UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K CURRENT REPORT

Pursuant to Section 13 OR 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): February 26, 2009

REDWOOD TRUST, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

001-13759 (Commission File Number)

68-0329422 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Maryland (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

One Belvedere Place

Suite 300 Mill Valley, California 94941

(Address of principal executive offices and Zip Code)

(415) 389-7373

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2. below):

□ Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

□ Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

□ Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Item 2.02. Results of Operations and Financial Condition; Item 7.01. Regulation FD Disclosure.

On February 26, 2009, Redwood Trust, Inc. issued A press release announcing its financial results for the year ended December 31, 2008, a copy of which is attached as Exhibits 99.1 to this current report on Form 8-K.

On February 26, 2009, Redwood Trust, Inc. issued *The Redwood Review – 4th Quarter 2008*, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 99.2 to this current report on Form 8-K.

The information contained in this Item 2.02 and Item 7.01 and the attached Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2 is furnished to and not filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits

Exhibit 99.1	Press Release dated February 26, 2009
Exhibit 99.2	The Redwood Review – 4th Quarter 2008

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 26, 2009

REDWOOD TRUST, INC.

By: /s/ MARTIN S. HUGHES Martin S. Hughes

Martin S. Hughes President, Co-Chief Operating Officer, and Chief Financial Officer Exhibit Index

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	Exhibit Title
99.1	Press Release dated February 26, 2009
99.2	The Redwood Review – 4th Quarter 2008



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Redwood Trust, Inc. Thursday, February 26, 2009 CONTACTS: Mike McMahon (415) 384-3805

Martin S. Hughes (415) 389-7373

REDWOOD TRUST REPORTS FOURTH QUARTER 2008 RESULTS

MILL VALLEY, CA – February 26, 2009 – Redwood Trust, Inc. (NYSE:RWT) today reported a GAAP net loss for the fourth quarter of 2008 of \$116 million, or a loss of \$3.46 per share. This GAAP loss included \$111 million, or \$3.33 per share, of net negative market valuation adjustments (MVA). This compares to a net loss of \$3.34 per share for the third quarter of 2008, which included \$3.81 per share of net negative MVA, and a net loss of \$36.49 per share for the fourth quarter of 2007, which included \$37.89 per share of net negative MVA.

For 2008, our reported GAAP net loss was \$444 million, or \$13.46 per share, which included \$493 million, or \$14.93 per share, of net negative MVA. This compares to a GAAP net loss of \$1.1 billion, or \$39.70 per share, in 2007, which included \$1.3 billion, or \$45.15 per share, of net negative MVA.

In comparing our 2008 results to corresponding periods in 2007, it is important to realize that during 2007 we recorded fair value declines for assets held by our Acacia CDO securitization entities, but were not permitted to record generally offsetting fair value declines for Acacia's paired liabilities. On January 1, 2008, we adopted FAS 159, a new fair value accounting standard that permits us to mark-to-market both the assets and the liabilities of the consolidated Acacia CDO entities for 2008 and subsequent periods.

For the fourth quarter of 2008 we estimate a taxable loss of \$9 million, or \$0.25 per share, compared to our estimated taxable income of \$2 million, or \$0.07 per share, for the third quarter of 2008, and taxable income of \$29 million, or \$0.91 per share, for the fourth quarter of 2007. Estimated taxable income for 2008 was \$23 million, or \$0.72 per share, compared to \$164 million, or \$5.79 per share, in 2007.

"Our earnings continue to be impacted by negative market valuation adjustments, but our cash flows remain strong. We ended 2008 with \$126 million in cash and no short-term debt, and we raised an additional \$283 million in our January 2009 equity offering," said Marty Hughes, Redwood's President and Chief Financial Officer.

Although we constantly analyze investment opportunities, we did not make new investments during a four month period in the middle of 2008 due to the unprecedented level of uncertainty relating to the economy, the financial markets, and the size, scope, and form of government initiatives. Later in 2008 we became a more active investor in residential mortgage-backed securities, investing \$50 million in the fourth quarter, and investing an additional \$98 million in 2009 through February 24th.

"We believe it is unlikely that there will be a near-term recovery in housing and the economy. If there were an easy fix, the people addressing these problems would have found it by now," said Brett Nicholas, Redwood's Chief Investment Officer. "Despite the gloomy outlook, we are finding attractive investment opportunities. We believe Redwood can prosper in the current environment and we have the capital to build our franchise in the months and years to come," he added.

The accounting concepts and disclosures relating to our financial statements are complex. Today, we also released our*Redwood Review* covering the fourth quarter of 2008. The *Redwood Review* contains a more detailed discussion of our business performance and outlook. The*Redwood Review* is available on our website at <u>www.redwoodtrust.com</u>. We strongly recommend reading the *Redwood Review* and our Annual Report on Form 10-K in conjunction with this press release.

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 was filed today with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Form 10-K is also available on our website at <u>www.redwoodtrust.com</u>.

Consolidated Income Statement (\$ in millions, except share data)	Ç	Fourth Quarter 2008	 Third Quarter 2008	 Second Quarter 2008		First Quarter 2008	 Fourth Quarter 2007
Interest income	\$	123	\$ 131	\$ 137	\$	177	\$ 207
Interest expense		(99)	 (92)	 (98)	_	(127)	 (153)
Net interest income		24	39	39		50	54
Provision for loan losses		(19)	(18)	(10)		(8)	(5)
Market valuation adjustments, net		(111)	 (127)	(60)		(195)	 (1,119)
Net interest income after provision and market valuation adjustments		(106)	(106)	(31)		(153)	(1,070)
Operating expenses		(14)	(17)	(15)		(16)	(16)
Realized gains on sales and calls, net		6	-	3		-	7
Minority interest allocation		2	2	(2)		-	-
(Provision for) benefit from income taxes		(4)	 10	 (1)		(2)	 2
GAAP net loss	\$	(116)	\$ (111)	\$ (46)	\$	(171)	\$ (1,077)
Average diluted shares (thousands)		33,366	33,334	32,871		32,511	29,531
GAAP earnings per share (diluted)	\$	(3.46)	\$ (3.34)	\$ (1.40)	\$	(5.28)	\$ (36.49)
Regular dividends declared per common share	\$	0.75	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.75	\$	0.75	\$ 0.75
Special dividends declared per common share		-	 -			-	 2.00
Total dividends declared per common share	\$	0.75	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.75	\$	0.75	\$ 2.75

Consolidated Income Statement		Year E	nded	
(\$ in millions, except share data)		Decemb	oer 31,	
	20	008		2007
Interest income	\$	568	\$	868
Interest expense	Ψ	(416)	Ψ	(652)
Net interest income		152		216
Provision for loan losses		(55)		(13)
Market valuation adjustments, net		(493)		(1,261)
Net interest income after provision and market valuation adjustments		(396)		(1,058)
Operating expenses		(62)		(59)
Realized gains on sales and calls, net		9		13
Minority interest allocation		2		-
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes		3		(5)
GAAP net loss	\$	(444)	\$	(1,109)
Average diluted shares (thousands)		33,023		27,928
GAAP earnings per share (diluted)	\$	(13.46)	\$	(39.70)
Regular dividends declared per common share	\$	3.00	\$	3.00
Special dividends declared per common share		-		2.00
Total dividends declared per common share	\$	3.00	\$	5.00

Consolidated Balance Sheet (\$ in millions, except share data)	1-Dec 2008	 30-Sep 2008	 30-Jun 2008	 31-Mar 2008	 1-Jan ⁽¹⁾ 2008	 31-Dec 2007
Real estate loans	\$ 4,659	\$ 6,101	\$ 6,377	\$ 6,775	\$ 7,204	\$ 7,204
Real estate securities, at fair value:						
Trading securities	340	574	841	952	1,805	12
Available-for-sale securities	233	288	400	242	317	2,110
Other investments	78	79	79	79	79	79
Cash and cash equivalents	126	177	148	257	290	290
Other assets	146	155	201	241	223	244
Total assets	\$ 5,582	\$ 7,374	\$ 8,046	\$ 8,546	\$ 9,918	\$ 9,939
Short-term debt	\$ -	\$ 7	\$ 9	\$ 2	\$ 8	\$ 8
Other liabilities	252	167	166	211	170	170
Asset-backed securities issued - Sequoia	4,508	5,930	6,175	6,544	6,946	6,946
Asset-backed securities issued - Acacia ⁽²⁾	347	673	935	1,046	1,893	3,383
Long-term debt	150	150	150	150	150	150
Minority interest	23	35	47	8	-	-
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	302	412	564	585	751	(718)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 5,582	\$ 7,374	\$ 8,046	\$ 8,546	\$ 9,918	\$ 9,939
Shares outstanding at period end (thousands)	33,471	33,238	33,184	32,710	32,385	32,385
GAAP book value per share	\$ 9.02	\$ 12.40	\$ 17.00	\$ 17.89	\$ 23.18	\$ (22.18)

(1) We adopted the fair value option under FAS 159 (FVO) for assets and liabilities of Acacia and certain other assets effective January 1, 2008.
(2) Prior to 2008, ABS issued by Acacia were accounted for at cost.

Consolidating Income Statement Three Months Ended December 31, 2008												
(\$ in millions)	Rea	dwood	Th Fur		Seq	uoia	A	cacia		tercompany djustments		Redwood onsolidated
Interest income	\$	18	\$	2	\$	71	S	36	\$	(1)	\$	126
Net discount (premium)	+		-		-				-	(-)	-	
amortization		(3)		1		(1)		-		-		(3)
Total interest				_			_					
income		15		3		70		36		(1)		123
Management												
fees		1	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		1
Interest						(6.1)		(2.5)				(100)
expense	_	(2)		-		(64)		(35)		1	_	(100)
Net interest	<u>_</u>		<u>_</u>		<u>_</u>				^		<i>•</i>	
income	\$	14	\$	3	\$	6	\$	1	\$	-	\$	24
Provision for						(10)						(10)
loan losses Market		-		-		(19)		-		-		(19)
valuation												
adjustments,												
net		(103)		(7)		(3)		(4)		6		(111)
Net interest		(105)		<u>()</u>		(5)	-	(-)	-		-	(111)
(loss) income after												
provision and market												
valuation												
adjustments		(89)		(4)		(16)		(3)		6		(106)
Operating												
expenses		(13)		(1)		-		-		-		(14)
Realized gains (losses) on sales and												
calls, net		_		_		12		_		(6)		6
Loss from the						12				(0)		0
Fund		(3)		-		-		-		3		-
Loss from		(-)								5		
Sequoia		(4)		-		-		-		4		-
Loss from												
Acacia		(3)		-		-		-		3		-
Minority												
interest				~								
allocation		-		2		-		-		-		2
Provision for		(4)										(4)
income taxes	_	(4)	_	_	_		-		-	-	_	(4)
Net (loss) Income	\$	(116)	¢	<u>(3</u>)	¢	(4)	•		\$	10	\$	(116)

Consolidating Income Statement Year Ended December 31, 2008 Intercompany Redwood Adjustments Consolidated (\$ in millions) The RedwoodFundSequoiaAcaciaA\$ 79\$ 5\$ 327\$ 161\$ 565 Interest income \$ (7) \$ Net discount (premium) amortization 19 5 (22) --Total interest 161 (7) 567 income 98 10 305 Management 5 -_ fees --Interest (9) - (276) (141) 7 (419) expense Net interest \$ 94 \$ 10 \$ 29 \$ 20 \$ - \$ 153 income Provision for loan losses - --(55) (55) -

2

Market valuation adjustments,						
net	(390)	(15)	(7)	(88)	7	(493)
Net interest						
(loss) income						
after						
provision and						
market						
valuation	(200	(-)	(2.2)	(60)	_	(20.5)
adjustments	(296)	(5)	(33)	(68)	7	(395)
Operating	(61)	(1)				(62)
expenses Realized gains	(61)	(1)	-	-	-	(62)
on sales and						
calls, net	1	2	12	_	(7)	8
Loss from the	•	-			(')	0
Fund	(2)	-	-	-	2	-
Loss from						
Sequoia	(21)	-	-	-	21	-
Loss from						
Acacia	(68)	-	-	-	68	-
Minority						
interest						
allocation	-	2	-	-	-	2
Benefit from	2					2
income taxes	3					3
Net (loss)	¢ (444)	e (2) ((21)		01	Ф (А А А)
Income	<u>\$ (444)</u>	<u>\$ (2)</u>	<u>(21)</u>	<u>\$ (68)</u>	<u> </u>	<u>\$ (444</u>)

Consolidating Balance Sheet December 31, 2008

(\$ in millions)			The				Inter	company	R	edwood
(;	Rec	lwood	 Fund	_	Sequoia	 Acacia		ustments		nsolidated
Real estate loans	\$	3	\$ -	\$	4,644	\$ 12	\$	-	\$	4,659
Real estate securities, at fair value:										
Trading securities		6	-		-	334		-		340
Available-for-sale securities		185	48		-	74		(74)		233
Other investments		-	-		-	78		-		78
Cash and cash equivalents		126	-		-	-		-		126
Investment in the Fund		28	-		-	-		(28)		-
Investment in Sequoia		97	-		-	-		(97)		-
Investment in Acacia		16	 -	_	-	 -		(16)		-
Total earning assets		461	48		4,644	498		(215)		5,436
Other assets		37	 5	_	44	 60				146
Total Assets	\$	498	\$ 53	\$	4,688	\$ 558	\$	(215)	\$	5,582
Short-term debt	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Other liabilities		46	2		9	195		-		252
Asset-backed securities issued - Sequoia		-	-		4,582	-		(74)		4,508
Asset-backed securities issued - Acacia		-	-		-	347		-		347
Long-term debt		150	 -		-	 -		-		150
Total liabilities		196	2		4,591	542		(74)		5,257
Minority interest		-	23		-	-		-		23
Total stockholders' equity		302	 28	_	97	 16		(141)		302
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$	498	\$ 53	\$	4,688	\$ 558	\$	(215)	\$	5,582

\$ (111) \$ 4	\$ (46)	\$ (171)	(1 0 77)
4		. ()	\$ (1,077)
4			
4	(7)	6	(15)
3	1	2	9
(11)	(6)	(5)	(5)
127	61	194	1,119
(10)	1	1	(2)
113	50	198	1,106
\$ <u>2</u> \$	\$ 4	\$ 27	\$ 29
\$ 0.07 \$	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.91
4	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

GAAP and Taxable (Loss) Income Differences (\$ in millions, except share data)		I 200	Year E December	 008 2007
		200		 2007
GAAP net (loss) income		\$	(444)	\$ (1,109)
Difference in taxable (loss) income calculations				
Amortization and credit losses			10	16
Operating expenses			3	3
Gross realized gains on calls and sales			(36)	(10)
Market valuation adjustments, net			493	1,261
Provision for income taxes			(3)	3
Total differences in GAAP and taxable (loss) income			467	1,273
Taxable income		\$	23	\$ 164
Taxable income per share		\$	0.72	\$ 5.79
	8			



