2003-4 2A1 | AAA | 07/29/03 | 189,415 | 1 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2011 | 171,013 | 1.63\% |
| Sequoia 2003-4 2M1 | AA | 07/29/03 | 9,986 | 1 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2011 | 9,986 | 1.75\% |
| Sequoia 2003-4 2B1 | AA | 07/29/03 | 2,367 | 1 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2011 | 2,367 | 1.93\% |
| Sequoia 2003-5 A1 | AAA | 08/27/03 | 675,596 | 1 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2009 | 582,222 | 1.59\% |
| Sequoia 2003-5 A2 | AAA | 08/27/03 | 149,609 | 6m LIBOR | 2033 | 2009 | 130,970 | 1.50\% |
| Sequoia 2003-5 B1 | AA | 08/27/03 | 15,043 | 1 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2009 | 15,043 | 1.88\% |
| Sequoia 2003-6 A1 | AAA | 10/29/03 | 458,238 | 1 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2009 | 409,496 | 1.59\% |
| Sequoia 2003-6 A2 | AAA | 10/29/03 | 180,474 | 6 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2009 | 163,713 | 1.61\% |

Table 18 (continued)
Long-Term Debt Characteristics - Residential Mortgage Loans (Sequoia) (all dollars in thousands)

| Sequoia LongTerm Debt Issue | Debt Rating | Issue <br> Date | Original Issue Amount | Index | Stated Maturity | Estimated Callable Date | Principal Outstanding At June. 30, 2004 | Interest <br> Rate At <br> June. 30 $2004$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sequoia 2003-6 B1 | AA | 10/29/03 | 11,287 | 1 m LIBOR | 2033 | 2009 | 11,287 | 1.86\% |
| Sequoia 2003-7 A1 | AAA | 11/25/03 | 290,000 | 1 m LIBOR | 2034 | 2009 | 267,427 | 1.60\% |
| Sequoia 2003-7 A2 | AAA | 11/25/03 | 505,100 | 6 m LIBOR | 2034 | 2009 | 464,460 | 1.88\% |
| Sequoia 2003-7 B1 | AA | 11/25/03 | 16,607 | 1 m LIBOR | 2034 | 2009 | 16,607 | 1.83\% |
| Sequoia 2003-8 A1 | AAA | 12/23/03 | 791,768 | 1 m LIBOR | 2034 | 2009 | 745,848 | 1.60\% |
| Sequoia 2003-8 A2 | AAA | 12/23/03 | 150,000 | 6m LIBOR | 2034 | 2009 | 145,872 | 2.19\% |
| Sequoia 2003-8 B1 | AA | 12/23/03 | 14,166 | 1 m LIBOR | 2034 | 2009 | 14,166 | 1.87\% |
| Sequoia 2003-8 B2 | A | 12/23/03 | 8,304 | 1 m LIBOR | 2034 | 2009 | 8,304 | 2.53\% |
| MLCC 2003-E A1 | AAA | 08/28/03 | 823,305 | 1 m LIBOR | 2028 | 2012 | 747,662 | 1.61\% |
| MLCC 2003-E A2 | AAA | 08/28/03 | 150,000 | 6m LIBOR | 2028 | 2012 | 132,441 | 1.51\% |
| MLCC 2003-E B1 | AA | 08/28/03 | 10,547 | 1 m LIBOR | 2028 | 2012 | 10,547 | 1.90\% |
| MLCC 2003-F A1 | AAA | 09/25/03 | 839,000 | 1 m LIBOR | 2028 | 2012 | 763,794 | 1.62\% |
| MLCC 2003-F A2 | AAA | 09/25/03 | 270,000 | 6 m LIBOR | 2028 | 2012 | 245,495 | 1.49\% |
| MLCC 2003-F A3 | AAA | 09/25/03 | 175,000 | Pass Through | 2028 | 2012 | 164,611 | 2.89\% |
| MLCC 2003-F B1 | AA | 09/25/03 | 13,913 | 1 m LIBOR | 2028 | 2012 | 13,913 | 1.90\% |
| MLCC 2003-H A1 | AAA | 12/22/03 | 365,708 | 1 m LIBOR | 2029 | 2012 | 348,424 | 1.62\% |
| MLCC 2003-H A2 | AAA | 12/22/03 | 240,000 | 6m LIBOR | 2029 | 2012 | 227,545 | 2.21\% |
| MLCC $2003-\mathrm{H}$ A3A | AAA | 12/22/03 | 119,613 | Pass Through | 2029 | 2012 | 110,119 | 2.83\% |
| MLCC 2003-H B1 | AA | 12/22/03 | 7,875 | 1 m LIBOR | 2029 | 2012 | 7,875 | 1.85\% |
| MLCC 2003-H B2 | A | 12/22/03 | 6,000 | 1 m LIBOR | 2029 | 2012 | 6,000 | 2.55\% |
| Sequoia 2004-1 A1 | AAA | 01/28/04 | 601,250 | 6m LIBOR | 2034 | 2010 | 574,734 | 1.47\% |
| Sequoia 2004-1 B1 | AA | 01/28/04 | 9,375 | 1 m LIBOR | 2034 | 2010 | 9,375 | 1.83\% |
| Sequoia 2004-1 B2 | A | 01/28/04 | 5,937 | 1 m LIBOR | 2034 | 2010 | 5,937 | 2.33\% |
| Sequoia 2004-2 A1 | AAA | 02/25/04 | 671,998 | 6 m LIBOR | 2034 | 2010 | 646,762 | 1.45\% |
| Sequoia 2004-2 B1 | AA | 02/25/04 | 11,550 | 1 m LIBOR | 2034 | 2010 | 11,550 | 1.78\% |
| Sequoia 2004-2 B2 | A | 02/25/04 | 7,000 | 1 m LIBOR | 2034 | 2010 | 7,000 | 2.26\% |
| Sequoia 2004-3 A1 | AAA | 03/30/04 | 894,673 | 6m LIBOR | 2034 | 2010 | 863,937 | 1.40\% |
| Sequoia 2004-3 M1 | AA | 03/30/04 | 13,800 | 1 m LIBOR | 2034 | 2010 | 13,800 | 1.78\% |
| Sequoia 2004-3 M2 | A | 03/30/04 | 9,200 | 1 m LIBOR | 2034 | 2010 | 9,200 | 2.18\% |
| Sequoia 2004-4 A1 | AAA | 04/29/04 | 785,971 | 6 m LIBOR | 2010 | 2010 | 785,971 | 1.61\% |
| Sequoia 2004-4 B1 | AA | 04/29/04 | 14,612 | 1 m LIBOR | 2010 | 2010 | 14,612 | 1.78\% |
| Sequoia 2004-4 B2 | A | 04/29/04 | 8,350 | 1 m LIBOR | 2010 | 2010 | 8,350 | 2.18\% |
| Sequoia 2004-5 A1 | AAA | 05/27/04 | 547,657 | Pass Through | 2012 | 2010 | 547,657 | 2.75\% |
| Sequoia 2004-5 A2 | AAA | 05/27/04 | 185,613 | 1 m LIBOR | 2012 | 2010 | 185,613 | 1.54\% |
| Sequoia 2004-5 A3 | AAA | 05/27/04 | 74,897 | 6 m LIBOR | 2012 | 2010 | 74,897 | 1.86\% |
| Sequoia 2004-5 B1 | AA | 05/27/04 | 14,874 | 1 m LIBOR | 2012 | 2010 | 14,874 | 1.76\% |
| Sequoia 2004-5 B2 | A | 05/27/04 | 8,499 | 1 m LIBOR | 2012 | 2010 | 8,499 | 2.16\% |
| Sequoia 2004-6 A1 | AAA | 06/29/04 | 500,000 | Pass Through | 2012 | 2010 | 500,000 | 2.80\% |
| Sequoia 2004-6 A2 | AAA | 06/29/04 | 185,687 | 1 m LIBOR | 2012 | 2010 | 185,687 | 1.61\% |
| Sequoia 2004-6 A3a | AAA | 06/29/04 | 196,500 | 6 m LIBOR | 2012 | 2010 | 196,500 | 2.16\% |
| Sequoia 2004-6 A3b | AAA | 06/29/04 | 3,500 | 6 m LIBOR | 2012 | 2010 | 3,500 | 2.31\% |
| Sequoia 2004-6 B1 |  |  |  | 1 m LIBOR |  |  |  |  |
|  | AA | 06/29/04 | 15,725 |  | 2012 | 2010 | 15,725 | 1.83\% |
| Sequoia 2004-6 B2 | A | 06/29/04 | 9,250 | 1 m LIBOR | 2012 | 2010 | 9,250 | 2.21\% |
| SMHT 2004-1 | AAA | 06/29/04 | 317,044 | 1 m LIBOR | 2014 | 2007 | 317,044 | 1.56\% |
| Total Sequoia Issuance |  |  | \$24,301,767 |  |  |  | \$19,537,326 | 1.74\% |