The Redwood Review 4th Quarter 2008

REDWOOD TRUST

REDWOOD TRUST



	REDWOOD TRUST	
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
Introduction		3
Shareholder Letter		4
Quarterly Overview		6
Financial Insights		10
GAAP Income		23
Taxable Income		26
Dividends		28
Capital and Liquidity		29
Mark-to-Market Adjustments		30
Residential Real Estate Securities		35
Commercial Real Estate Securities		42
Investments in Sequoia		44
Investments in Acacia		47
Appendix		
Accounting Discussion		50
Glossary		52
Financial Tables		61
	THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008	1



CAUTIONARY STATEMENT

Cautionary Statement

This Redwood Review contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements involve numerous risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ from our expectations, estimates, and projections and, consequently, you should not rely on these forward-looking statements as predictions of future events. Forward-looking statements are not historical in nature and can be identified by words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "will," "should," "expect," "believe," "intend," "seek," "plan" and similar expressions or their negative forms, or by references to strategy, plans, or intentions. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, including, among other things, those described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 under the caption "Risk Factors." Other risks, uncertainties, and factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected are described below and may be described from time to time in reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q, and 8-K. We undertake no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

Statements regarding the following subjects, among others, are forward-looking by their nature: (i) the ability of our current and future investments to generate attractive future cash flows and returns with a comfortable margin of safety, (ii) our ability to prosper in the current environment and build our business in the future, (iii) our belief that our common stock offering in January 2009, and the investment of the proceeds thereof, will be accretive to our future financial results and significantly extend the duration of our investment cash flows, (iv) our estimate of how long it will take to invest the proceeds of common stock offering in January 2009, (v) our expectation that we will generate over \$100 million in positive cash flow from existing investments after operating and interest expenses in 2009, (vi) our expectations regarding future declines in home values, (vii) our credit loss expectations for investment grade securities and the sensitivity of investment grade securities to credit risk, (viii) our Board of Directors' intention to pay a regular quarterly dividend of \$0.25 per share in 2009 and our expectation that such dividends will constitute a return of capital, and (ix) our belief that government initiatives could result in an increase in mortgage prepayment rates.

Important factors, among others, that may affect our actual results include: changes in interest rates; changes in mortgage prepayment rates; the timing of credit losses within our portfolio; our exposure to adjustable-rate and negative amortization mortgage loans; the state of the credit markets and other general economic conditions, particularly as they affect the price of earning assets and the credit status of borrowers; the concentration of the credit risks we are exposed to; the ability of counterparties to satisfy their obligations to us; legislative and regulatory actions affecting the mortgage industry or our business; the availability of high quality assets for purchase at attractive prices; declines in home prices and commercial real estate prices; increases in mortgage payment delinquencies; changes in the level of liquidity in the capital markets which may adversely affect our ability to finance our real estate asset portfolio; changes in liquidity in the market for real estate securities, the re-pricing of credit risk in the capital markets, inaccurate ratings of securities by rating agencies, rating agency downgrades of securities, and increases in the supply of real estate securities available-for-sale, each of which may adversely affect the values of securities we own; the extent of changes in the values of securities we own and the impact of adjustments reflecting those changes on our income statement and balance sheet, including our stockholders' equity; our ability to maintain the positive stockholders' equity necessary to enable us to pay the dividends required to maintain our status as a real estate investment trust (REIT) for tax purposes; our ability to generate the amount of cash flow we expect from our investment portfolio; changes in our investment, financing, and hedging strategies and the new risks that those changes may expose us to; changes in the competitive landscape within our industry, including changes that may affect our ability to retain or attract personnel; our failure to manage various operational risks associated with our business; our failure to maintain appropriate internal controls over financial reporting; our failure to properly administer and manage our securitization entities; risks we may be exposed to if we expand our business activities, such as risks relating to significantly increasing our direct holdings of loans; limitations imposed on our business due to our REIT status and our status as exempt from registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940; our ability to successfully deploy the proceeds from our recent common equity offering and raise additional capital to fund our investing activity; and other factors not presently identified. This Redwood Review may contain statistics and other data that in some cases have been obtained from or compiled from information made available by servicers and other third-party service providers.

INTRODUCTION



Note to Readers:

We file annual reports (on Form 10-K) and quarterly reports (on Form 10-Q) with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These filings and our earnings press releases provide information about our financial results in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). We urge you to review these documents, which are available through our web site, www.redwoodtrust.com.

This document, called the Redwood Review, provides supplemental information about Redwood through a discussion of many GAAP as well as non-GAAP metrics, such as taxable income and economic book value. We believe that these figures provide additional insight into Redwood's business and future prospects. In each case in which we discuss a non-GAAP metrics, you will find an explanation of how it has been calculated, why we think the figure is important, and a reconciliation between the GAAP and non-GAAP figures. We hope you find the Redwood Review to be helpful to your understanding of our business.

We thank you for your input and suggestions, which have resulted in our changing the form and content of the Redwood Review over time. We welcome your continued interest and comments.

Selected Financial Highlights										
Quarter:Year	GAAP Income per Share	Taxable Income per Share	Annualized Return on Equity	GAAP Book Value per Share	Economic Book Value per Share**	Total Dividends per Share				
Q406	\$1.32	\$1.45	15%	\$37.51	\$31.42	\$3.70				
Q107	\$0.66	\$1.48	8%	\$34.06	\$32.22	\$0.75				
Q207	\$0.41	\$1.66	5%	\$31.50	\$33.11	\$0.75				
Q307	(\$2.18)	\$1.74	(26%)	\$5.32	\$27.55	\$0.75				
Q407*	(\$36.49)	\$0.92	(610%)	\$23.18	\$22.29	\$2.75				
Q108	(\$5.28)	\$0.79	(83%)	\$17.89	\$18.04	\$0.75				
Q208	(\$1.40)	\$0.11	(28%)	\$17.00	\$16.72	\$0.75				
Q308	(\$3.34)	\$0.07	(80%)	\$12.40	\$13.18	\$0.75				
Q408	(\$3.46)	\$0.25	(103%)	\$9.02	\$11.10	\$0.75				

* The GAAP book value per share is after giving retroactive effect to the adoption of FAS 159 on January 1, 2008. Without giving retroactive effect to FAS 159, the GAAP book value per share was negative \$22.18.

** Economic book value per share is calculated using bid-side marks for our financial assets and offer-side marks for our financial liabilities and we believe it more accurately reflects liquidation value than does GAAP book value per share. Economic book value is reconciled to GAAP book value in Table 6 of the Financial Tables.

Dear Fellow Shareholders:

We all know by now that we are in the midst of a multifaceted downturn that is the worst since the Great Depression. But as someone who has been in the business world for many years and seen many cycles, I can assure you that this dreadful period will pass and that the economy, housing, and the financial system will right themselves — setting the stage, yet again, for a new cycle of growth to begin.

Before looking to the future, I want to take a moment to review 2008. While we were not surprised by the downturn, we underestimated the extraordinary level and complexity of the financial risks that market participants had taken, the extreme level of leverage employed, and the degree to which the fates of most financial institutions and markets were intertwined. With the clarity of hindsight, we were too early with some of the investments we made in the first half of 2008, although we believe these investments will ultimately yield acceptable returns.

On the positive side, we financed our 2008 investments with permanent capital, which allows us to hold these securities to maturity without the risk of margin calls or forced redemptions. In addition, starting in mid-June we ceased all investing activity, so that we could assess the impact of the unprecedented developments occurring in the financial markets and the implications of government intervention in the mortgage market. We resumed acquiring assets late in the fourth quarter, after we got our arms around the potential investment risks and rewards.

Looking ahead to 2009 and 2010, no matter how I adjust the prism, it's not a pretty picture on so many levels. If there were an easy fix for this situation, the smart, hard-working, and experienced people addressing these problems would already have found it. In the absence of a silver bullet, we expect governments and the private sector to continue probing for solutions that enable homeowners and the markets to stabilize. Complicating the problem is the extreme level of anger from all quarters (in some cases, justifiably so) at banks, regulators, Wall Street, and Washington, among others. We are not yet at the point at which emotions can subside and people can resume working with and trusting each other again. We believe it is simply going to take time, and that eventually, market forces will take hold and provide the needed stability for the economy to recover.

Of course, of particular interest to Redwood is the health of the housing sector. It is clearly in Redwood's interest for home values to stabilize as soon as possible. Unfortunately, despite all the good intentions of government programs, we believe that home values will continue to fall for some time, until housing inventories decline and values come back in line with income and rental fundamentals. The rapid increase in home values between January 2000 and December 2006 — a period during which home prices doubled — was driven not by fundamentals but by excessive leverage and creative "affordability" mortgage products that stretched the purchasing power of borrowers. Home ownership rates, which had averaged 65% in the 20 years prior to 2000, rose to 69% between 2004 and 2006. We believe we are now in the midst of a painful retrenchment period during which home values and home ownership rates will decline until they are in line with historical norms. Realistically, this is likely to take years.

SHAREHOLDER LETTER



We continue to offer support for government programs designed to alleviate the housing and credit crisis, but we believe that as a nation, we must determine what long-term role the government should play in the mortgage market. We are of the opinion that governmental entities can not do it all, and that private sector financing will be essential to getting the mortgage markets back on track. We will continue to support the creative exploration of securitization solutions and we look forward to resuming our role of facilitating credit risk transfers. In the meantime, we will continue to invest carefully and patiently in assets that we believe will generate attractive returns with comfortable margins of safety.

Redwood has a strong balance sheet, solid operations, and management depth, which we believe will enable us to prosper in the current environment and continue to build our franchise in the months and years ahead. We appreciate the support you have shown us throughout a very challenging year in 2008, and more recently during our capital raise. Rest assured that we remain focused on building shareholder value as we navigate through what is likely to be a challenging 2009.

Le ENC

George E. Bull, III Chairman and CEO



QUARTERLY OVERVIEW

Fourth Quarter 2008

In the spirit of keeping our commentary as topical as possible, we are changing the focal point of this letter to a Q&A discussion that recaps the most frequent questions investors asked during our recent common stock offering road show. Our usual summary of quarterly results seems out-of-date, as we released fourth quarter financial information a month-and-a-half ago in connection with our common stock offering. Rest assured that all of the numbers and usual commentary regarding the fourth quarter are addressed in detail in the relevant modules later in this Review.

Before we turn to the Q&A, let's start with a quick recap of the current market conditions. Unfortunately, for many companies and homeowners, the reallife horror story continues. The credit markets remain under intense pressure as housing and economic activity continue to deteriorate, available credit and liquidity continue to contract, and the rating agencies busily issue a barrage of downgrades. In response, prices for residential and commercial real estate loans and securities saw a steep decline during the fourth quarter. So far in 2009, asset prices seem to be holding relatively steady from yearend levels. We would caution that this price stability may be temporary, especially as we expect significant additional downgrades of AAA residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities.

Various arms of the federal government have issued an alphabet soup of programs, legislation, and stimulus packages, all aimed at stemming the decline in home values, slowing the rate of foreclosures, and getting the economy and banking system back on track. Overall, these and other initiatives should be positive for Redwood. We support government policy aimed at helping homeowners, and actions that improve conditions in the housing market will directly benefit Redwood if they lead to lower losses and higher prepayments. We believe, however, that there is unlikely to be a near-term recovery in housing or the economy.

In spite of the gloomy outlook, and after spending four months watching and analyzing from the sidelines, we have been active investors in residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) since the latter part of the fourth quarter. We will go into more detail on the prospective returns from these investments later in the Review, but we believe we can now make attractive long-term residential mortgage-related investments with a comfortable margin of safety. We invested \$50 million in the fourth quarter of 2008 and \$98 million in the first quarter through February 24, 2009. The vast majority of these investments were in senior residential mortgage securities backed by prime or near-prime loans.

It was clear from our market analysis that the size of the investment opportunity was substantially larger than the excess capital we had on hand to invest. After much research and analysis, we concluded that raising common stock equity (at the right price) would be accretive to earnings after the proceeds were deployed into new investments and, therefore would be in the best interest of the company and shareholders. When we commenced the offering road show in mid-January, we were immediately tested by strong stock market headwinds. Nevertheless, thanks to the support of existing shareholders and some new large institutional investors we were successful in raising \$283 million of new equity capital for Redwood.

During the road show, we met with over 100 institutional investors who asked many thoughtful, hard-hitting questions. The majority of these questions touched on the purpose of the offering, the investment opportunities we see, the impact of government initiatives, and the sensitivity of our balance sheet and cash flows to further credit deterioration. Below are the most frequent questions and our answers.

QUARTERLY OVERVIEW



Fourth Quarter 2008 (continued)

The Offering

Q: Did Redwood need to raise additional capital?

A: No, we did not need to raise capital. This was an offensive capital raise. At December 31, 2008, we had \$126 million of unrestricted cash and no short-term debt. We also expect to generate over \$100 million in positive cash flow from our existing investments after operating and interest expenses in 2009.

Q: Will the offering be accretive?

A: One of the core values that we remain deeply committed to (and which was articulated at Redwood's inception in 1994) is this: We ask for new capital from our shareholders only when we believe we have investment opportunities in our business that should lead us to higher levels of earnings and dividends per share. We believe this offering will be accretive since we will be able to leverage our existing overhead to invest the additional capital without adding personnel, systems, or space. Furthermore, Redwood will benefit as the planned investments will significantly extend the duration of our investment cash flows.

Q: Why common equity?

A: We reviewed several alternative forms of capital and structures that did not involve common equity. The net result of this review was that these alternative forms of capital were either prohibitively expensive, or would give rise to REIT or Investment Company Act issues, or just added too much complexity to the story. In the end, we decided that common equity was the best alternative for Redwood and its shareholders. Common equity was simple, transparent, and appealing to the broadest range of potential investors.

The Investment Opportunity

Q: Why now? Many other smart investors have lost a fortune calling a bottom to this down cycle.

A: We are not in the business of calling market tops or bottoms, but we do have a view on when it is a better time to invest and when it is a better time to sell. We are now active buyers.

We have based our analysis on our expectation that more bad things will happen in housing. In our base case, we expect, on average, another 18% decline in nationwide home values and as much as a 35% further decline in some major markets. We make our investment decisions based on a range of outcomes. In this market, our target investment has attractive mid- to high-teen returns in an unlevered base case, well-protected stress case returns, and exceptional upside returns if we benefit from faster prepayments or lower than expected credit losses.

As we intend to fund these investments with permanent capital, we can sustain any future price volatility without the risk of margin calls or equity redemptions. Furthermore, if market discount rates go higher and asset prices go lower, it does not change our long-term investment returns. So if we are a little early or a little late, it's okay. We are still acquiring assets that we believe will generate attractive long-term cash flows.

Fourth Quarter 2008 (continued)

Q: How will you invest the proceeds?

A: Our primary focus will be on assets similar to our recent purchases — senior cash flows from prime and near-prime residential mortgage securities. To a lesser degree, we may selectively purchase very credit-sensitive securities with a shorter duration, but with the expectation of high projected rates of return. We have no current plans to purchase commercial mortgage-backed securities, although we constantly monitor the commercial market and the steps the government is taking in this area. Additionally, we may use a portion of the proceeds to co-invest with third party investors in investment funds which we may sponsor and manage.

Q: What separates you from your competitors?

A: To make successful investment decisions, you need both the right people and the right tools. Over the past 14 years, we have built a team comprised of seasoned mortgage and capital markets professionals. These include former loan servicers, originators, underwriters, as well as bond research analysts, structuring experts, and senior managers with extensive Wall Street investment backgrounds. They provide invaluable experience and street-level insights into our investment assumptions and decisions. But that's not enough. To properly evaluate the projected cash flow from a residential mortgage-backed security (RMBS), you need to have an opinion on each of the thousands of underlying loans. The market often only gives us an hour to bid on a security. Traditional underwriting methods and analytical tools are too slow to react. That is why our propriety analytical tools are so crucial — they allow us to leverage our extensive mortgage knowledge to make quick, well-informed decisions in the limited time available without sacrificing detailed loan level analysis.

Q: How long will it take to invest the proceeds?

A: We intend to invest the proceeds in a focused and patient manner. We conservatively estimate it will take about six months to invest the proceeds from the offering, but we caution that the time period is difficult to project. For example, we invested \$50 million in the fourth quarter, but \$33 million was invested within a short period in mid-December. In contrast, from mid-June through late October 2008, we were on the sidelines. The opportunities to invest the proceeds can change rapidly depending upon a number of factors including changes in the economy, the state of the banking industry, and changes in government regulation and policy. We consistently bid on considerably more securities than we purchase.

Balance Sheet and Cash Flows

Q: Can you discuss the credit sensitivity of your balance sheet? What are your cash flow expectations for your existing investment portfolio?

A: These are critical questions that require reference to data and tables. Please see the Real Estate Securities at Redwood section (pages 14-17) and the Cash Flow section (pages 20-22) in the Financial Insights section of this Redwood Review for an analysis that addresses these questions.

QUARTERLY OVERVIEW



Fourth Quarter 2008 (continued)

Governmental Actions

Q: Please provide the Company's thoughts on loan modifications and the impact on Redwood's returns.

A: As the government becomes further enmeshed in the banking system, and as support builds for a bankruptcy law cramdown amendment that will enable bankruptcy courts to modify mortgage loan terms, we believe the industry will continue to see mounting pressure (and incentives) to accept loan modifications (or be forced to accept them through a cramdown in bankruptcy).

At Redwood, we believe that loan modifications will have a relatively small financial impact on our existing portfolio. We expect that the biggest impact of modifications will be on lower-rated non-prime securities. Our capital investment in these types of securities is minimal (\$0.24 per share at December 31, 2008). For new investments, our analysis takes into account the likely negative impact to investors of projected loan modifications.

Q: How would the proposal to allow for bankruptcy cramdowns affect Redwood?

A: We don't think cramdowns will have a major impact on Redwood. Like modifications, we believe cramdowns will have more of an impact on nonprime securities, where we have an increasingly smaller exposure. We note that for December 2008 only 0.22% of prime borrowers were in bankruptcy proceedings compared to 2.65% for subprime borrowers, according to data from LoanPerformance.

The proposed cramdown amendment may result in additional downgrades of AAA securities and additional forced selling by investors whose capital allocation is ratings-sensitive. This would present attractive investment opportunities for Redwood.

We are delighted that we completed the capital raise during difficult market conditions. We are pleased by the support our equity offering received from several new and existing shareholders. Now comes the crucial job of successfully deploying the capital. We intend to invest patiently and wisely, and we fully appreciate the trust and confidence you have shown us. Thank you for your continuing support.

Martin S. Hughes President, Chief Financial Officer, and Co-Chief Operating Officer

Brett D. Nicholas Chief Investment Officer and Co-Chief Operating Officer

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008



FINANCIAL INSIGHTS

Book Value

Summary

- ^u The following supplemental non-GAAP balance sheet presents our assets and liabilities as calculated under GAAP and adjusted to reflect our estimate of economic value. We show our investments in the Redwood Opportunity Fund, L.P. (the Fund) and the Sequoia and Acacia securitization entities in separate line items, similar to the equity method of accounting, reflecting the reality that the underlying assets and liabilities owned by these entities are legally not ours. We own only the securities or interests that we have acquired from these entities.
- ^u This table, except for our estimates of economic value, is derived from the consolidating balance sheet presented on page 19. Our estimate of economic value of \$11.10 per share is calculated using bid-side asset marks, as required to determine fair value under GAAP. This method of calculating economic value more closely represents liquidation value and does not represent the higher amount we would have to pay at the offered-side to replace our existing assets.

	ponents of E December 31 lions, except p	, 2008	a)		
	As	Reported	Adj.	Es	agement's timate of omic Value
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	126	\$	\$	126
Real estate securities at Redwood					
Residential		145			145
Commercial		42			42
CDO		4			4
Total real estate securities at Redwood		191			191
Investments in the Fund		28			28
Investments in Sequoia		97	(32) (a)		65
Investments in Acacia		16	(7) (b)		9
Total securities and investments	\$	332		\$	293
Long-term debt		(150)	108 (c)		(42)
Other assets/liabilities, net (d)		(6)			(6)
Stockholders' equity	\$	302		\$	371
Book value per share	\$	9.02		\$	11.10

(a) Our Sequoia investments consist of credit enhancement securities, investment grade securities, and interest-only securities issued by Sequoia entities. We calculated the \$65 million estimate of economic value for these securities using the same valuation process that we followed to fair value our other real estate securities. In contrast, the \$97 million of GAAP carrying value of these investments represents the difference between residential real estate loans owned by the Sequoia entities and the asset-backed securities (ABS) issued by these entities to third-party investors. Under GAAP, we account for these loans and ABS issued at cost, not at fair value.

(b) Our Acacia investments consist of ABS and equity interests issued by Acacia entities; we also have management agreements with each entity. The \$9 million estimate of economic value of our investments in Acacia entities represents the fair value of the ABS acquired plus the net present value of projected cash flows from our Acacia management fees discounted at 45%. We valued our equity interests at zero. In contrast, the \$16 million GAAP value of these investments represents the difference between securities owned by the Acacia entities and the ABS issued by these entities to third-party investors. Under GAAP we account for these securities and ABS issued at fair value.

(c) We have issued \$150 million of 30-year long-term debt at an interest rate of LIBOR plus 225 basis points. Under GAAP, this debt is carried at cost. Economic value is difficult to estimate with precision as the market for this debt is currently inactive. We estimated the \$42 million economic value using the same valuation process used to fair value our other financial assets and liabilities. Estimated economic value is \$108 million lower than our GAAP carrying value because given the significant overall contraction in credit availability and re-pricing of credit risk, we believe that if we had issued this long-term debt at December 31, 2008, investors would have required a substantially higher interest rate.

(d) Other assets/liabilities, net are comprised of real estate loans of \$3 million, \$4 million of deferred taxes, \$6 million of accrued interest receivable, and other assets of \$27 million, less dividends payable of \$25 million and accrued interest and other liabilities of \$21 million.

FINANCIAL INSIGHTS



Book Value (continued)

Summary (continued)

- ^u In the fourth quarter, our GAAP book value declined by \$110 million, or \$3.38 per share, to \$9.02 per share. Our estimated economic book value declined by \$67 million, or \$2.08 per share, to \$11.10 per share. These declines were largely due to mark-to-market write-downs that were driven by the continuing and unprecedented lack of liquidity in the marketplace. Credit deterioration continues to persist in most parts of our portfolio at rates consistent with the expectations we established in prior quarters. We believe the steep rate of market price declines in the fourth quarter was exaggerated relative to the change in, and trend of, the fundamentals underlying these securities.
- ^u Based on our estimate of the future loss-adjusted cash flows underlying our calculation of economic book value at December 31, 2008, the overall cash flow yield for our \$419 million economic value of financial assets was 21% (including \$126 million of cash yielding less than 1%) and 36% (excluding cash). The implied yield for our \$42 million of market value of financial liabilities was 18%. Details and caveats regarding the use and determination of these calculations and reconciliations of non-GAAP measures to GAAP are found later in this Review.
- ^u The following table highlights the components of the change in economic book value per share that occurred during the fourth quarter. This table highlights the performance of our different investment categories and shows other sources and uses of cash that impacted economic value. Our investment performance, expressed below as the change in the non-GAAP economic value of investments, gives effect to mark-to-market adjustments, new investments, and principal and interest collected.

Changes in the Components of Economic Value Per Share Three Months Ended December 31, 2008 (in \$ per share) Management's estimate of economic value at 9/30/08											
Management's estimate of economic value at 9/30/08	\$	13.18									
Change in economic value of securities and investments											
Real estate securities at Redwood		(1.73)									
Investments in the Fund		(0.12)									
Investments in Sequoia		0.21									
Investments in Acacia		(0.09)									
Total change in economic value of securities and investments		(1.73)									
Operating expenses and working capital		(0.51)									
nterest expense and change in long-term debt valuation		0.9 8									
Equity issuance, net		(0.07)									
Changes in economic value before dividends		(1.33)									
Dividends		(0.75)									
Total changes to economic value		(2.08)									
Management's estimate of economic value at 12/31/08	\$	11.10									

Summary (continued)

^u The following table shows the components of management's estimate of economic book value at December 31, 2008 on a pro forma basis after giving retroactive effect to the receipt of \$283 million of net proceeds from our public offering of common stock in January 2009.

	Pro forma Components of Economic Value reflecting the January 2009 equity offering ^(a) (\$ in millions, except per share data)													
		December 31,	200		Pro forn	na								
		Management's Estimate of Economic Value		Per Share		Management's Estimate of Economic Value		Per Share						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	126	\$	3.76	\$	409	\$	6.81						
Total securities and investments		293		8.77		293		4.88						
Long-term debt		(42)		(1.25)		(42)		(0.70)						
Other assets/liabilities, net		(6)		(0.18)		(6)		(0.10)						
Stockholders' equity	\$	371	\$	11.10	\$	654	\$	10.89						

(a) Reflects net proceeds of \$283 million and issuance of 26,450,000 shares from the common stock offering.

- ^u The shares of common stock issued in the January 2009 public offering were priced at \$11.25 per share and, after underwriting fees and other offering expenses of \$0.53 per share, the net proceeds to Redwood were \$10.72 per share. This is the reason pro forma economic book value declined from \$11.10 per share pre-offering to \$10.89 per share post-offering.
- ^u At year-end, our cash was \$3.76 per share and management's non-GAAP estimate of the economic value of our securities and investments was \$8.77 per share. After giving retroactive effect to the offering, on a pro forma basis, our cash was \$6.81 per share and management's non-GAAP estimate of the economic value of our securities and investments was \$4.88 per share. This table highlights that our cash position, after the offering, accounts for a significant amount of value per share and, as a result, our future returns will depend upon our investment success.

FINANCIAL INSIGHTS



Book Value (continued)

Quarterly Activity

- Real Estate Securities at Redwood Three Months Ended December 31, 2008 (\$ in millions) Fair value at September 30, 2008 \$ 228 50 Acquisitions Sales (1)(10)Principal payments Discount amortization (2) Mark-to-market adjustments, net (74)Fair value at December 31, 2008 \$ 191
- In the fourth quarter we invested \$46 million in AAA RMBS at a weighted average price of 64% of face value and with average credit support of 12 percentage points. In addition, we invested \$4 million in residential CES at a weighted average price of 2% of face value. We have continued to acquire assets in the first quarter of 2009 and through February 24, 2009, we invested \$98 million in IGS at a weighted average price of 63% of face value and with average credit support of 11 percentage points. The vast majority of these IGS are in senior cash flow securities backed by prime or near-prime loans.

Impact of Potential Credit Ratings Downgrades

- ^u Our investment decisions are based on our projection of the underlying collateral cash flows and the level of subordination protecting against future credit losses. We do not rely on credit ratings as part of our investment decision process. We emphasize this point because in the near future we expect significant downgrades by rating agencies of prime and non-prime AAA RMBS issued from 2005 through 2008. The overall credit performance of loans underlying these vintages is significantly worse than the rating agencies' original expectations. In many cases, we expect securities currently rated AAA to be downgraded below investment grade, and in some cases downgraded to CCC.
- ^u Additionally, the proposed bankruptcy cramdown legislation, if enacted, could result in additional downgrades of prime and Alt-A RMBS, as technical loss sharing arrangements in many of these securitization structures require that the AAA securities share in a portion of the credit losses resulting from bankruptcies.
- ^u So what does this all mean for Redwood? Although there could be downward pressure on prices for our existing portfolio, there may also be buying opportunities as many current AAA investors could be pressured to sell. Some AAA investors are rating-sensitive (meaning they can only own securities that are rated AAA) and others, such as banks, would face significantly higher capital requirements to hold lower-rated securities.
- u It also means that next quarter we are going to re-think how we present our information on our securities. We are considering presenting our residential securities by their senior and subordinate cash flow designations instead of IGS and CES (as presented in the table on the next page). Unfortunately, communicating the Redwood story just never seems to get any easier. Nevertheless, we remain committed to transparency and will adapt our presentations to keep up with the changing times.

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008 13

u The total fair value of securities at Redwood (which is the same as GAAP carrying value) decreased during the fourth quarter by \$37 million to \$191 million. The table below presents the changes in fair value for our real estate securities portfolio during the fourth quarter.



Components

Cash and Cash Equivalents

u At December 31, 2008, we had \$126 million in cash and cash equivalents, or \$3.76 per share. Adjusted for the \$283 million net proceeds from our January 2009 public offering of common stock, we had, on a pro forma basis, \$409 million in cash and cash equivalents, or \$6.81 per share. All of our cash is currently invested in U.S. Treasury Bills or bank deposits insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Real Estate Securities at Redwood

^u The following table provides a breakout of our real estate securities portfolio by residential, commercial, and CDO, and by vintage at December 31, 2008.