Table 19
Long-Term Debt Characteristics — Residential Mortgage Loans

## Sequoia Interest-Only Certificates Issued

## (\$ in thousands)

| Sequoia LongTerm Debt Issue | Debt Rating | Issue <br> Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Original } \\ & \text { Issue } \\ & \text { Amount } \end{aligned}$ | Index | Stated Maturity | Estimated <br> Callable <br> Date | Principal Outstanding At June. 30, 2004 | Interest <br> Rate At <br> June. 30 <br> 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MLCC 2003-C X-A-2 | AAA | 06/26/03 | 12,662 | Fixed | 2007 | 2007 | 4,697 | 4.50\% |
| MLCC 2003-D X-A-1 | AAA | 07/29/03 | 22,371 | Fixed | 2007 | 2007 | 10,085 | 4.50\% |
| MLCC 2003-E X-A-1 | AAA | 08/28/03 | 16,550 | Fixed | 2007 | 2007 | 10,399 | 4.25\% |
| MLCC 2003-F X-A-1 | AAA | 09/25/03 | 18,666 | Fixed | 2007 | 2007 | 12,120 | 4.50\% |
| Sequoia 2003-6 X-1 | AAA | 10/29/03 | 8,220 | Fixed | 2007 | 2007 | 5,537 | 4.50\% |
| SMFC 2003A AX1 | AAA | 10/31/03 | 70,568 | Fixed | 2007 | 2007 | 45,179 | 4.50\% |
| Sequoia 2003-7 X-1 | AAA | 11/25/03 | 10,345 | Fixed | 2007 | 2007 | 7,276 | 4.25\% |
| Sequoia 2003-8 X-1 | AAA | 12/23/03 | 12,256 | Fixed | 2007 | 2007 | 9,062 | 4.50\% |
| Sequoia 2004-1 X-1 | AAA | 01/28/04 | 7,801 | Fixed | 2007 | 2007 | 6,108 | 4.00\% |
| Sequoia 2004-2 X-1 | AAA | 02/25/04 | 8,776 | Fixed | 2007 | 2007 | 7,180 | 3.75\% |
| SMFC 2004A AX1 | AAA | 02/26/04 | 10,626 | Fixed | 2007 | 2007 | 12,582 | 3.75\% |
| MLCC 2003-H X-A-1 | AAA | 12/22/03 | 10,430 | Fixed | 2007 | 2007 | 7,847 | 4.25\% |
| Sequoia 2004-4 X-1 | AAA | 05/28/04 | 9,789 | Fixed | 2010 | 2010 | 9,311 | 4.25\% |
| Sequoia 2004-5 X-1 | AAA | 05/27/04 | 3,371 | Fixed | 2012 | 2012 | 3,203 | 4.15\% |
| Sequoia 2004-6 X-A | AAA | 06/29/04 | 10,884 | WAC IO | 2012 | 2012 | 10,884 | N/A |
| Total Sequoia Issuance |  |  | \$233,315 |  |  |  | \$ 161,470 | 4.03\% |

Table 20
Long-Term Debt Characteristics - Commercial Real Estate Loans
(\$ in thousands)

| Commercial <br> Long Term Debt Issue | Debt Rating | Issue <br> Date | Original Issue Amount | Index | Stated <br> Maturity | Estimated Callable Date | Principal Outstanding At June. 30, 2004 |  | Interest Rate At June. 30 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial 1 | NR | 03/30/01 | \$ 9,010 | 1 m LIBOR | 2002 | Paid Off | \$ | - | NM |
| Commercial 2 | NR | 03/30/01 | 8,320 | 1 m LIBOR | 2003 | Paid Off |  | - | NM |
| Commercial 3 | NR | 03/01/02 | 8,318 | 1 m LIBOR | 2003 | Paid Off |  | - | NM |
| Commercial 4 | NR | 08/18/03 | 5,595 | 1 m LIBOR | 2009 | NC |  | 5,534 | 9.50\% |
| Total Commercial Issuance |  |  | \$31,243 |  |  |  | \$ | 5,534 | 9.50\% |