	Real Estate Securities at Redwood December 31, 2008 (\$ in millions) % of Total													
	2	<=2004		005	200	6-2008	T	otal	Securities					
Residential IGS														
Prime Non-prime	\$	16 -	\$	41 25	\$	16 17	\$	73 42	38% 22%					
Total IGS	\$	16	\$	66	\$	33	\$	115	60%					
CES Prime Non-prime	\$	18 1	\$	2 1	\$	2 6	\$	22 8	12% 4%					
Total CES	\$	19	\$	3	\$	8	\$	30	16%					
Total Residential	\$	35	\$	69	\$	41	\$	145	76%					
Commercial CES CDO	\$ \$	10 -	\$ \$	9 4	\$ \$	23	\$ \$	42 4	22% 2%					
Total	\$	45	\$	82	\$	64	\$	191	100%					



Components (continued)

Real Estate Securities at Redwood (continued)

Residential IGS

- ^u Our investment strategy has shifted over the past year towards acquiring residential prime and near-prime senior cash flows with a comfortable margin of safety to protect against escalating credit losses. As a result, the fair value of our residential IGS at December 31, 2008 was \$115 million, representing 60% of our total securities portfolio at December 31, 2008, up from 51% at the end of the third quarter and 11% at the end of last year.
- ^u Due to the unprecedented dislocations in the credit markets, we are currently able to buy IGS at significant discounts to principal value. Our returns on these IGS investments will be based on how much principal and interest we ultimately receive and how quickly we receive it. As these investments primarily represent senior cash flows, we do not expect a high level of losses. Our IGS returns are generally more sensitive to changes in prepayment rates than they are to credit risk.
- ^u The following table presents the components of fair value (which equals GAAP carrying value determined in accordance with GAAP) for residential prime and non-prime IGS at Redwood at December 31, 2008.

Residential Investment Grade Securities at Redwood December 31, 2008 (\$ in millions)													
		Prime		Non-Prime		Total							
Current face	\$	195	\$	104	\$	299							
Unamortized premium (discount), net		(100)		(44)		(144)							
Discount designated as credit reserve		(24)		(7)		(31)							
Amortized cost		71		53		124							
Unrealized gains		3		1		4							
Unrealized losses		(1)		(12)		(13)							
Fair value	\$	73	\$	42	\$	115							
Fair value as a percentage of face		37%		40%		38%							

- ^u The \$115 million fair value of our IGS represents 38% of face value at December 31, 2008. The IGS credit reserve of \$31 million represents 10% of face value, while the IGS unamortized discount (the face amount we expect to recoup over time) of \$144 million represents 48% of face value.
- u The lifetime prepayment assumptions used to value our IGS range from 8 to 15 CPR for securities backed by prime loans and from 2 to 10 CPR securities backed by non-prime loans. While these rates are representative of current prepayment speeds for non-agency securities, we note that they are extremely low relative to historical prepayment rates.
- u As has been well publicized, many borrowers are currently having difficulty refinancing due to high non-agency mortgage rates, insufficient home equity, and stringent underwriting. A pick-up in refinance activity either from lower non-agency mortgage rates or from the government's initiatives to stimulate refinancing would likely benefit our IGS returns.

REDWOOD TRUST



FINANCIAL INSIGHTS

Book Value (continued)

Components (continued)

Real Estate Securities at Redwood (continued)

Residential CES

- ^u The fair value of our residential CES portfolio was \$30 million, representing 16% of our total securities portfolio at December 31, 2008, down from 42% a year ago. This decline resulted from a reduction in market values due to negative mark-to-market adjustments and from our decision to re-direct our investment focus to senior cash flows (i.e., IGS).
- ^u We acquire CES at a significant discount to principal value, as credit losses could reduce or eliminate the principal value of these bonds. In an ideal environment, we would experience fast prepayments and low credit losses, allowing us to recover a substantial part of the discount as income. Conversely, the least beneficial environment is the environment we are currently experiencing, with slow prepayments and high credit losses.
- ^u The table below presents the components of fair value (which equals GAAP carrying value) of residential CES at Redwood at December 31, 2008.

Residential Credit Enhancement Securities at Redwood December 31, 2008 (\$ in millions)												
		Vintage										
		<=2004		>=2005		Total						
Current face	\$	208	\$	593	\$	801						
Unamortized premium (discount), net		(35)		(33)		(68)						
Discount designated as credit reserve		(151)		(549)		(700)						
Amortized cost		22		11		33						
Unrealized gains		1		3		4						
Unrealized losses		(5)		(2)		(7)						
Fair value	\$	18	\$	12	\$	30						
Fair value as a percentage of face		9%		2%		4%						

- ^u We believe it is best to analyze and discuss our CES investments by vintage 2004 and prior and 2005 to 2008 as the potential return profiles differ significantly.
- ^u The fair value of our CES from 2004 and prior vintages totals \$18 million, representing 9% of face value. From a credit standpoint, these vintages are generally performing in line with or better than our initial expectations. We believe there is still potential earnings upside from these investments if actual credit losses are below our credit reserves of \$151 million. These investments would also benefit from an increase in refinance activity.
- ^u The fair value of our CES from 2005 to 2008 vintages totals \$12 million, representing 2% of face value. Based on the poor credit trends underlying these vintages, we expect future credit losses to eliminate nearly all of the principal or face amount of these securities. Therefore, the fair value ascribed to these securities primarily represents the present value of future interest we expect to collect before actual credit losses are realized. Even if prepayments increase, it will be too late to benefit these CES to any material extent. We do not expect any upside from these investments.



Components (continued)

Real Estate Securities at Redwood (continued)

Commercial CES

- ^u Our commercial CES represents 22% of our securities portfolio, down from 41% a year ago. We have not purchased commercial securities since the first quarter of 2007 and we remain on the sidelines. Due to the continuing deterioration in the fundamentals (increasing vacancies, falling rents, and difficulty in refinancing) in an increasingly weakening economy, we wrote down our commercial CES to \$42 million, or 8% of face value in the fourth quarter.
- ^u The table below presents the components of fair values (which equals GAAP carrying values) of commercial CES at Redwood at December 31, 2008.

Commercial Credit Enhancement Securities at Redwood December 31, 2008 (\$ in millions)												
		<=2004		2005		2006		2007		Total		
Current face	\$	48	\$	124	\$	261	\$	81	\$	514		
Unamortized premium (discount), net Discount designated as credit reserve		(6) (34)		12 (123)		23 (260)		7 (81)		36 (498)		
Amortized cost		8		13		24		7		52		
Unrealized gains Unrealized losses		2		(4)		(7)		(1)		2 (12)		
Fair value	\$	10	\$	9	\$	17	\$	6	\$	42		
Fair value as a percentage of face		21%		7%		7%		7%		8%		

^u Our \$498 million commercial CES credit reserve reflects our belief that we will not receive much principal from these investments. Since commercial CES do not prepay like residential securities, our returns will be based on our receiving interest on the outstanding face value until the anticipated losses occur.



Components (continued)

Investments in the Fund

^u The fair value (which equals GAAP carrying value) of our investments in the Fund was \$28 million at December 31, 2008. This investment represents a 52% interest in the Fund, which closed in March 2008 and is fully invested, primarily in non-prime RMBS. The Fund is managed by a subsidiary of Redwood.

Investments in Sequoia

u At December 31, 2008, the fair value of our investments in Sequoia was \$65 million and the GAAP carrying value was \$97 million. These investments consist primarily of interest-only securities (IOs) and to a lesser extent IGS and CES. Our returns on these IOs are most sensitive to prepayments and faster prepayments would negatively impact returns. Material changes in interest rates also have a short-term impact on cash flows generated.

Investments in Acacia

u At December 31, 2008, the fair value of our investments in Acacia was \$9 million and the GAAP carrying value was \$16 million. These investments represent equity interests and ABS issued from our Acacia CDO securitization entities and the management fees we receive from those entities. Due to various provisions in each CDO securitization, our equity interests are generally cut off from cash flows and we only expect limited returns on the ABS issued we own. We value the management fees at \$5 million, which equals our projected management fees discounted at a 45% rate.

Short-term Debt

^u We had no short-term debt at December 31, 2008. We believe that it is currently prudent to fund our investments with permanent capital (equity and long-term debt) that is not subject to margin calls and financial covenants.

Long-term Debt

In 2006 and 2007, we issued \$150 million of 30-year long-term debt at Redwood (due in 2037) at an interest rate of LIBOR plus 2.25%. Under GAAP, this debt is carried at cost. At December 31, 2008, we estimated a \$63 million fair value for this liability using the same valuation process used to fair value our other financial assets and liabilities. Estimated economic value is lower than our GAAP carrying value because we believe that investors would have required an 18% yield on this debt (currently equal to LIBOR + 16.75%) had we issued it at December 31, 2008, and the low LIBOR rates have decreased anticipated interest payments.



Consolidating Balance Sheet

- ^u GAAP requires us to consolidate all of the assets and liabilities of the Sequoia and Acacia securitization entities (which had a combined \$5.2 billion of assets and \$5.1 billion of liabilities at December 31, 2008), even though the assets are owned by securitization entities and the liabilities are obligations of these securitization entities payable only from the cash flows generated by the assets owned by these entities. Additionally, we are required to consolidate all of the assets and liabilities of the Fund, even though Redwood owns only the general partnership interest in the Fund and just over half of the limited partnership interests.
- ^u The table below shows the consolidating components of our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2008. The purpose of this presentation is to show the effect each of the components had on our consolidated shareholders' equity at December 31, 2008. The Fund, Sequoia, and Acacia components represent investments and are not separate business segments.

	Consolidating Balance Sheet December 31, 2008 (\$ in millions)													
	Re	edwood	The	Fund	Se	equoia		cacia	Intercompany		nsolidated			
Real estate loans	\$	3	\$	-	\$	4,644	\$	12	\$-	\$	4,659			
Real estate securities		191		48		-		408	(74)		573			
Investments in the Fund		28		-		-		-	(28)		-			
Investments in Sequoia		97		-		-		-	(97)		-			
Investments in Acacia		16		-		-		-	(16)		-			
Other investments		-		-		-		78	-		78			
Cash and cash equivalents		126		-		-		-	-		126			
Total earning assets		461		48		4,644		498	(215)		5,436			
Other assets		37		5		44		60	-		146			
Total assets	\$	498	\$	53	\$	4,688	\$	558	\$ (215)	\$	5,582			
Short-term debt	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-			
Other liabilities	÷	46	÷	2	Ť	9	Ŧ	195	-	÷	252			
Asset-backed securities issued - Seguoia		-		-		4,582		-	(74)		4,508			
Asset-backed securities issued - Acacia		-		-		-,002		347	()		347			
Long-term debt		150		-		-		-	-		150			
Total liabilities		196		2		4,591		542	(74)		5,257			
Minority interest		-		23		-		-	-		23			
Total stockholders' equity		302		28		97		16	(141)		302			
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	498	\$	53	\$	4,688	\$	558	\$ (215)	\$	5,582			

Cash Flow

- ^u As a supplement to the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, we have included the table below, which summarizes the sources and uses of our cash during the fourth quarter in a manner consistent with the way management analyzes them. This table excludes the gross cash flows generated by our Sequoia and Acacia securitization entities and the Fund (cash flows that are not available to Redwood), but does include the cash flows distributed to Redwood as a result of our investments in these entities.
- ^u As shown in the table below, fourth quarter business cash flow totaled \$27 million, down \$19 million from the third quarter. The majority of the decline resulted from a \$20 reduction in cash flow received from our investments, which was consistent with our warning in the third quarter Review. Other factors included a \$1 million reduction in asset management fees and a \$2 million reduction in cash operating expenses.

Redwood Sources and Uses of Cash Three Months Ended December 31, 2008 (\$ in millions) Geginning cash balance at 9/30/08											
Beginning cash balance at 9/30/08	\$	177									
Business Cash Flows:											
Cash flow from investments	\$	40									
Asset management fees		1									
Operating expenses		(12)									
Interest expense on debt		(2)									
Total business cash flows		27									
Other Sources and Uses:											
Proceeds from asset sales		1									
Proceeds from equity issuance		2									
Changes in working capital		2									
Acquistions		(50)									
Dividends		(26)									
Repayment of debt		(7)									
Net other uses		(78)									
Net uses of cash	\$	(51)									
Ending cash balance at 12/31/08	\$	126									

^u The beginning cash balance at September 30, 2008 and the ending cash balance at December 31, 2008 presented in the table above are GAAP amounts. The presentation of our sources and uses of cash in the table is derived from our GAAP Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the fourth quarter of 2008 by aggregating and netting all items within our GAAP Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows in order to present our sources and uses of cash in a manner consistent with the way management analyzes them.



FINANCIAL INSIGHTS

Cash Flow (continued)

^u Our cash flow from investments in the fourth quarter declined from the prior quarter due for three reasons; slower prepayments (reducing principal paid on our securities), lower interest rates (reducing the interest paid on our securities and investments in Sequoia), and the lack of one-time events (which had increased our third quarter cash flows from our investments in the Fund and in Acacia). The table below presents the changes in cash flows from the third to the fourth quarter from our securities and investments.

Redwood Cash Flow From Investments (\$ in millions)												
		Three Mon	ths	Ended								
		9/30/08		12/31/08		Change						
Securities at Redwood												
Residential principal	\$	17	\$	10	\$	(7)						
Residential interest		13		11		(2)						
Commercial and CDO interest		5		5		-						
Total Securities at Redwood		35		26		(9)						
Investments in Sequoia		13		9		(4)						
Investments in Acacia		5		2		(3)						
Investments in the Fund		7		3		(4)						
Total Cash Flow from Securities and Investments	\$	60	\$	40	\$	(20)						

^u The \$40 million of cash flow from our investments included \$27 million of coupon interest and \$13 million of principal. We caution readers that given the nature of our investments (deep discount credit-sensitive securities, IGS at discounts, IOs, equity investments in Acacia, and other types) it is difficult to draw conclusions in any one period about what portion of our cash flow represents "income" and what is a "return of capital." It is only at the end of an asset's life that we can accurately determine what portion of the cumulative cash received (whether principal or interest) was truly income and what was a return of capital.

Cash Flow (continued)

^u The following table provides information regarding the investment source and vintage of cash flows from our investments. As shown, most of our cash flows are generated by assets from earlier vintages, which we believe provides a level of comfort about our ongoing ability to generate cash, as these assets generally continue to perform within our expectations.

Cash Flow from Investments by Vintage Three Months Ended December 31, 2008 (\$ in millions)													
		<=2004		2005		2006		2007			2008		Total
Redwood	\$	11	\$	6	\$	5	\$	3	4	\$	-	\$	26
The Fund		2		1		-			-		-		3
Sequoia		6		-		-			3		-		9
Acacia		2		-		-			-		-		2
Total	\$	21	\$	7	\$	5	\$		7	\$	-	\$	40

- u At this time, we believe our 2009 quarterly cash flows from our existing investments (excluding the small amount of interest we receive on our cash) at December 31, 2008 will be similar to the cash flows in the fourth quarter of 2008. That is, we currently expect our net investment cash flow, after operating and interest expenses, to exceed \$100 million for 2009. We caution that the projection of cash flows from existing investments at December 31, 2008 is subject to risks and the actual cash flows may vary and will depend upon, among other things, the amount and timing of credit losses, the amount and timing of prepayments, and the nature and impact of legislative and regulatory actions.
- ^u Cash flow will be reduced in future periods as a consequence of credit losses, since interest payments will be based on reduced principal balances. Credit losses reduce our potential to recover the full face value.
- ^u Future increases in cash flow could be generated by successfully reinvesting the cash flow from our existing investments, from investing our \$126 million of cash at December 31, 2008, and from investing the \$283 million in proceeds from our January 2009 common stock offering.
Summary

What is this?