Table 21
Long-Term Debt Characteristics
Collateralized Debt Obligations and Other Resecuritizations - Acacia and SMFC (\$ in thousands)

| Resecuritization Issuance | Debt <br> Rating | Issue <br> Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Original } \\ \text { Issue } \\ \text { Amount } \end{gathered}$ | Index | Stated Maturity | Estimated Callable Date |  | Principal <br> utstanding <br> June. 30, <br> 2004 | Interest <br> Rate At <br> June. 30 <br> 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMFC 2002A A1 | AAA | 04/30/02 | 64,761 | 1 m LIBOR | 2030 | 2006 | \$ | 18,598 | 1.69\% |
| SMFC 2002A A2 | AAA | 04/30/02 | 15,861 | 1 m LIBOR | 2029 | 2006 |  | 3,805 | 1.84\% |
| Acacia CDO 1 A | AAA | 12/10/02 | 224,250 | 3 m LIBOR | 2018 | 2010 |  | 219,038 | 1.70\% |
| Acacia CDO 1 B | AA | 12/10/02 | 45,000 | 3 m LIBOR | 2037 | 2010 |  | 45,000 | 2.42\% |
| Acacia CDO 1 C | BBB | 12/10/02 | 15,750 | 3 m LIBOR | 2037 | 2010 |  | 15,750 | 3.82\% |
| SMFC 2002B I A1 | AA | 12/19/02 | 16,855 | Fixed | 2031 | 2004 |  | 1,893 | 5.43\% |
| SMFC 2002B I A2 | A | 12/19/02 | 18,274 | Fixed | 2031 | 2004 |  | 2,052 | 5.68\% |
| SMFC 2002B I A3 | BBB | 12/19/02 | 17,221 | Fixed | 2031 | 2004 |  | 1,934 | 6.38\% |
| SMFC 2002B I A 4 | BB | 12/19/02 | 25,133 | Fixed | 2031 | 2004 |  | 2,823 | 6.75\% |
| SMFC 2002B II A1 | AA | 12/19/02 | 15,517 | Fixed | 2039 | 2004 |  | 2,470 | 4.82\% |
| SMFC 2002B II A2 | A | 12/19/02 | 18,345 | Fixed | 2039 | 2004 |  | 2,920 | 4.92\% |
| SMFC 2002B II A3 | BBB | 12/19/02 | 14,989 | Fixed | 2039 | 2004 |  | 2,386 | 5.35\% |
| SMFC 2002B II A4 | BB | 12/19/02 | 8,347 | Fixed | 2039 | 2004 |  | 1,329 | 6.00\% |
| Acacia CDO 2 A | AAA | 05/13/03 | 222,000 | 3 m LIBOR | 2023 | 2011 |  | 221,306 | 1.77\% |
| Acacia CDO 2 B | AA | 05/13/03 | 45,375 | 3 m LIBOR | 2038 | 2011 |  | 45,375 | 2.42\% |
| Acacia CDO 2 C | BBB | 05/13/03 | 16,500 | 3 m LIBOR | 2038 | 2011 |  | 16,500 | 4.37\% |
| Acacia CDO 3 A | AAA | 11/04/03 | 222,000 | 3 m LIBOR | 2038 | 2011 |  | 221,844 | 1.70\% |
| Acacia CDO 3 B | AA | 11/04/03 | 45,750 | 3 m LIBOR | 2038 | 2011 |  | 45,750 | 2.32\% |
| Acacia CDO 3 C | BBB | 11/04/03 | 16,500 | 3 m LIBOR | 2038 | 2011 |  | 16,500 | 4.52\% |
| Acacia CDO 4 A | AAA | 04/08/04 | 229,400 | 3 m LIBOR | 2039 | 2014 |  | 229,400 | 1.52\% |
| Acacia CDO 4 B1 | AA | 04/08/04 | 45,300 | 3 m LIBOR | 2039 | 2014 |  | 45,300 | 1.99\% |
| Acacia CDO 4 B2 | AA | 04/08/04 | 2,000 | Fixed | 2039 | 2014 |  | 2,000 | 4.80\% |
| Acacia CDO 4 C1 | BBB | 04/08/04 | 13,700 | 3 m LIBOR | 2039 | 2014 |  | 13,700 | 3.99\% |
| Acacia CDO 4 C2 | BBB | 04/08/04 | 3,000 | Fixed | 2039 | 2014 |  | 3,000 | 6.81\% |
| Total Resecuritizations |  |  | \$1,361,828 |  |  |  | \$ | 1,180,673 | 1.98\% |

## Other Supplemental Financial Data

Table 22
Operating Expenses
(\$ in Thousands)

|  | Total Operating Expenses | Less: <br> Variable <br> Stock <br> Option <br> Valuation <br> Adjustments | Less: <br> Excise <br> Tax | Total <br> Fixed and Variable Operating Expenses | Fixed Operating Expenses | Variable (Performance Based) Operating Expenses | Fixed and Variable Operating Expenses/ Average GAAP Equity | Fixed and Variable Operating Expenses/ Average Core Equity | Fixed Operating Expenses/ Average Core Equity | Efficiency <br> Ratio: <br> Fixed <br> and <br> Variable <br> Operating <br> Expenses/ Net Interest Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ 4,089 | \$ 543 | \$ 0 | \$ 3,546 | \$ 1,758 | \$ 1,788 | 4.2\% | 4.3\% | 2.1\% | 23\% |
| Q2: 2002 | 5,325 | 789 | - | 4,536 | 2,081 | 2,455 | 4.7\% | 4.9\% | 2.2\% | 26\% |
| Q3: 2002 | 3,545 | (745) | - | 4,290 | 2,101 | 2,189 | 4.0\% | 4.5\% | 2.2\% | 24\% |
| Q4: 2002 | 7,046 | 78 | 959 | 6,009 | 2,230 | 3,779 | 5.3\% | 6.0\% | 2.2\% | 29\% |
| 2002 | 20,005 | 665 | 959 | 18,381 | 8,170 | 10,211 | 4.6\% | 4.9\% | 2.2\% | 26\% |
| Q1: 2003 | 8,282 | 948 | 862 | 6,472 | 2,663 | 3,809 | 5.3\% | 6.2\% | 2.6\% | 27\% |
| Q2: 2003 | 8,793 | 1,490 | - | 7,303 | 2,645 | 4,658 | 5.8\% | 6.8\% | 2.5\% | 25\% |
| Q3: 2003 | 9,098 | 513 | - | 8,585 | 3,941 | 4,644 | 6.2\% | 7.5\% | 3.4\% | 25\% |
| Q4: 2003 | 10,722 | 2,701 | 341 | 7,680 | 3,717 | 3,963 | 5.5\% | 6.5\% | 3.2\% | 19\% |
| 2003 | 36,895 | 5,652 | 1,203 | 30,040 | 12,966 | 17,074 | 5.7\% | 6.8\% | 2.9\% | 23\% |
| Q1: 2004 | 10,026 | 1,429 | 300 | 8,297 | 3,966 | 4,331 | 5.7\% | 6.6\% | 3.1\% | 18\% |
| Q2: 2004 | 8,461 | (621) | 190 | 8,892 | 3,623 | 5,269 | 5.4\% | 6.1\% | 2.5\% | 19\% |

Table 23
Unamortized Premium and Discount Balances*
(\$ in Thousands)

|  | Unamortized Gross Premium | Unamortized Gross Discount | Unamortized Net Premium/ (Discount) | Net <br> Amortization (Expense) Income During Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1: 2002 | \$ 23,036 | $(\$ 32,053)$ | $(\$ 9,017)$ | $(\$ 3,201)$ |
| Q2: 2002 | 31,155 | $(40,301)$ | $(9,146)$ | (793) |
| Q3: 2002 | 57,951 | $(58,397)$ | (446) | $(2,148)$ |
| Q4: 2002 | 60,478 | $(70,140)$ | $(9,662)$ | $(3,083)$ |
| 2002 | 60,478 | $(70,140)$ | $(9,662)$ | $(3,083)$ |
| Q1: 2003 | 62,812 | $(96,131)$ | $(33,319)$ | $(2,098)$ |
| Q2: 2003 | 95,644 | $(139,297)$ | $(43,653)$ | 3,269 |
| Q3: 2003 | 176,644 | $(239,139)$ | $(62,495)$ | 1,736 |
| Q4: 2003 | 213,506 | $(314,139)$ | $(100,633)$ | 11,968 |
| 2003 | 213,506 | $(314,139)$ | $(100,633)$ | 14,875 |
| Q1: 2004 | 226,738 | $(321,050)$ | $(94,312)$ | 17,930 |
| Q2: 2004 | 259,375 | $(356,637)$ | $(97,262)$ | 14,851 |

* Includes deferred bond issuance costs and net premium on asset-backed securities issued.

Table 24
Differences Between GAAP Income and Estimated Taxable Income

## (\$ in Thousands, Except Share Data)
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## Redwood Trust Reports Earnings for the Second Quarter of 2004

## GAAP Earnings of \$2.58 per share and Core Earnings of \$1.74 per share

Mill Valley, CA August 5, 2004 - Redwood Trust, Inc. (NYSE: RWT), a financial institution that invests in real estate loans and securities, today reported GAAP earnings of $\$ 55$ million, or $\$ 2.58$ per share, for the second quarter of 2004. GAAP earnings for the first half of 2004 were $\$ 5.08$ per share.

Core earnings for the second quarter of 2004 were $\$ 1.74$ per share, a $54 \%$ increase over second quarter 2003 core earnings of $\$ 1.13$ per share. Core earnings are on-going earnings from operations and thus exclude gains and losses from asset sales, calls, and changes in market values that are included in reported GAAP earnings. Core earnings for the first half of 2004 were $\$ 3.44$ per share, a $71 \%$ increase over the $\$ 2.01$ we earned in the first half of 2003.

Redwood's total taxable income for the second quarter of 2004 was $\$ 3.04$ per share outstanding. REIT taxable income (excluding income earned in taxable non-REIT subsidiaries) was $\$ 2.76$ per share for the quarter. For the first half of 2004 , total taxable income was $\$ 5.52$ per share and REIT taxable income was $\$ 5.09$ per share. All taxable income results reported here are estimates.
"The portfolio of real estate investments we have built over the last ten years continues to generate outstanding levels of cash flow and earnings," said Doug Hansen, Redwood's President.
"Our new investment activities continue to go well. We invested $\$ 80$ million of equity capital in new real estate investments for our permanent portfolio during the second quarter," added Hansen. "This is an increase from the $\$ 54$ million of equity capital we invested in new assets in the first quarter of 2004. Our permanent investments this year include residential credit-enhancement securities acquired from securitizations sponsored by others, credit-enhancement, interest-only, and similar securities acquired from the "Sequoia" and "Acacia" asset-backed securities transactions that we sponsor, and a small amount of other equity-funded assets such as commercial real estate loans and commercial real estate credit-enhancement securities."
"We believe our new real estate investments are likely to generate attractive cash flows and earnings for our shareholders in the future."

## Second Quarter Review

During the second quarter, Redwood acquired $\$ 2.7$ billion high quality adjustable-rate residential real estate loans, $\$ 335$ million high-quality home equity line of credit (HELOC) loans, $\$ 75$ million residential loan credit-enhancement securities, $\$ 17$ million in commercial real estate loans, and $\$ 193$ million other residential and commercial real estate loan securities. Many of these assets were securitized during the quarter - Redwood sold assets to a securitization trust, and the trusts sold asset-backed securities (ABS) into the capital markets in order to fund the assets. Sales of asset-backed securities by trusts sponsored by Redwood totaled $\$ 3.2$ billion for the quarter. Redwood invested its equity capital to purchase and hold for its permanent investment portfolio a small portion of the asset-backed securities issued by these trusts. For GAAP reporting purposes, all of the assets and ABS obligations of these trusts are consolidated onto Redwood's reported balance sheet.

Redwood continues to use debt solely to fund the acquisition and accumulation of loans and securities on a short-term basis prior to selling these assets to securitization trusts. Redwood's debt totaled \$270 million at June 30, 2004.

Credit results for the second quarter of 2004 were excellent for all of Redwood's asset portfolios.
Redwood has acquired the residential credit-enhancement securities from all of the Sequoia asset-backed securities trusts that Redwood has sponsored. This gives Redwood first-loss credit exposure (and opportunity) on all of the $\$ 20$ billion of Sequoia residential loans that are consolidated on Redwood's reported GAAP balance sheet. There were no credit losses in the Sequoia loan portfolio during the second quarter. Serious delinquencies rose slightly from $0.02 \%$ to $0.03 \%$ of loan balances (from $\$ 3$ million to $\$ 5$ million).

Redwood has built over a period of years a portfolio of $\$ 713$ million principal value ( $\$ 442$ million market value) residential credit-enhancement securities acquired from securitization trusts sponsored by others. This portfolio gives Redwood credit exposure (and opportunity) on $\$ 97$ billion of underlying residential real estate loans. Credit losses for these loans totaled $\$ 1.8$ million for the second quarter, an annualized loss rate of less than one basis point ( $<0.01 \%$ ) of loan balances. Serious delinquencies declined from $\$ 143$ million to $\$ 131$ million for these loans (from $0.20 \%$ to $0.14 \%$ of loan balances).
"Residential loan prepayment patterns continue to be beneficial for our portfolios," noted Hansen. "We benefit from rapid prepayment rates on fixed rate and hybrid loans, and also from slow prepayment rates on adjustable-rate loans. Prepayment rates on fixed and hybrid loans have been rapid but have slowed recently. Prepayments on adjustable-rate loans have been slow but have recently have accelerated. Nevertheless, current prepayment rates are still attractive for our long-term results relative to the prepayment speeds we assume will occur when we acquire new assets."
"We continue to closely match the interest rate characteristics of our assets and liabilities, with the goal of mitigating the direct effects that changes in interest rates could have on our earnings. During the second quarter, six-month LIBOR rates rose by $0.78 \%$ and one-month LIBOR rates rose by $0.26 \%$. We do not believe these rate changes materially affected our second quarter results, nor do we believe these interest rate changes will materially impact our results going forward."