GAAP income is income calculated under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States.

Quarterly Update

- ^u Our reported consolidated GAAP loss for the fourth quarter of 2008 was \$116 million (\$3.46 per share) compared to a loss of \$111 million (\$3.34 per share) for the third quarter of 2008. Negative market valuation adjustments (MVA) recognized through our income statement continue to be the driving factor in our results.
- ^u The table below provides a summary of our GAAP loss for the fourth and third quarters of 2008.

GAAP (Loss) Income (\$ in millions, except per share data)			
	Three Mo	onthe	
	12/31/08		9/30/08
Interest income	\$ 123	\$	131
Management fees	1		1
Interest expense	(100)		(93)
Net interest income	24		39
Provision for loan losses	(19)		(18)
Market valuation adjustments, net	(111)		(127)
Net interest income (loss) after provision and market valuation adjustments	(106)		(106)
Operating expenses	(14)		(17)
Realized gains on sales	6		-
Realized gains on calls	-		-
Minority interest allocation	2		2
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes	(4)		10
GAAP (loss) income	\$ (116)	\$	(111)
GAAP (loss) income per share	\$ (3.46)	\$	(3.34)

GAAP INCOME

Quarterly Update (continued)

^u The table below shows the consolidating components of our consolidated income statements for the fourth and third quarters of 2008. The purpose of this presentation is to show the effect each of the components had on our consolidated income statements for these periods. The Fund, Sequoia, and Acacia components represent investments and are not separate business segments.

Consolidatin Three Months Er (\$	ecembe							
		 	~				Intercompany	Redwood
	 lwood	 Fund	Sequ		Acaci \$	-	Adjustments	Consolidated
Interest income Net discount (premium) amortization	\$ 18 (3)	\$ 2 1	\$	71 (1)	φ.	36	\$ (1) -	\$ 126 (3)
Total interest income	15	3		70	;	36	(1)	123
Management fees	1	-		-		-	-	1
Interest expense	(2)	-	(64)	(3	5)	1	(100)
Net interest income	14	3		6		1	-	24
Provision for loan losses	-	-	((19)		-	-	(19)
Market valuation adjustments, net	(103)	(7)		(3)	((4)	6	(111)
Net interest income (loss) after provision and market valuation adjustments	(89)	(4)	((16)	((3)	6	(106)
Operating expenses	(13)	(1)		-		-	-	(14)
Realized gains on sales and calls, net	-	-		12		-	(6)	6
Loss from the Fund, Sequoia, and Acacia	(10)	-		-		-	10	-
Minority interest allocation	-	2		-		-	-	2
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes	(4)	-		-		-	-	(4)
Net (loss) income	\$ (116)	\$ (3)	\$	(4)	\$ (3)	\$ 10	\$ (116)

Consolidating Income Statement Three Months Ended September 30, 2008 (\$ in millions)												
					a i		Intercompany	Redwood				
		lwood	The Fu		Sequoia	Acacia \$37	Adjustments	Consolidated				
Interest income	\$	17	Ф	2 2	\$ 71	\$ 37	\$ (1)	\$ 126				
Net discount (premium) amortization		6			(3)		-	5				
Total interest income		23		4	68	37	(1)	131				
Management fees		1		-	-	-	-	1				
Interest expense		(2)		-	(63)	(29)	1	(93)				
Net interest income		22		4	5	8	-	39				
Provision for loan losses		_		-	(18)	-	-	- (18)				
Market valuation adjustments, net		(88)		(8)	(2)	(29)	-	(127)				
Net interest income (loss) after provision and market valuation adjustments		(66)		(4)	(15)	(21)	-	(106)				
Operating expenses		(17)		-	-	-	-	(17)				
Realized gains on sales and calls, net		-		-	-	-	-	· · ·				
Loss from the Fund, Sequoia, and Acacia		(38)		-	-	-	38	-				
Minority interest allocation				2	-	-	-	2				
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes		10		-	-	-	-	10				
Net (loss) income	\$	(111)	\$	(2)	\$ (15)	\$ (21)	\$ 38	\$ (111)				



Quarterly Update (continued)

- u At Redwood, net interest income declined from the prior quarter by \$8 million to \$14 million in the fourth quarter. This decline was due to the reduction in face value on our securities due to credit losses in this and prior quarters, slower prepayments (which reduced the rate at which we accrete discount into income), and lower coupon rates as a result of lower short-term interest rates (approximately 70% of our investments are variable-rate investments). Negative MVA on our securities increased by \$15 million to \$103 million as many of the continued declines in market values on our securities resulted in other-than-temporary impairments this quarter.
- ^u Total operating expenses at Redwood decreased by \$4 million to \$13 million in the fourth quarter of 2008. As discussed in the third quarter Review, prior period expenses included non-recurring legal and consulting costs.
- In the fourth quarter, we recorded a \$4 million provision for income taxes relating to timing differences between GAAP and taxable income recognition. As noted in the third quarter Review, the decision of our board of directors to distribute 100% of our 2007 and 2008 REIT taxable income resulted in a \$10 million credit for income taxes in that quarter.
- ^u For reasons noted below, the losses from our investments in the Fund, Sequoia, and Acacia were \$10 million in the fourth quarter, as compared to a loss of \$38 million from these investments in the third quarter. As a result of all the following factors, Redwood's loss in the fourth quarter of \$116 million was similar to the loss of \$111 million in the prior quarter.
- ^u The Fund generated \$3 million of net interest income, a slight decrease from the prior quarter. Negative MVA on the securities in the Fund totaled \$7 million in the fourth quarter, a slight improvement from the prior quarter. After minority interests, the net loss from our investments in the Fund was \$3 million in the fourth quarter, which was similar to the net loss of \$2 million in the third quarter.
- u At Sequoia, net interest income after loan loss provision and MVA in the fourth quarter was negative \$16 million, similar to the third quarter result of negative \$15 million. The sale of our interests in certain Sequoia entities resulted in a \$12 million gain at Sequoia this quarter (as discussed further in the Investment in Sequoia section of this Review). As a result, our loss from our investments in Sequoia of \$4 million was lower than the \$15 million loss in the third quarter.
- u At Acacia, net interest income declined as expected to \$1 million in the fourth quarter as distributions on our equity investments were terminated due to rating agency downgrades of securities held by the Acacia entities. The negative MVA of \$4 million in the fourth quarter was significantly less than in the prior quarter. As a result, our loss of \$3 million on our investment in Acacia In the fourth quarter was down than the \$21 million loss in the third quarter.



TAXABLE INCOME

Summary

What is this?

Taxable income is our pre-tax income as calculated for tax purposes. Taxable income differs materially from GAAP income. Table 3 in the Appendix reconciles these two earnings measures.

REIT taxable income is the primary determinant of the minimum amount of dividends we must distribute in order to maintain our tax status as a REIT. REIT taxable income is pre-tax profit, as calculated for tax purposes, excluding taxable income earned at our taxable subsidiaries. Over time, we must distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income as dividends.

For our quarterly taxable earnings estimates, we project our taxable earnings for the year based upon various assumptions of events that will occur during the year. However, some of the events that could have significant impact on our taxable earnings are difficult to project, including the amount and timing of credit losses, prepayments, and employee stock option exercises. Thus, our quarterly taxable earnings are likely to remain volatile.

Quarterly Update

^u The charts below provide a summary of our taxable income per share and REIT taxable income per share of each for the nine most recently completed fiscal quarters.



Taxable Income per Share



Quarterly Update (continued)



- ^u Our fourth quarter taxable earnings included \$40 million of deductions related to credit losses, an increase of \$7 million over the previous quarter.
- ^u We caution that the realization of credit losses can vary significantly from quarter to quarter, depending on a number of variables (e.g., the level of loan modifications, short sales, and the impact of new legislation) that could decelerate or accelerate the timing of recognition of losses. For example, federal and state regulatory actions are giving delinquent borrowers additional time to resolve mortgage delinquency issues. Nevertheless, we expect credit losses to continue to increase in 2009.
- ^u We are not permitted to establish credit reserves for tax purposes and we do not generally recognize changes in the market values of assets for tax purposes until the asset is sold. As a result, at December 31, 2008, the tax basis of our residential, commercial, and CDO CES at Redwood (excluding investments in Sequoia and Acacia) was \$479 million higher than our GAAP basis. As a result, future credit losses will have a more significant impact on our taxable income than on our GAAP income. Over time, cumulative GAAP and taxable income will converge. Given our projected losses, we expect taxable income to be less than GAAP income for the next few quarters (although negative MVA could decrease reported GAAP income significantly).

Summary

What is this?

As a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income in the form of dividends to shareholders. Our board of directors can declare dividends in excess of this minimum requirement.

The chart below shows the regular and special dividends per share paid to shareholders for the indicated periods and our projected regular dividend for 2009.



Regular and Special Dividends per Share

Quarterly Update

- ^u On November 10, 2008, our board of directors declared a regular dividend of \$0.75 per share for the fourth quarter, which was paid on January 21, 2009 to shareholders of record on December 31, 2008.
- ^u We paid \$3.00 per share in regular dividends in 2008 and have announced that these dividends consisted of \$2.75 per share of ordinary income and \$0.25 per share of return of capital.
- u On November 10, 2008, our board of directors announced its intention to pay a regular dividend of \$0.25 per share per quarter in 2009.
- ^u There was no undistributed REIT taxable income at December 31, 2008.
- u We expect a tax loss at the REIT level for 2009 due to the expected realization of credit losses. We currently expect that Redwood's 2009 regular dividend will constitute a return of capital and, as such, will not be taxable to shareholders.
- ^u We currently believe it is unlikely that we will pay a special dividend in 2009.
 - 28 THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

CAPITAL & LIQUIDIT



Summary

What is this?

We use capital to fund our operations, invest in earning assets that are generally illiquid, fund working capital, and meet lender capital requirements with respect to collateralized borrowings, if any.

Through our internal risk-adjusted capital policy, we allocate a prudent level of capital for our earning assets to meet liquidity needs that may arise. In most cases, the amount of allocated capital is equal to 100% of the fair value of the asset. The amount of capital that exceeds our risk-adjusted capital guideline, less short-term debt, pending investment settlements, operating expense allocations, and other miscellaneous capital allocations, is excess capital that can be invested to support business growth.

Our capital base includes common equity plus \$150 million of long-term debt at Redwood, which is due in 2037.

Declines in the fair value of assets do not have an effect on excess capital, as asset value declines equally reduce both available capital and capital required for these investments.

Quarterly Update

- ^u At December 31, 2008, our unrestricted cash totaled \$126 million.
- u At December 31, 2008, our reported capital totaled \$452 million, compared to \$562 million at September 30, 2008. The decline in our reported capital during the quarter generally reflects the decrease in the market value of our assets.
- ^u Our excess capital position was \$121 million at December 31, 2008, a decrease from \$163 million at September 30, 2008. During the fourth quarter, our sources of capital were \$27 million from portfolio cash flows and management fees in excess of operating costs and financing costs. Other sources of capital included \$1 million from asset sales, \$2 million from the sale of shares pursuant to our dividend reinvestment plan, and \$4 million of net changes in operating capital (per our internal risk-adjusted guidelines). Uses of capital included the payment of \$26 million in dividends and the funding of \$50 million of asset acquisitions.
- u In January 2009, we raised \$283 million, net of offering expenses, from a public offering of common stock.



Market Conditions

- u No sector of the non-agency RMBS or CMBS market was spared from pricing pressure during the fourth quarter of 2008. In particular, the AAA space saw relentless declines in market value. We believe multiple factors drove the market lower, including the following:
 - Hedge funds, facing redemptions, were persistent and relatively price insensitive sellers.
 - Mutual funds were also persistent sellers in the face of redemptions.
 - Global investment banks were again under earnings and liquidity pressure.
 - Traditional high yield corporate investors, who had moved capital into the RMBS space, moved back to high-yield corporate bonds as
 yields in that sector reached historical levels.
 - Increased uncertainty about how loan modifications and bankruptcy cramdowns will affect mortgage-backed securities.
 - The slowing pace of existing home sales and the continued declines in housing prices.
 - A limited amount of new capital available at year end.
- ^u No residential prime non-agency mortgage securitizations were completed in the fourth quarter, and less than \$7 billion were issued in 2008, down from \$180 billion issued in 2007, and \$281 billion issued in the peak year of 2005. No commercial mortgage securitizations were completed in the second half of 2008, and only \$12 billion were issued for the full year, which was a significant reduction from the \$230 billion issued in 2007.
- ^u The chart below illustrates the substantial decline in prices throughout 2008 that investors demanded to compensate for the perceived risk of various types of AAA RMBS and CMBS over the past two years.



AAA RMBS and CMBS Prices

- Source: JP Morgan, Barclays, Redwood Trust
- u Note that AAA RMBS and CMBS prices dropped dramatically in the fourth quarter (after the Treasury Department announced it would not use TARP funds to acquire non-agency mortgage securities). In early 2009, prices have partially recovered from their lows.



Market Conditions (continued)

- ^u For some assets, declines in fair values reflect the near certainty of serious credit losses being realized. For others, significant future losses may not occur, but there is a perceived increase in the risk of loss, resulting in a lower value. Finally, many assets are not at serious risk of loss, but their declining value largely reflects a limited number of observed sales in the market as well as reduced liquidity and increased buyer caution.
- ^u The accounting rules regarding mark-to-market (MTM) accounting are complex and may not clearly reflect the underlying economics. This topic is more fully discussed in the Accounting Discussion module in the Appendix.
- ^u Financial Table 16 in the back of this Review details the fair value of the residential, commercial, and CDO securities at Redwood as a percentage of their face value as of December 31, 2008.

Total Mark-to-Market Adjustments

^u The table below shows the consolidating impact of MTM adjustments against loans and securities on our balance sheet and income statement in the fourth quarter.

Mark-to-Market Adjustments on Assets and Liabilities Consolidated Balance Sheet and Income Statement Effects Three Months Ended December 31, 2008 (\$ in millions)												
			The									
	Redwo	od	Fund	Sequ	uoia Acac	ia ^(a)	Total					
Balance sheet effect												
Net change in equity account	\$	26 \$	((2) \$	- \$	- \$	24					
Income statement effect												
Market valuation adjustments												
Fair value assets and liabilities		(4)		-	(3)	2	(5)					
Impairment on AFS securities		(99)	((7)	-	-	(106)					
Total income statement effect		(103)		(7)	(3)	2	(111)					
Total mark-to-market adjustments	\$	(77) \$		(9) \$	(3) \$	2\$	(87)					

(a) Changes in fair value assets at Acacia does not include \$6.5 million of intercompany market valuation adjustments related to the derecognition of certain Sequoia ABS issued assets at Acacia entities during the fourth quarter of 2008. These ABS issued were previously eliminated upon consolidation



Total Mark-to-Market Adjustments (continued)

- ^u During the quarter, net MTM adjustments on securities held at Redwood were negative \$77 million and were primarily related to higher discount rates required by market participants and declining prepayment speeds, with credit deterioration contributing to a minimal extent.
- ^u The tables below detail the negative \$77 million of MTM adjustments during the fourth quarter on securities held at Redwood by underlying collateral type and by vintage.

Th	Mark-to-Market Adjustments on Assets at Redwood Three Months Ended December 31, 2008 (\$ in millions)											
		IGS	CES	Loans & Derivatives	Total	MTM Percentage (a)						
Residential												
Prime	\$	(26) \$	(18) \$	6 - \$	(44)	(31) %						
Non-prime		(14)	(1)	-	(15)	(21) %						
Total Residential		(40)	(19)	-	(59)							
Commercial		-	(15)	-	(15)	(24) %						
CDO		-	-	-	-	-						
Interest rate agreements & other derivatives		-	-	(3)	(3)							
Total mark-to-market adjustments	\$	(40) \$	(34) \$	6 (3) \$	(77)							

By Vintage											L	oans &		
	<= 2	2004	200)5	20	06	20	07	20	08		ivatives	Т	otal
Total mark-to-market adjustments	\$	(30)	\$	(19)	\$	(15)	\$	(8)	\$	(2)	\$	(3)	\$	(77)
MTM percentage ^(a)		(36) %		(18) %		(28) %		(19) %		(64) %	6			

(a) This percentage represents the MTM adjustments taken as a percentage of the reported fair values at the beginning of the period or purchase price if acquired during the period. It isintended to highlight the price declines by collateral type for the three months ended December 31, 2008. These price declines are specific to our portfolio and may not be indicative of price declines in the market in general.



Impact on Assets at the Fund

^u During the fourth quarter, total MTM adjustments at the Fund were a negative \$9 million, all of which were deemed other-than-temporary impairments. All of the securities owned by the Fund are classified as available-for-sale.

Impact on Assets and Liabilities at Sequoia

u Loans and ABS issued at Sequoia are carried at their amortized cost basis. Real estate owned is reported at lower of cost or market. During the fourth quarter, MTM adjustments totaled a negative \$3 million resulting from a decrease in the fair value of real estate owned.

Impact on Assets and Liabilities at Acacia

u During the fourth quarter, MTM adjustments were negative \$4 million resulting from decreases in the fair value of real estate securities, loans, derivatives, and related ABS issued, as presented in the table below.

Mark-to-Market Adjustments on Acacia Assets and Liabilities Three Months Ended December 31, 2008 (\$ in millions)	
Assets Real estate securities and loans Interest rate agreements and other derivatives	\$ (216) (74)
Liabilities ABS issued	286
Net mark-to-market adjustments	\$ (4)



Mark-to-Market Valuation Process

- ^u The market values we use for our assets and liabilities reflect the price we believe we would realize if we chose to sell our securities or would have to pay if we chose to buy back our liabilities or ABS issued. This is the required accounting standard even if we have no intention to sell assets.
- ^u Establishing market values in thinly traded or essentially closed markets is inherently subjective and is dependent upon many market-based inputs, including observable trades, information on offered inventories, bid lists, and indications of value obtained from dealers. Obtaining market values for securities is especially difficult for illiquid securities (such as the securities we own), and is made more difficult when there is limited trading visibility. Although trading volume improved in the fourth quarter from depressed levels over the prior three quarters, we expect market valuations to remain volatile.
- u Late last year, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued clarifying guidance on marking assets to fair value, although it did not change the accounting requirements nor did it affect our valuation process. We continue to value our securities at prices where we believe we can sell them in the current illiquid market.
- ^u Market values for our securities and ABS issued are dependent upon a number of market-based assumptions, including future interest rates, prepayment rates, discount rates, credit loss rates, and the timing of credit losses. We use these assumptions to generate internal estimates of fair value for each individual security.
- ^u Although we rely on our internal calculations to compute the fair value of securities we own, we also request and consider indications of value (marks) from third-party dealers to assist us in our valuation process. For December 31, 2008, we received dealer marks on 67% of our assets and 94% of our liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet. In the aggregate, our internal valuations of the securities on which we received dealer marks were 1% lower than the aggregate dealer marks at December 31, 2008. Our internal valuations of our ABS issued on which we received dealer marks were 12% higher than the aggregate dealer marks at December 31, 2008.
- ^u In assessing the third-party dealer marks that we receive, it appears that some measures have been taken to enhance the quality of these marks, as they more closely approximated our internal fair value estimates in the fourth quarter of 2008 than in recent prior quarters. However, there were fewer third party generated data points available to us at December 31, 2008, than in prior quarters, in part because some dealers no longer exist and others have ceased providing client valuation services.

RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE SECURITIES



Summary

What is this?

Redwood invests in securities that are backed by pools of residential real estate loans. Historically, these securities were primarily CES, but recent acquisitions of IGS have resulted in a larger percentage of IGS to total securities. Most of our investments in residential real estate securities are backed by prime residential loans and some are backed by Alt-A, Option ARM, and subprime loans. The following discussion refers only to the residential securities owned by Redwood, exclusive of the securities owned by Sequoia, Acacia, and the Fund, and exclusive of Redwood's investments in these entities.

Quarterly Update

- ^u In the fourth quarter, we invested primarily in AAA, or senior cash flow, securities. We invested \$46 million in AAA securities at a weighted average price of 64% of the face value and with an average credit support of 12 percentage points, and \$4 million of CES, or subordinate cash flow securities, that we acquired at 2% of face value. More details about our securities follow in the prime IGS, non-prime IGS, and CES sections.
- ^u Prices for non-agency mortgage securities declined roughly 20% during the fourth quarter. The drop was driven by a combination of forced selling by certain investors facing liquidity pressures, uncertainty about government intervention and loan modifications, and the potential for bankruptcy cramdowns.
- ^u Mortgage delinquencies continue to increase and ultimately will result in higher future losses. It is important to note Redwood's losses are capped at its investment in any specific security, regardless of how much higher delinquencies and losses increase. This is significantly different from a portfolio lender or a guarantor that owns or guarantees the entire loan (or portfolio of loans) where the higher the amount of delinquencies and losses, the more loss exposure the lender or guarantor has.
- ^u We expect the rating agencies to downgrade a significant number of prime and Alt-A mortgage securities from AAA to below investment grade ratings in the near term. Approximately \$1.5 trillion of prime and Alt-AAAA securities were issued between 2005 and 2008. Given our current estimates of cumulative losses for loans from these vintages, we believe most of these securities will be downgraded.
- ^u The pending bankruptcy reform legislation, if signed into law, will also result in AAA downgrades as many of the bond structures require losses from bankruptcies to be shared by all of the tranches in a mortgage security, and do not allocate these losses only to the most subordinate tranche.
- ^u Prepayment rates in the fourth quarter were near historic lows (around 10%) for both non-agency prime and agency securities. However, government programs such as the recently announced Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan are designed to spur refinancing activity. The government is highly motivated to increase prepayment activity and we may see an increase in prepayments in the near future.
- ^u The Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan is primarily focused on reducing foreclosures through loan modifications, and increasing refinancing opportunities for Agency borrowers. Although details will not be released until March 4, 2009, the Plan appears to formalize several existing loan modification plans. The refinance aspect of the plan allows existing mortgagors with loan to value ratios up to 105%, and who have loans that are either owned or guaranteed by the Agencies, to refinance. Existing non-Agency borrowers who have conforming loan balances (up to \$729,750 in high cost areas) could benefit under the plan by refinancing into an Agency loan subject to satisfying the underwriting requirements.



RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE SECURITIES

Prime IGS Portfolio

What is this?

Prime IGS are mortgage-backed securities backed by high quality residential loans. The borrowers typically have high FICO credit scores. The loans have relatively low loan-to-value (LTV) ratios. Information on our prime IGS and underlying loan characteristics are set forth in Tables 9, 10, 12, and 16 in the Financial Tables in this Review.

Quarterly Update

- ^u Total interest income generated by our prime IGS was \$3 million in the fourth quarter, which produced an annualized yield of 15% on our amortized cost of these securities.
- ^u During the fourth quarter, we acquired \$36 million of non-agency prime mortgage securities. This included \$30 million of 2005 AAA mortgage securities at a weighted average price of 65% of face value and average credit support of 8 percentage points, and \$6 million of 2007 AAA mortgage securities at a weighted average price of 60% of face value and average credit support of 6 percentage points.
- ^u The increased level of delinquencies and losses, and the insufficient amount of credit support to cover the losses, means that today's AAA, or senior cash flow, securities could experience principal losses in the future. Therefore, investing in these securities has become much more credit intensive, requiring significant mortgage credit and structured finance expertise.

T

Prime IGS Portfolio (continued)

Quarterly Update (continued)

^u The following table presents information on our prime IGS at Redwood at December 31, 2008.

			Cre	edit	Rese	rve Analys By Rating Decemb (\$ in	g an ber 3	d Vinta 31, 2008	ge	dwo	od							
		<=2	004		20	005		200	6		20)7		200	8		То	tal
	Am	ount	% of loans	Am	nount	% of loans	Am	nount 🤅	% of loans	Am	ount	% of loans	Am	ount 9	% of loans	Am	ount	% of loans
AAA Face Unamortized discount Discount designated as credit reserve Unrealized gains (losses)	\$	- - -		\$	51 (19) - 2		\$	16 (9) -		\$	10 (4) -		\$	- - -		\$	77 (32) 2	
Fair value	\$	-		\$	34		\$	7		\$	6		\$	-		\$	47	
Credit protection to AAA Serious delinquencies			n/a n/a			7.57% 2.27%			3.33% 0.92%			8.43% 0.10%			n/a n/a			7.11% 1.74%
AA Face Unamortized discount Discount designated as credit reserve Unrealized gains (losses)	\$	23 (15) -		\$	30 (23) -		\$	- - -		\$			\$	6 (4) (1)		\$	59 (42) (1)	
Fair value	\$	8		\$	7		\$	-		\$	-		\$	1		\$	16	
Credit protection to AA Serious delinquencies			3.44% 1.47%			3.86% 1.45%			n/a n/a			n/a n/a			2.66% 0.85%			3.61% 1.39%
A Face Unamortized discount	\$	23 (15)		\$:		\$	1		\$	-		\$	3 (1)		\$	27 (16)	
Discount designated as credit reserve Unrealized (losses) gains		(3)			-			(1)	-		-			(2)			(6)	
Fair value	\$	5		\$	-		\$	-		\$	-		\$	-		\$	5	
Credit protection to A Serious delinquencies BBB			1.99% 1.97%			n/a n/a			n/a n/a			n/a n/a			1.76% 0.85%			1.78% 1.61%
Face Unamortized discount Discount designated as credit reserve Unrealized gains (losses)	\$	16 (6) (7)		\$	6 (6)		\$			\$	6 (4) -		\$	4 (4)		\$	32 (10) (17)	
Fair value	\$	3		\$	-		\$	-		\$	2		\$	-		\$	5	
Credit protection to BBB Serious delinquencies			1.26% 1.21%			0.99% 1.96%			n/a n/a			3.74% 1.38%			1.23% 3.52%			2.24% 1.68%
Total fair value	\$	16		\$	41		\$	7		\$	8		\$	1		\$	73	

^u As seen in the table above, most of our IGS are from 2005 and prior vintages and rated AAA or AA. Note that most of these higher-rated securities are senior cash flows (although this information is not shown in this table).

- ^u Comparing the level of credit support available to seriously delinquent loans provides a measure of the low level of credit sensitivity that exists within our prime IGS portfolio. For example, the AAA securities have over 7 percentage points of credit support while serious delinquencies are below 2%. In addition, the discount at which these securities are held provides additional credit protection.
- ^u The credit support presented in the table above represents the level of protection to the associated position. As the amount of this credit support diminishes, the likelihood of our securities absorbing losses increases. When we anticipate that credit losses will exceed the credit support and be absorbed by our securities, we designate a portion of the discount as a credit reserve.
- ^u For our AAA securities, we currently do not anticipate that losses will exceed the level of credit support that exists and thus have no designated credit reserve for these securities. We have established credit reserves for prime IGS other than AAA securities.



RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE SECURITIES

Prime IGS Portfolio (continued)

Quarterly Update (continued)

- ^u The unamortized purchase discount on prime IGS was \$100 million, or 51% of face value. This discount will be amortized into interest income over the expected lives of the securities.
- ^u A significant factor in the rate of prepayments is the level of mortgage interest rates. The following table illustrates our analysis of the percent of our prime portfolio that is considered jumbo and the percent considered conforming balance, broken out by loan type and vintage, as well as the weighted average interest rates for the respective buckets.

			Composit	ion by Pro				ice				
	<=	2004	2	005	2	006	2	007	20	008	Тс	otal
Product	% of Balance	Wtd Avg Loan Rate										
Hybrid/ARM ^(b) Fixed	22% 10%	4.85% 5.69%	41% 4%	5.46% 6.03%	34% 11%	6.00% 6.29%	13% 46%	6.35% 6.41%	7% 71%	6.13% 6.57%	26% 12%	
Jumbo	32%		45%		44%		59%		78%		38%	
Hybrid/ARM ^(b) Fixed	33% 35%	4.93% 5.85%	49% 6%	5.54% 6.02%	42% 14%	6.04% 6.25%	8% 33%	6.36% 6.40%	2% 20%	6.37% 6.48%	35% 27%	
Conforming ^(d)	68%		55%		56%		41%		22%		62%	
Totals	100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%	

(a) The product percentages differ from other tables as this shows our exposure on a loan balance basis whereas other tables show our exposure on a market value basis.

(b) ARMs represent approximately 1% of our portfolio.

(c) Only the loan groups providing direct cash-flows to our securities are included. Loans backing the subordinate bonds within the same structure as our IGS investments are not included unless we also hold such a subordinate bond in our portfolio.

(d) Consistent with the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) definition released in late February 2009.