During the second quarter, competition to acquire new assets continued to be strong, and acquisition prices for real estate loans and securities continued to be high relative to asset prices prevalent during the last few years. "Despite increased asset pricing, we continue to source a healthy supply of attractive investments for our portfolio," noted Hansen. "Our relationships with originators, the specialized nature of many of the assets we focus on, and the strong brand names of the Sequoia and Acacia securitization programs allowed us to continue to acquire, securitize, and invest in assets profitably during the second quarter. In general, the effect of higher asset acquisition prices was fully offset by the higher prices (or lower funding costs) that Sequoia and Acacia trusts received from the issuance of their asset-backed securities into a strong fixed-income market."

Redwood's GAAP and taxable income exceeded core earnings due, in part, to calls of residential credit-enhancement securities. During the second quarter of 2004, calls of $\$ 31$ million principal value of these securities generated $\$ 15$ million of GAAP income and $\$ 10$ million of estimated taxable income. Redwood does not include gains or losses from calls, sales, or market value fluctuations in core earnings.

For the second quarter of 2004, a $\$ 5.2$ million one-time income item was included in Redwood's GAAP income (but not in its core income). Redwood's principal taxable non-REIT subsidiary, Holdings, has net operating loss carry-forwards for tax (NOLs) generated from operations that were closed a number of years ago. These NOLs have sheltered the income Holdings has earned in recent quarters. During the second quarter of 2004, Holdings earned sufficient income (primarily from gains-on-sale recognized for tax from profitable Sequoia securitizations plus fees earned from on-going management of Acacia transactions) such that it has now established (for GAAP) a track record of profitability, and thus under GAAP must recognize the remaining value of its NOLs as a one-time gain. Accordingly, in future quarters, Redwood will recognize - for GAAP and core earnings - an on-going tax expense accrual for Holding's income.

The yield Redwood earns on its residential credit-enhancement securities portfolio continued to decline as the highest yielding assets are called away. Redwood's yield from this portfolio was $20.3 \%$ in the second quarter of 2004 versus $21.6 \%$ in the prior quarter and $25.8 \%$ in the second quarter of 2003.

Outlook
"We earned a GAAP return on GAAP equity of $33 \%$ and a core return on core equity of $25 \%$ in the second quarter of 2004," noted Hansen. "We do not believe these levels of return are sustainable.
"We expect that continued calls of the highest yielding assets in our residential credit-enhancement securities portfolio will diminish our on-going core earnings per share results over time, irrespective of market conditions, interest rates, or the quality and quantity of new assets we acquire."
"In addition, many facets of our operating environment, including credit results, prepayment patterns, the level of supply of new loans, and the level of competition, have been extraordinarily favorable for several years. We are expecting a return to market conditions that could be considered more 'normal' or 'average' going forward. As a result, the long-term returns we realize from the assets we acquire today are not likely to reach the levels currently being produced by our seasoned assets."
"We remain optimistic about our business, while recognizing that we will probably face some headwind (or enjoy less of a tailwind) going forward. We have a high-quality portfolio of long-life assets, our operations and balance sheet are strong and efficient, and we have a leading market position. We believe we will continue to produce attractive returns for shareholders."
"We completed a $\$ 52$ million equity offering in May. Including stock issued via our Direct Purchase and Dividend Reinvestment Plan, we raised a total of $\$ 100$ million of new equity in the first half of 2004. Due to a strong supply of new investment opportunities, however, our equity capital was close to being fully invested by the end of the second quarter of 2004. To continue capitalizing on our market opportunities, we will most likely seek to raise additional equity capital during the second half of 2004. We believe additional equity issuance would be accretive to both earnings and book value. Nevertheless, we will continue to minimize our new stock issuance by aggressively recycling equity capital within our balance sheet and also by acquiring and securitizing only those new investments that we believe are both high quality and also have an attractive risk-reward profile."
"The outlook for continuing our regular dividend payments of $\$ 0.67$ per share per quarter remains excellent," Hansen continued. "Since we earned an estimated $\$ 5.09$ per share in REIT taxable income in the first half of 2004, it looks increasingly likely that we will be able to pay one or more special dividends during the remainder of 2004 or during 2005. Whether we pay special dividends, and the amount of any such special dividends, will depend on REIT taxable income results for the remainder of the year and on other factors Redwood's Board may consider before declaring dividends."

Disclosure and transparency
"We have always worked hard to keep our shareholders well informed in a clear and timely manner," said Hansen. "We have recently made two changes to our shareholder communications that we believe will further improve our disclosure and transparency."
"First, we are now releasing our press release, our Form 10-Q (including our financial statements, footnotes, and management's discussion and analysis), and our supplemental information package all on the same day. We seek to make sure that all the up-to-date
information on Redwood's business is included in these documents. We believe it is better for shareholders to have access to all these documents at the same time rather than having them released over a period of several weeks." (You can access these documents at our web site - www.redwoodtrust.com.)
"Second, we have revised some of the terminology we use to describe our business, and we are disclosing more specific information about our securitization activities and the financial nature of our balance sheet. Here is one example: We often invest in a small portion of the asset-backed securities issued by securitization trusts (Sequoia and Acacia) that we sponsor. Although our investment is limited, for GAAP purposes all of the assets and liabilities of these securitization trusts are consolidated on our reported balance sheet. As a result, we reported consolidated GAAP assets of $\$ 22$ billion and consolidated GAAP liabilities of $\$ 21$ billion as of June 30, 2004. This implies (correctly) that we have assumed the credit and prepayment risk on a large volume of real estate loans and securities relative to our equity base. It also perhaps implies (incorrectly) that Redwood has unlimited risk with respect to these assets, and also perhaps implies (again, incorrectly) that Redwood employs a high degree of financial leverage. In fact, Redwood had total debt of $\$ 270$ million at June 30, 2004 (all of which was short-term recourse debt) not a particularly large amount for a financial institution, relative to our equity base of $\$ 758$ million. The terminology we formerly used in our reported financial statements for the obligations of securitization trusts that are consolidated onto our balance sheet for GAAP purposes was "non-recourse long-term debt". These obligations are non-recourse to Redwood Trust, but they are not Redwood's long-term debt. They are solely the "long-term debt" obligations of the securitization trusts. Redwood does not have any long-term debt. Our new terminology for the obligations of these trusts that are consolidated onto our reported GAAP balance sheet is 'asset-backed securities issued'."
"Our GAAP balance sheet can be an important and valuable tool for investors. No single way of looking at our business, however, can effectively answer all the types of questions investors might have. So, at the risk of adding more complexity to a set of disclosures that are already complex, we continue to revise and expand our disclosures, seeking to provide greater transparency for shareholders. Please see our second quarter 2004 Form 10-Q, released today."
"Safe Harbor" Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995: Certain matters discussed in this news release may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws that inherently include certain risks and uncertainties. Actual results and the timing of certain events could differ materially from those projected in or contemplated by the forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including, among other things, changes in interest rates on our real estate loan assets and borrowings, changes in prepayment rates on our real estate loan assets, general economic conditions, particularly as they affect the price of real estate loan and the credit status of borrowers, and the level of liquidity in the capital markets, as it affects our ability to finance our real estate loan portfolio, and other risk factors outlined in the Company's 2003 Annual Report on Form 10-K (available on the Company's Web site or by request to the Contacts listed above). Other factors not presently identified may also cause actual results to differ. No one should assume that results or trends projected in or contemplated by the forward-looking statements included above will prove to be accurate in the future. We will revise our outlook from time to time and frequently will not disclose such revisions publicly.

## REDWOOD TRUST, INC.

## (All dollars in millions, except per share data)


(1) Core earnings is not a measure of earnings in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). It is calculated as GAAP earnings from ongoing operations less net recognized gains (losses) and valuation adjustments (which include gains and losses from sales and calls and valuation adjustments on certain assets hedges) and deferred tax benefits. Management believes that core earnings provides relevant and useful information regarding its results from operations in addition to GAAP measures of performance. This is, in part, because market valuation adjustments on only a portion of the company's assets and stock options and none of its liabilities are recognized through the income statement under GAAP and thus GAAP valuation adjustments may not be fully indicative of changes in market values on the balance sheet as a whole or a reliable guide to current operating performance. Furthermore, gains or losses realized upon sales of assets vary based on portfolio management decisions; a sale of an asset for a gain or a loss may or may not affect on-going earnings from operations. Because all companies and analysts do not calculate non-GAAP measures such as core earnings in the same fashion, core earnings as calculated by the company may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.
(2) Core equity is calculated as GAAP equity less unrealized gains and losses on certain assets and hedges. Management believes measurements based on core equity provide relevant and useful information regarding its results of operations in addition to GAAP measures of performance. This is, in part, because market valuation adjustments reflected in GAAP equity represent unrealized gains and losses on a portion of the balance sheet only and may not be reflective of the equity available to invest in operations. Because all companies and analysts do not calculate non-GAAP measures in the same fashion, core equity and ratios using core equity as calculated by the company may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

## REDWOOD TRUST, INC.

## (All dollars in millions, except per share data)

| INCOME STATEMENT | Six Months 2004 | Six Months 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Income | \$ 262.8 | \$ 132.6 |
| Interest Expense | (169.9) | (78.7) |
| Net Interest Income | \$ 92.9 | \$ 53.8 |
| Operating Expenses | (17.7) | (13.8) |
| Net Recognized Gains (Losses) and Valuation Adjustments | 29.7 | 3.9 |
| Variable Stock Option Expense | (0.8) | (2.4) |
| Provision for Income Taxes | 1.8 | (3.6) |
| Preferred Dividends | 0 | (0.7) |
| GAAP Earnings | \$ 105.9 | \$ 37.1 |
| Less: Net Recognized Gains (Losses) and Valuation Adjustments | (29.7) | (3.9) |
| Less: Variable Stock Option Expense (Income) | 0.8 | 2.4 |
| Deferred Tax Benefit | (5.2) | 0.0 |
| Core Earnings (1) | \$ 71.8 | \$ 35.7 |
| Average Diluted Shares | 20,856 | 17,730 |
| GAAP Earnings per Share (Diluted) | \$ 5.08 | \$ 2.09 |
| Core Earnings per Share (1) | \$ 3.44 | \$ 2.01 |
| Estimated Total Taxable Income Per Share Outstanding | \$ 5.52 | \$ 3.51 |
| Dividends per Common Share (Regular) | \$ 1.340 | \$ 1.300 |
| Dividends per Common Share (Special) | \$ 0.500 | \$ 0.000 |
| Total Dividends per Common Share | \$ 1.840 | \$ 1.300 |
| Yield on Earning Assets | 2.73\% | 3.33\% |
| Cost of Debt and Consolidated Asset-Backed Securities | 1.81\% | 2.07\% |
| Net Interest Income / Average GAAP Equity | 29.8\% | 21.6\% |
| Net Interest Income / Average Core Equity (2) | 34.1\% | 25.5\% |
| GAAP Return on Equity: GAAP Earnings/ Average GAAP Equity | 33.9\% | 15.3\% |
| Core Return on Equity: Core Earnings / Average Core Equity | 26.3\% | 17.5\% |

(1) Core earnings is not a measure of earnings in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). It is calculated as GAAP earnings from ongoing operations less net recognized gains (losses) and valuation adjustments (which include gains and losses from sales and calls and valuation adjustments on certain assets hedges) and deferred tax benefits. Management believes that core earnings provides relevant and useful information regarding its results from operations in addition to GAAP measures of performance. This is, in part, because market valuation adjustments on only a portion of the company's assets and stock options and none of its liabilities are recognized through the income statement under GAAP and thus GAAP valuation adjustments may not be fully indicative of changes in market values on the balance sheet as a whole or a reliable guide to current operating performance. Furthermore, gains or losses realized upon sales of assets vary based on portfolio management decisions; a sale of an asset for a gain or a loss may or may not affect on-going earnings from operations. Because all companies and analysts do not calculate non-GAAP measures such as core earnings in the same fashion, core earnings as calculated by the company may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.
(2) Core equity is calculated as GAAP equity less unrealized gains and losses on certain assets and hedges. Management believes measurements based on core equity provide relevant and useful information regarding its results of operations in addition to GAAP measures of performance. This is, in part, because market valuation adjustments reflected in GAAP equity represent unrealized gains and losses on a portion of the balance sheet only and may not be reflective of the equity available to invest in operations. Because all companies and analysts do not calculate non-GAAP measures in the same fashion, core equity and ratios using core equity as calculated by the company may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

## REDWOOD TRUST, INC.

## (All dollars in millions, except per share data)

| CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30-Jun } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 31-Mar } \\ \text { 2004 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 31-Dec } \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30-Sep } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30-Jun } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Real Estate Loans | \$19,916 | \$18,086 | \$16,239 | \$13,813 | \$ 9,247 |
| Residential Home Equity Lines of Credit (HELOC) | 327 | - | - | - | - |
| Residential Loan Credit-Enhancement Securities | 442 | 375 | 379 | 373 | 393 |
| Commercial Real Estate Loans | 34 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 35 |
| Securities Portfolio | 1,095 | 937 | 845 | 605 | 596 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | 38 | 58 | 59 | 32 | 36 |
| Working Capital and Other Assets | 110 | 66 | 83 | 54 | 49 |
| Total Consolidated Assets | \$21,962 | \$19,544 | \$17,627 | \$14,901 | \$10,356 |
| Short-Term Debt | \$ 270 | \$ 278 | \$ 236 | \$ 500 | \$ 218 |
| Consolidated Asset-Back Securities Issued | 20,870 | 18,583 | 16,783 | 13,782 | 9,543 |
| Working Capital and Other Liabilities | 64 | 75 | 55 | 53 | 48 |
| Preferred Equity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Common Equity | 758 | 608 | 553 | 566 | 547 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | 21,962 | \$19,544 | \$17,627 | \$14,901 | \$10,356 |
| Total GAAP Equity | \$ 758 | \$ 608 | \$ 553 | \$ 566 | \$ 547 |
| Less: Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | (111) | (79) | (82) | (91) | (108) |
| Core Equity | \$ 647 | \$ 529 | \$ 471 | \$ 475 | \$ 439 |
| Common Shares Outstanding at Period End (thousands) | 21,511 | 19,796 | 19,063 | 18,468 | 17,821 |
| GAAP Equity (GAAP Book Value) per Common Share | \$ 35.24 | \$ 30.72 | \$ 29.03 | \$ 30.65 | \$ 30.70 |
| Core Equity (Core Book Value) per Common Share | \$ 30.06 | \$ 26.75 | \$ 24.72 | \$ 25.75 | \$ 24.62 |
| Average Total Consolidated Assets | \$20,610 | \$18,386 | \$15,758 | \$12,132 | \$ 8,687 |
| Average Consolidated Earning Assets | \$20,283 | \$18,158 | \$15,504 | \$11,911 | \$ 8,524 |
| Average Debt and Asset Backed Securities Issued | \$19,890 | \$17,747 | \$15,120 | \$11,542 | \$ 8,160 |
| Average Total GAAP Equity (Common and Preferred) | \$ 664 | \$ 584 | \$ 559 | \$ 553 | \$ 505 |