- ^u We estimate that 38% of our prime portfolio is jumbo, or non-conforming balance.
- ^u Given the current level of non-conforming mortgage rates (close to 7%), tighter underwriting standards, and the equity required to refinance, we do not expect prepayments for our jumbo loans to increase in the near future.
- ^u Historically, jumbo fixed-rate mortgages have been priced to a spread of roughly 1.25% above the 10-year Treasury note yield. Although the 10-year Treasury yield has declined since mid-November to about 3% in mid-February, current jumbo 30-year fixed-rate mortgage spreads remain high by historical standards, resulting in jumbo mortgage rates close to 7%.
- ^u We estimate that approximately 62% of the loans backing our prime IGS portfolio have loan balances that conform to the new Agency conforming limit of up to \$729,750 in high cost areas.
- ^u For those borrowers that qualify under GSE underwriting requirements, we expect prepayment speeds to increase in the near future. Due to the delays associated with closing and reporting refinances, we will have more clarity regarding the magnitude of the change in prepayment speeds as future remittance reports are received in March or April.
- ^u Prepayment speeds on our IGS portfolio dropped from 13 CPR in the third quarter to 10 CPR in the fourth quarter. Our base scenario for evaluating current and potential investments assumes no more than a 10 CPR. An increase in future prepayment speeds, resulting from government programs or other market factors, would increase our returns since the \$100 million purchase discount associated with this portfolio would be amortized into income at a faster rate, and a portion of the credit reserves could be recovered.



Non-Prime Securities Portfolio

What is this?

Non-prime IGS include, Alt-A, option ARM, and subprime securities.

Alt-A securities are residential mortgage-backed securities backed by loans that generally have higher credit-quality characteristics than subprime, but lower credit-quality characteristics than prime.

Option ARM securities are residential mortgage-backed securities backed by loans that allow borrowers to make payments that are less than the monthly accrued interest on the mortgages.

Subprime securities are residential mortgage-backed securities backed by lower-quality loans. Many subprime borrowers have impaired credit histories.

Quarterly Update

- u Total interest income generated by our non-prime IGS was \$1 million in the fourth quarter, which produced an annualized yield of 10% on our amortized cost.
- ^u During the fourth quarter, we acquired \$10 million of 2005 Alt-A securities at an average price of 63% of face value and with average credit support of 26 percentage points.
- u The following table presents information on our non-prime IGS at Redwood at December 31, 2008.

Credit Reserve Analysis - Non-Prime IGS at Redwood By Rating and Vintage December 31, 2008 (\$ in millions)													
		20	05		20	06		200)7		Total		
	A	mount		A	Amount		A	mount	% of	A	mount % of		
AAA			loans			loans			loans		loans		
Face	\$	58		\$	17		\$	-		\$	75		
Unamortized discount		(27)			(11)			-			(38)		
Discount designated as		-			-			-			-		
credit reserve Unrealized gains (losses)		(7)			-			-			(7)		
Fair value	\$	24		\$	6		\$	-		\$	30		
Credit protection to AAA Serious delinquencies			34.37% 11.68%			37.28% 14.11%			n/a n/a		34.84% 11.96%		
АА													
Face	\$	2		\$	-		\$	-		\$	2		
Unamortized discount		(1)			-			-			(1)		
Discount designated as credit reserve		-			-			-			-		
Unrealized gains (losses)		-			-			-			-		
Fair value	\$	1		\$	-		\$	-		\$	1		
Credit protection to AA			18.08%			n/a			n/a		18.08%		
Serious delinguencies			7.96%			n/a			n/a		7.96%		
BBB													
Face	\$	-		\$	-		\$	25		\$	25		
Unamortized discount		-		-	-			(2)			(2)		
Discount designated as credit reserve		-			-			(7)			(7)		
Unrealized (losses) gains		-		-	-			(5)			(5)		
Fair value	\$	-		\$	-		\$	11		\$	11		
Credit protection to BBB			n/a			n/a			24.98%	Ď	24.98%		
Serious delinquencies			n/a			n/a			27.04%	Ď	27.04%		
Total fair value	\$	25		\$	6		\$	11		\$	42		



RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE SECURITIES

Non-Prime Securities Portfolio (continued)

Quarterly Update (continued)

- u Not surprisingly, serious delinquencies in our non-prime IGS portfolio are significantly higher than in our prime IGS portfolio. However, the levels of credit support and structural protection are also significantly higher and, as a result, our non-prime IGS portfolio can withstand higher levels of credit losses.
- ^u For example, a non-prime super senior AAA security we recently purchased has 17 percentage points of credit support. This security can withstand default rates as high as 75% of the underlying loans, with loss severities up to 50%, and still generate low double-digit returns for Redwood.
- u The fair value of our non-prime IGS portfolio is \$42 million, or 41% of face value. In addition to the credit support and designated credit reserves, we effectively have additional cushion through this discount to face value before the value of our investments would not be returned to us.
- ^u The unamortized purchase discount totaled \$41 million, or 40% of face value. This discount will be amortized into interest income over the lives of the securities.
- ^u The fourth quarter prepayment speed for our non-prime IGS was 6 CPR, down from 10 CPR in the third quarter as non-prime borrowers continued to have fewer refinancing options. We do not purchase or value non-prime IGS with fast prepayment assumptions.



Credit-Enhancement Securities Portfolio

What is this?

CES includes securities backed by prime and non-prime loans. The following discussion reflects only the CES at Redwood, exclusive of CES owned by Acacia or the Fund.

Quarterly Update

- ^u Total interest income generated by our CES was \$11 million in the fourth quarter, which produced an annualized yield of 93% on our amortized cost.
- u In the fourth quarter, we acquired \$4 million of CES at a weighted average purchase price of 2% of the face value.
- ^u The table below presents information on our CES portfolio at Redwood at December 31, 2008.

Residential Credit Enhanc Decemb (\$ in				
	Vir	itag	ge	
	<=2004		>=2005	Total
Current face	\$ 208	\$	593	\$ 801
Unamortized premium (discount), net	(35)		(33)	(68)
Discount designated as credit reserve	(151)		(549)	(700)
Amortized cost	22		11	33
Unrealized gains	1		3	4
Unrealized losses	(5)		(2)	(7)
Fair value	\$ 18	\$	12	\$ 30
Fair value as a percentage of face	9%		2%	4%

- ^u We break out our CES portfolio into 2004 and earlier and 2005 and later categories. The fair value of the 2004 and earlier vintages is \$18 million and is reported on our balance sheet at 9% of face value. From a credit standpoint, these securities continue to perform within our general expectations, and we believe there is upside potential (through recovery of a portion of the discount designated as credit reserve) to some of these seasoned securities that have low delinquencies and losses.
- ^u The fair value of our 2005 and later securities is \$12 million and is reported on our balance sheet at 2% of face value, and is performing worse than our original expectations. We expect to write these off in the near term as losses are incurred. Until then, they will continue to generate interest payments.
- ^u Credit losses on our CES were \$102 million in the fourth quarter, an increase from \$81 million in the third quarter. For tax purposes, losses on our CES were \$39 million (\$1.17 per share). The loss for tax purposes is less than the principal value of credit losses incurred on the underlying loans as we own most of our credit sensitive securities at a tax basis that is substantially less than par value.
- ^u Our credit reserves of \$700 million for our CES portfolio represent 87% of the aggregate face value of \$801 million at December 31, 2008. Our credit reserves increased by a net \$152 million due primarily to the acquisition of approximately \$244 million in face value of CES for which we established reserves of an equal amount, less credit losses in the fourth quarter. Credit expectations for our existing portfolio did not materially change during the fourth quarter.



COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE SECURITIES

Commercial Securities Portfolio

What is this?

We invest in securities that are backed by pools of commercial real estate loans. The following discussion refers only to the commercial securities owned by Redwood, exclusive of commercial securities owned by Acacia.

Market Conditions

- ^u We believe difficult times lay ahead for the commercial real estate sector. Escalating economic woes are causing downward pressure on property-level cash flows and valuations and, as a result, delinquency rates are expected to increase at an accelerated pace in 2009. Furthermore, tight underwriting standards at lowered leverage ratios will result in more extensions and defaults on maturing loans, exacerbating the problem.
- ^u Prompted by the expectation of higher levels of delinquency, and a possible decline in property values of 30% or more from their peak, Moody's recently re-assessed their CMBS rating model for 2006 and 2007 vintages, resulting in several downgrades for classes up to and including the junior AAA tranche. We expect the trend of downgrades to continue.
- ^u According to data from Barclays Capital, prices on senior AAA CMBS declined from 86% of face value at September 30, 2008 to 62% of face value as of February 12, 2009. Prices for these securities hit a low of 50% of face value in November 2008.
- ^u Our commercial CES generated \$5 million of positive cash flow during the fourth quarter. As we anticipated at acquisition, portfolio cash flow will decrease over time as our bond principal erodes due to credit losses, leaving less principal outstanding to generate interest. The timing of these credit losses will therefore be the key determinant of our future returns. Structural considerations specific to CMBS, such as bond interest shortfalls due to property appraisal reductions, will also impact the timing of interest cash flows and affect our returns. (An appraisal reduction is a mechanism that prevents the bond servicer from over-advancing interest on seriously delinquent loans with high potential loss severities).
- ^u Our commercial securities portfolio consists of CES investments that we fund with equity. The \$49 billion of loans backing these securities are predominantly fixed-rate, 10-year loans. The loans are diverse in size, property type, and geographic location. Only 4% of these loans mature in 2009.
- ^u The fair value of our commercial CES decreased to \$42 million in the fourth quarter from \$64 million in the third quarter due to negative MTM adjustments. These MTM adjustments resulted from significant price declines on CMBS during the quarter. The fair value of our commercial CES was equal to 8% of their \$514 million face value at December 31, 2008. This fair value reflects the fact that we do not expect a return of principal on the majority of our commercial investments.

COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE SECURITIES



Commercial Securities Portfolio (continued)

Quarterly Update

u The following table presents our commercial securities portfolio by credit rating and vintage and shows our cost as percentage of face value.

Credit Reserve Analysis - Commercial Portfolio By Rating and Vintage December 31, 2008 (\$ in millions)													
			% of A			Total Amount % of							
BB	loans		loans	loans	loans	loans							
Face S Unamortized discount	\$ 80.10% (4)	\$- -	-\$	30.08% -	\$ 120.18% 1	\$ 230.12% (3)							
Discount designated as credit	(3)0.04%	-	-	(2)0.04%	(12)0.18%	(17)0.09%							
reserve Unrealized gains (losses)	-	-		-	-	-							
Fair value	5 1	\$-	\$	1 :	5 1	\$ 3							
Overall credit protection to BB CES	3.61%			2.26%	2.13%	2.84%							
B Face Unamortized discount	\$ - ·	\$- -	\$	23 0.11% 4	5 160.11% 2	\$ 390.11% 6							
Discount designated as credit	-	-		(23)0.11%	(16)0.11%	(39)0.11%							
reserve Unrealized gains (losses)	-	-		(1)	-	(1)							
Fair value	5 - 3	\$-	\$	3 5	\$ 2	\$5							
Overall credit protection to B CES	-		-	1.89%	1.60%								
Unrated Face Unamortized	\$ 400.58% (2)	\$ 125) 11	0.61%\$	235 0.70% 20	\$	\$ 452 0.62% 32							
discount Discount designated as credit	(31)0.44%	(123)	0.50%	(235)0.70%	(53)0.45%	(442)0.61%							
reserve Unrealized (losses) gains	2	(4)		(7)	-	(9)							
Fair value	9	9		13	3	34							
Total fair S value	\$ 10	\$9	\$	17 5	6	\$ 42							

- In line with the broader CMBS market, credit performance of our commercial portfolio continued to weaken during the fourth quarter. At December 31, 2008, serious delinquencies (60+ days) were \$562 million, or 1.15% of the \$49 billion of collateral loans, an increase from 0.96% at September 30, 2008. As delinquencies rise, monthly cash flow will become less predictable.
- u Realized credit losses on our commercial CES of \$0.7 million were charged against our designated credit reserve during the fourth quarter. For tax purposes, our deduction for these realized losses was \$0.2 million. This deduction is less than the principal value of credit losses incurred on the underlying loans, as we own our commercial CES at a tax basis that is substantially less than par value.
- u Our GAAP credit reserve for commercial CES was \$498 million, or \$14.88 per share, at December 31, 2008. We are not allowed to establish reserves for tax purposes.



Summary

What is this?

Sequoia entities are independent securitization entities that acquire residential mortgage loans and create and issue ABS backed by these loans. The vast majority of the loans that Sequoia entities acquire are prime-quality loans. Most of the investment-grade rated ABS created by Sequoia were sold to third-party investors. Redwood acquired most of the CES and acquired most of the IOs for securitizations issued before 2006. Although Redwood's investment in Sequoia entities is relatively small and limited, the loans and ABS issued by Sequoia are shown on our consolidated financial statements. Redwood's investments in these entities do not appear on our balance sheet as an asset; rather, they are reflected as the difference between the consolidated assets of Sequoia and the consolidated Sequoia ABS issued to third parties.

Redwood's credit risk is generally limited to its investment in the CES Redwood acquires from the Sequoia entities. Each Sequoia entity is independent from the others, thus the performance of any one Sequoia entity does not affect any other Sequoia entity.

Quarterly Update

- ^u Cash generated by our investments in Sequoia during the fourth quarter totaled \$9 million, compared to \$13 million in the third quarter. The increase in LIBOR late in the third quarter adversely affected cash flows distributed to the IOs in the fourth quarter due to timing differences between coupon resets on the loans held by the Sequoia entities and the ABS issued by the Sequoia entities. We expect IO cash flows over the next few quarters to increase because LIBOR fell dramatically during the fourth quarter and cash flows will benefit from the reset timing differences between the loans and ABS issued.
- ^u As discussed in prior Reviews, loans underlying the Sequoia securitizations from 2006 and 2007 are performing worse than our expectations. For some of these securitizations, we have negative GAAP equity as our loan loss reserves exceed our investments. Economically, our losses cannot exceed our investment. For GAAP purposes, we will recoup this negative equity upon a deconsolidation event including the sale of our interests or the legal extinguishment of our interests as credit losses are passed through by trustees.
- In the fourth quarter, we sold our interests in the 2007 vintages of hybrid loans. For GAAP, we deconsolidated the loans and associated ABS issued from these securitization entities. This resulted in a positive \$12 million adjustment to our income. This positive adjustment is the primary reason that the Sequoia net loss was \$4 million in the fourth quarter of 2008, compared to a net loss of \$15 million in the third quarter.

INVESTMENTS IN SEQUOIA



Quarterly Update (continued)

- a After the above sale, we still had \$14 million of negative GAAP equity from other interests in securitizations from 2007 and 2006. We would likely take corresponding gains in the future if and when deconsolidation events occur.
- u At December 31, 2008, our loan loss reserve was \$36 million, or 0.77% of the current loan balance, a decrease of \$11 million during the quarter. Our credit provision for loans was \$18 million in both the fourth and third quarters. We had net charge-offs of \$7 million in the fourth quarter, compared to \$4 million in the third quarter, and reversed \$22 million of the reserve as a result of the deconsolidation noted above.
- ^u Seriously delinquent loans decreased from \$143 million to \$120 million in the fourth quarter, primarily as a result of the deconsolidation of the 2007 hybrid loans. As a percent of current balances, seriously delinquent loans increased from 2.36% to 2.61% as serious delinquencies increased across all vintages.