## REDWOOD TRUST, INC.

## (All dollars in millions)

| LEVERAGE RATIOS (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30-Jun } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 31-Mar } \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 31-Dec } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30-Sep } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30-Jun } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Reported Consolidated Assets | \$ 21,962 | \$ 19,544 | \$ 17,627 | \$ 14,901 | \$10,356 |
| Less: Assets Consolidated from Asset-Backed Securities Trusts | $(20,934)$ | $(18,658)$ | $(16,838)$ | $(13,835)$ | $(9,591)$ |
| Redwood's Direct Assets | \$ 1,028 | \$ 886 | \$ 789 | \$ 1,066 | \$ 765 |
| Total Redwood Debt and Consolidated Asset-Backed Securities | \$ 21,140 | \$ 18,861 | \$ 17,019 | \$ 14,282 | \$ 9,761 |
| Less: Consolidated Asset-Backed Securities | $(20,870)$ | $(18,583)$ | $(16,783)$ | $(13,782)$ | $(9,543)$ |
| Redwood's Debt | \$ 270 | \$ 278 | \$ 236 | \$ 500 | \$ 218 |
| Reported Debt and Consolidated Asset-Backed Securities to GAAP Equity | 28x | 31x | 31x | 25x | 18x |
| GAAP Equity / Total Reported Consolidated Assets | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| Redwood Debt to GAAP Equity | 0.4 x | 0.5 x | 0.4 x | 0.9x | 0.4 x |
| GAAP Equity / Redwood's Direct Assets | 74\% | 69\% | 70\% | 53\% | 72\% |

(1) The Asset-Backed Securities reported on our GAAP balance sheet as liabilities consist of Asset-Backed securities issued by bankruptcy-remote securitization trusts. The owners of these securities have no recourse to Redwood and must look only to the assets of the securitization trust for repayment. Both the assets and liabilities of these trusts, however, are consolidated on Redwood's balance sheet for GAAP reporting purposes. Management believes that, in addition to using GAAP measures, an analyst could achieve insight into Redwood's business and balance sheet by distinguishing between debt that must be repaid by Redwood and Asset-Backed Securities that are consolidated onto Redwood's balance sheet from other entities. This table shows leverage ratios calculated for Redwood using both GAAP measures and also measures that incorporate Redwood's debt only.

## REDWOOD TRUST, INC.

## (All dollars in millions, except per share data)

|  | Second Quarter 2004 | First Quarter 2004 | Fourth Quarter 2003 | Third Quarter 2003 | Second Quarter 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consolidated Residential Real Estate Loans |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start of Period Balances | \$18,086 | \$16,239 | \$13,813 | \$ 9,247 | \$7,321 |
| Acquisitions | 2,703 | 2,322 | 2,897 | 4,997 | 2,168 |
| Sales Proceeds (not including sales to consolidated asset-backed securities trusts) | 0 | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 |
| Principal Pay Downs | (858) | (460) | (458) | (420) | (235) |
| Net Amortization Expense | (14) | (12) | (10) | (9) | (5) |
| Net Charge Offs (Recoveries) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Credit Provisions | (1) | (3) | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| Net Recognized Gains (losses) \& Valuation Adjustments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| End of Period Balances | \$19,916 | \$18,086 | \$16,239 | \$13,813 | \$9,247 |
| Average Amortized Cost During Period, Net of Credit Reserves | \$18,754 | \$16,916 | \$14,381 | \$10,958 | \$7,670 |
| Interest Income | \$ 110 | \$ 99 | \$ 83 | \$ 64 | \$ 47 |
| Yield | 2.34\% | 2.34\% | 2.30\% | 2.32\% | 2.47\% |
| Principal Value of Loans | \$19,767 | \$17,951 | \$16,111 | \$13,704 | \$9,206 |
| Credit Reserve | (20) | (19) | (16) | (14) | (12) |
| Net Premium to be Amortized | 169 | 154 | 144 | 123 | 53 |
| Residential Real Estate Loans | \$19,916 | \$18,086 | \$16,239 | \$13,813 | \$9,247 |
| Credit Reserve, Start of Period | \$ 19 | \$ 16 | \$ 14 | \$ 12 | \$ 10 |
| Net Charge-Offs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Credit Provisions | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Credit Reserve, End of Period | \$ 20 | \$ 19 | \$ 16 | \$ 14 | \$ 12 |
| Delinquencies ( 90 days $+\mathrm{FC}+\mathrm{BK}+\mathrm{REO}$ ) | \$ 5 | \$ 3 | \$ 5 | \$ 2 | \$ 4 |
| Delinquencies as \% of Residential Loans | 0.03\% | 0.02\% | 0.03\% | 0.01\% | 0.04\% |
| Net charge-offs as \% of Residential Loans (Annualized) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.01\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Reserve as \% of Residential Loans | 0.10\% | 0.10\% | 0.10\% | 0.10\% | 0.13\% |
| Reserve as \% of Delinquencies | 374\% | 548\% | 301\% | 852\% | 312\% |

## REDWOOD TRUST, INC.

(All dollars in millions, except per share data)

|  | Second Quarter 2004 | First Quarter 2004 <br> 2004 | Fourth Quarter 2003 | Third Quarter 2003 | Second Quarter 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consolidated Residential Home Equity Lines of Credit (HELOC) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start of Period Balances | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Acquisitions | 335 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sales Proceeds (not including sales to consolidated asset-backed securities trusts) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Principal Pay Downs | (8) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Amortization Expense | (0) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Charge Offs (Recoveries) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Credit Provisions | (0) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Recognized Gains (losses) \& Valuation Adjustments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| End of Period Balances | \$ 327 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Average Amortized Cost During Period, Net of Credit Reserves | \$ 124 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Interest Income | \$ 1 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Yield | 1.73\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Principal Value of Loans | \$ 317 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Credit Reserve | (0) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net Premium to be Amortized | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential Home Equity Lines of Credit | \$ 327 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Credit Reserve, Start of Period | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Net Charge-Offs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Credit Provisions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Credit Reserve, End of Period | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Delinquencies ( 90 days + FC + BK + REO) | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Delinquencies as \% of HELOCs | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Net charge-offs as \% of HELOCs (Annualized) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Reserve as \% of HELOCs | 0.01\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Reserve as \% of Delinquencies | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |

## REDWOOD TRUST, INC.

## (All dollars in millions, except per share data)


(1) Total credit protection represents the aggregate of the internally designated credit reserve and the amount of any junior securities with respect to each credit-enhanced security. The credit protection amount for any credit-enhanced security is only available to absorb losses on the pool of loans related to that security. To the extent such losses exceed the credit protection amount for that security, a charge-off of the net investment in that security would result.

## REDWOOD TRUST, INC.

## (All dollars in millions, except per share data)

| Commercial Real Estate Loans | Second Quarter 2004 |  | First Quarter 2004 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fourth } \\ \text { Quarter } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ |  | Third Quarter 2003 |  | Second Quarter 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start of Period Balances | \$ |  | \$ | 22 |  | 24 | \$ | 35 |  | 31 |
| Acquisitions |  | 17 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 4 |
| Sales Proceeds (not including sales to consolidated asset-backed securities trusts) |  | (2) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | (1) |  | 0 |
| Principal Pay Downs |  | (3) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | (11) |  | 0 |
| Net Amortization Income |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Credit Provisions |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | (1) |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Net Recognized Gains (losses) \& Valuation Adjustments |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | (1) |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| End of Period Balances | \$ |  | \$ | 22 |  | 22 | \$ | 24 | \$ | 35 |
| Average Amortized Cost During Period, Net of Credit Reserves | \$ | 26 | \$ | 22 |  | 23 | \$ | 30 | \$ | 33 |
| Interest Income | \$ | 0.9 | \$ | 0.7 |  | 0.2 | \$ | 0.9 | \$ | 1.0 |
| Yield |  | 3.29\% |  | 2.56\% |  | 4.16\% |  | 2.33\% |  | .59\% |
| Principal Value of Loans | \$ | 43 | \$ | 31 |  | 31 | \$ | 31 | \$ | 42 |
| Credit Reserve and Credit Protection |  | (8) |  | (8) |  | (8) |  | (7) |  | (7) |
| Net Discount to be Amortized |  | (8) |  | (1) |  | (1) |  | (0) |  | (0) |
| Commercial Mortgage Loans | \$ | 34 | \$ | 22 |  |  | \$ | 24 | \$ | 35 |
| Commercial Real Estate Loan Delinquencies | \$ | 0 | S | 0 |  | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 1 |
| Commercial Real Estate Loan Net Charge-Offs | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |  | 0 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| Commercial Real Estate Loan Credit Provisions | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |  | 1 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| Commercial Real Estate Loan Credit Reserves and Credit Protection | \$ | 8 | \$ | 8 |  | 8 | \$ | 7 | \$ | 7 |


| Securities Portfolio | Second Quarter 2004 | First Quarter 2004 | Fourth Quarter 2003 | Third Quarter 2003 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Second } \\ & \text { Quarter } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start of Period Balances | \$ 937 | \$ 845 | \$ 605 | \$ 596 | \$ 366 |
| Acquisitions | 193 | 86 | 257 | 28 | 238 |
| Sales Proceeds (not including sales to consolidated Asset-Backed securities trusts) | (9) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (4) |
| Principal Pay Downs | (10) | (10) | (17) | (13) | (12) |
| Net Amortization Income (Expense) | (1) | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 |
| Net Unrealized Gains (losses) | 0 | 16 | 4 | (3) | 8 |
| Net Recognized Gains (losses) \& Valuation Adjustments | (15) | 0 | (3) | (3) | 0 |
| End of Period Balances | \$1,095 | \$ 937 | \$ 845 | \$ 605 | \$ 596 |
| Average Amortized Cost During Period | \$ 980 | \$ 862 | \$ 710 | \$ 603 | \$ 454 |
| Interest Income | \$ 11 | \$ 10 | \$ 8 | \$ 6 | \$ 5 |
| Yield | 4.30\% | 4.46\% | 4.40\% | 4.30\% | 4.46\% |
| Principal Value of Securities | \$1,097 | \$ 921 | \$ 833 | \$ 599 | \$ 587 |
| Net (Discount) Premium to be Amortized | (7) | (4) | 8 | 7 | 6 |
| Net Unrealized Gains (losses) | 5 | 20 | 4 | (1) | 3 |
| Securities Portfolio | \$1,095 | \$ 937 | \$ 845 | \$ 605 | \$ 596 |

## REDWOOD TRUST, INC.

(All dollars in millions, except per share data)
Differences Between GAAP Net Income and Estimated Total Taxable and REIT Taxable Income

|  | For the Three Months Ended 6/30/04 |  | For the six Months Ended 6/30/04 |  | For the Year Ended 12/31/03 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GAAP Net Income | \$ | 55.1 | \$ | 105.9 | \$ | 131.7 |
| Amortization and credit provision expenses |  | 16.2 |  | 22.9 |  | 39.3 |
| Operating expenses |  | 2.7 |  | 6.1 |  | 10.0 |
| Stock options exercised |  | (0.5) |  | (12.3) |  | (4.0) |
| Provision for excise tax |  | 0.2 |  | 0.5 |  | 1.2 |
| Deferred tax benefit |  | (5.2) |  | (5.2) |  | - |
| Asset valuation adjustments |  | (3.1) |  | (3.5) |  | (7.1) |
| Estimated Total Taxable Income (1) |  | 65.4 |  | 114.4 |  | 171.1 |
| (Earnings)/losses from taxable subsidiaries |  | (6.1) |  | (9.0) |  | (7.7) |
| Estimated REIT taxable income (1) | \$ | 59.3 | \$ | 105.4 | \$ | 163.4 |
| GAAP Income per share based on average diluted shares during period | \$ | 2.58 | \$ | 5.08 | \$ | 7.09 |
| Total taxable income per share based on shares outstanding at period end (1) | \$ | 3.04 | \$ | 5.52 | \$ | 9.36 |
| REIT taxable income per share based on shares outstanding at period end (1) | \$ | 2.76 | \$ | 5.09 | \$ | 8.94 |

(1) Estimated total taxable income and estimated REIT taxable income are not GAAP performance measures but are important measures as they are the basis of our dividend distributions to shareholders.


[^0]:    * Average excludes unrealized gains(losses) from mark-to-market adjustments.

[^1]:    * Projected principal receipts on fixed-rate and hybrid assets over the next twelve months.