Economic Value of our Investments in Sequoia

- ^u The GAAP fair value of Redwood's investments in Sequoia was \$97 million at December 31, 2008. This is reflected on our balance sheet as the difference between residential loans of \$4.7 billion and ABS issued of \$4.6 billion. Both the loans and ABS issued are carried on our consolidated balance sheet at their amortized cost basis.
- ^u Our estimated economic value of Sequoia securities that Redwood owned at December 31, 2008, was \$65 million, consisting of \$59 million of IOs, \$5 million of CES, and \$1 million of IGS. We used the same valuation process to value these Sequoia securities as we did for third-party securities (as described on page 34).



INVESTMENTS IN SEQUOIA

Economic Value of our Investments in Sequoia (continued)

- ^u The primary difference between our GAAP fair value and the economic value of our investments in Sequoia is that for several years the loan premium amortization expenses as calculated under GAAP have not kept pace with prepayments. For a portion of these loans, our GAAP amortization method is linked more closely to short-term interest rates. As short-term interest rates increase, which they did during the third quarter, we expect premium amortization for this portion of the loan portfolio to decrease. Loan premium amortization expenses, a component of interest income, was \$1 million in the fourth quarter, down from \$3 million in the third quarter. We ended the quarter with a net premium of \$68 million on a \$4.6 billion principal loan balance for an average basis of 101.48. In the first half of 2009, we expect our premium amortization expense to increase substantially as a result of the decline in LIBOR rates during the fourth quarter of 2008.
- ^u Our most significant investments in Sequoia are IOs, which have no credit risk and significant prepayment risk. These IOs earn the "spread" between the coupon rate on \$2.3 billion notional amount of underlying adjustable rate mortgage loans (indexed to one- and six-month LIBOR) and the cost of funds (indexed to one-month LIBOR) on the ABS issued within each respective securitization entity. Returns on these investments increase when prepayments slow and decrease when prepayments speed up. The table below shows the declining prepayment speeds for the residential loans at Sequoia over the past several quarters. Our tax basis in these IOs is \$39 million.



46 THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

INVESTMENTS IN ACACIA



Summary

What is this?

Our investments in Acacia include equity interests and other securities in the Acacia CDO entities we sponsor. We also receive asset management fees that are paid to us as first or senior priority from the cash flows of the Acacia assets.

Quarterly Update

- ^u During the fourth quarter, we received cash distributions of \$1 million from our equity interests and \$1 million from our ABS investments. We also received \$1 million of management fees.
- ^u The consolidated Acacia net loss was \$3 million in the fourth quarter of 2008, compared to a net loss of \$21 million in the third quarter, primarily due to lower MTM adjustments in the fourth quarter. Earnings from Acacia will continue to decline in future periods and MTM adjustments may continue to be volatile.
- u Our reported GAAP equity value for Acacia was \$16 million while our estimate of economic value is \$9 million.

Economic Value of our Investments in Acacia

- ^u Our investment in Acacia represent less than 4% of our capital at December 31, 2008.
- ^u The table below shows the components of management's estimate of economic value for our investment in Acacia as of the end of the fourth quarters. Management's estimate of economic value is reconciled to GAAP fair value on Table 6 of the Financial Tables.

	Investment in Acacia Management's Estimate of Economic Value (\$ in millions)	December 31	
		2008	,
Management fees	\$		5
ABS			4
Preference shares			-
Total	\$		9

- u Our economic value includes the net present value of anticipated management fees (discounted at 45%) of \$5 million.
- u We valued our Acacia ABS investments at 4% of face value, which is our estimate of bid-side value.
- ^u As noted in prior Reviews, collateral rating downgrades by credit rating agencies have now shut off the cash flows on all but one equity investment in Acacia. Due to the volatility surrounding rating downgrades, we are projecting no future cash distributions on any of our equity investments in Acacia entities and we valued our equity investments at zero.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



Appendix





ACCOUNTING DISCUSSION

Accounting Discussion

- ^u The rules regarding MTM accounting are complex and may not be consistent across portfolios or clearly reflect the underlying economics. This accounting discussion is intended to provide investors with a better understanding of the impact of MTM adjustments on our reported results.
- u MTM adjustments can result from changes in fair values caused either by a change in expected cash flows (i.e., increased credit loss estimates that reduce expected cash flows), a change in market discount rates (i.e., the market requires a greater risk premium and/or interest rates rise), or a combination of both.
- ^u At Redwood, where we hold most of our securities as available-for-sale (AFS) for accounting purposes, other-than-temporary MTM changes flow through our income statement while MTM changes that are temporary are charged to equity.
- ^u All changes in fair value for securities, derivatives, or liabilities accounted for as trading instruments or under the fair value option of FAS 159 flow through the income statement. These adjustments can have a positive or negative impact on income in any period.
- ^u CES and most IGS held at Redwood and the real estate securities held by the Fund are accounted for as AFS securities. We carry AFS securities on our GAAP balance sheet at their fair value. Positive changes in the fair value of AFS securities from period to period are always accounted for as increases to stockholders' equity and do not flow through our income statement. Accounting for negative changes in the fair value of AFS securities from period to period requires a three-step process involving a combination of quantitative and judgmental evaluations. The ultimate purpose of this process is to determine whether negative MTM adjustments represent "other-than-temporary" (permanent) impairments, which flow through our GAAP income statement, or represent "temporary" impairments, which are recorded as a reduction of stockholders' equity and do not flow through our income statement.
- ^u For the Sequoia entities, we generally consolidate the assets and liabilities, which we report at amortized cost, except for REO, which are reported at the lower of cost or fair value.
- ^u For accounting purposes, we consolidate the balance sheets and income statements of the Acacia securitization entities. On January 1, 2008, we adopted a new accounting standard, FAS 159, and elected to fair value both the assets and liabilities of the Acacia entities. In accordance with FAS 159, we recorded a one-time, cumulative-effect adjustment to our January 1, 2008 opening balance sheet that decreased the carrying value of Acacia liabilities by \$1.5 billion and increased equity. This new standard significantly reduces the disparity that existed between GAAP carrying value and our previous estimates of economic value.



Accounting Discussion (continued)

u The diagram below and the narrative discussion that follows address the three-step process for evaluating impairments on AFS securities.



- ^u The first step is to determine whether there has been an adverse change in the underlying cash flows generated by the security. A security is considered permanently impaired even if the change in projected cash flows is small relative to the resulting MTM adjustment. It is difficult to separate with precision how much of the change in fair value is driven by changes in expected cash flows versus changes in market discount rates, but during periods of market illiquidity and uncertainty (as we have encountered since mid-2007), the market discount rate impact can be significant.
- u The second step is to determine whether we have the ability and intention to hold the security.
- ^u The third step requires us to evaluate whether an impaired security will recover in value within a reasonable period of time. This step is very subjective, particularly when there is turmoil and uncertainty in the capital markets.
- a AFS securities deemed permanently impaired for accounting purposes cannot be written back up through MTM adjustments in our income statement. This does not mean the underlying security could not recover in value. If the value of an impaired security does recover, we would recognize this benefit through higher interest yields over time. Therefore, some of the securities classified as permanently impaired during recent quarters may eventually prove to have significant value to us.



ACACIA

Acacia is the brand name for the collateralized debt obligation (CDO) securitizations Redwood sponsors (that is, a re-securitization of real estate and other securities). The underlying securities are generally backed by residential prime, Alt-A, and subprime real estate loans, and commercial real estate loans. Acacia also owns related assets such as CDO securities issued by other real estate oriented CDOs, corporate debt issued by equity REITs, commercial real estate loans, and synthetic assets derived from real estate assets. Redwood typically acquires a portion of the CDO credit-enhancement (or "equity") securities issued by Acacia; these are the securities that are in the first-loss (highest risk) position within the assets owned by the Acacia entities. Redwood may also retain or acquire Acacia ABS issued. Redwood's credit risk is limited to its investments in Acacia and each Acacia entities independent of the others. Redwood also earns asset management fees for ongoing management of the Acacia entities. For GAAP accounting purposes, we consolidate the assets and liabilities of the Acacia entities and their associated income and expense on Redwood's consolidating balance sheet and income statements.

ADJUSTABLE-RATE MORTGAGES (ARMs)

Adjustable-rate mortgages are loans that have coupons that adjust at least once per year. We make a distinction between ARMs (loans with a rate adjustment at least annually) and hybrids (loans that have a fixed-rate period of two-to-10 years and then become adjustable-rate).

AGENCY

Agency refers to government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs), include the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and the Federal Home Loan and Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac).

ALT-A SECURITIES

Alt-A securities are residential mortgage-backed securities backed by loans that have higher credit quality than subprime and lower credit quality than prime. Alt-A originally represented loans with alternative documentation, but the definition has shifted over time to include loans with additional risk characteristics and a higher percentage of investor loans. In an Alt-A loan, the borrower's income may not be verified, and in some cases, may not be disclosed on the loan application. Alt-A loans may also have expanded criteria that allow for higher debt-to-income ratios with higher accompanying loan-to-value ratios than would otherwise be permissible for prime loans.

AMORTIZED COST

Amortized cost is the initial acquisition cost of an AFS security, minus principal repayments or principal reductions through credit losses, plus or minus premium or discount amortization. At the point in time an AFS security is deemed other-than-temporarily impaired, the amortized cost is adjusted (by changing the amount of unamortized premium or discount) to equal to the fair value of the security, and this adjustment is recognized as a loss through our income statement.



ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES (ABS)

Asset-backed securities (ABS) are securities backed by financial assets that generate cash flows. Each ABS issued from a securitization entity has a unique priority with respect to receiving principal and interest cash flows and absorbing any credit losses from the assets owned by the entity.

BOOK VALUE (GAAP)

Book value is the value of our common equity. As measured for GAAP, through December 31, 2007, reported book value generally incorporated mark-to-market adjustments for securities and interest rate agreements, but not for loans or liabilities. Beginning January 1, 2008, book value as measured for GAAP includes mark-to-market adjustments on certain assets and liabilities.

CDO EQUITY SECURITIES

CDO equity securities (CDO CES) are credit-enhancement securities that bear the initial credit losses of the assets owned by CDO securitization entities. See "Credit-Enhancement Securities."

COLLATERALIZED DEBT OBLIGATION (CDO) SECURITIZATIONS

The securitization of a diverse pool of assets.

COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES (CMBS)

A type of mortgage-backed security that is secured by one or more loans on a commercial property.

CONSTANT (OR CONDITIONAL) PREPAYMENT RATE (CPR)

Constant (or conditional) prepayment rate (CPR) is an industry-standard measure of the speed at which mortgage loans prepay. It approximates the annual percentage rate at which a pool of loans is paying down due to principal prepayments.

CREDIT-ENHANCEMENT SECURITIES (CES)

Credit-enhancement securities (CES) absorb the initial credit losses generated by a pool of securitized assets. As a result, the more senior securities issued from that securitization are credit-enhanced because they carry less credit risk. Our definition of CES includes all the below investment-grade rated bonds issued from a securitization. These securities are also referred to as subordinated securities or B-pieces. For a typical securitization of prime residential loans, there are three CES: the first-loss, second-loss, and third-loss bonds. The first-loss security takes the initial risk of credit loss. If credit losses within the securitized asset pool exceed the principal value of the first-loss security, the second-loss security is at risk. If cumulative losses exceed the principal value of the first- and second-loss security has a credit rating of B, and the first-loss security is unrated. Other types of securitizations, such as commercial, CDO, subprime residential, and some Alt-A residential transactions, may be structured differently. Nevertheless, the non-investment grade rated securities issued from these transactions.



CREDIT SUPPORT

The face amount of securities subordinate (or junior) to the applicable security, that protects the security from credit losses, expressed as a percentage of the pool balance.

DEBT

Debt is an obligation of Redwood. See Long-term debt and Short-term debt.

ECONOMIC VALUE (MANAGEMENT'S ESTIMATE OF ECONOMIC VALUE)

Economic value closely relates to liquidation value and is calculated using the bid-side marks for all of our financial assets, and offered-side marks for all of our financial liabilities. We calculate management's estimate of economic value per share as a supplemental measure to book value per share calculated under GAAP. Our economic value estimates are reconciled to GAAP book values in Table 6 of the Financial Tables.

THE FUND

The Fund refers to the Redwood Opportunity Fund, L.P., which is managed by Redwood Asset Management, and invested in sub-prime and CDO mortgage securities.

GAAP

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States.

INTEREST-ONLY SECURITIES (IOs)

Interest-only securities (IOs) are specialized securities created by securitization entities where the projected cash flows generated by the underlying assets exceed the cash flows projected to be paid to the ABS issued that have principal balances. They receive interest payments calculated by a formula wherein cash flows on IOs vary as a function of interest payments generated by the underlying assets within a securitization or as a function of the spread between the yield on the loans owned by a securitization entity and the cost of funds of the securities issued by that entity. Typically, IOs do not have a principal balance and they will not receive principal payments. Interest payments to IOs usually equal an interest rate formula multiplied by a "notional" principal balance. The notional principal balances for IOs are typically reduced over time as the actual principal balances of the underlying pools of assets pay down, thus reducing the cash flows to the IOs over time. Cash flows on IOs are typically reduced more quickly when asset prepayments accelerate.

INVESTMENT GRADE SECURITIES (IGS)

Investment grade securities (IGS) are securities that are rated AAA, AA, A, or BBB.

GLOSSARY



LEVERAGE RATIOS

When determining Redwood's financial leverage, traditional leverage ratios may be misleading in some respects if consolidated ABS issued from securitization entities are included as part of Redwood's obligations when calculating this or similar ratios. Because of the requirement to consolidate the independent securitization entities for GAAP accounting purposes, it appears that Redwood is highly leveraged, with total consolidated liabilities significantly greater than equity. The obligations of these securitization entities are not obligations of Redwood. We may use collateralized debt to finance the accumulation of assets prior to sale to a securitization entity and to finance investments in high-quality loans and IGS.

LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt is debt that is an obligation of Redwood that is not payable within a year and includes junior subordinated notes and trust preferred securities. We generally treat long-term debt as part of our capital base when it is not payable in the near future. We may issue other forms of long-term debt in the future.

MARK-TO-MARKET ACCOUNTING

Mark-to-market accounting uses estimated fair values of assets, liabilities, and hedges. Many of our assets are carried on our balance sheet at their fair value rather than historical amortized cost. Through December 31, 2007 changes in the fair value of some of our assets and hedges were reported through our income statement. Beginning January 1, 2008 we began to use mark-to-market accounting for income statement purposes for a wider variety of assets and liabilities. This likely makes quarter-to-quarter GAAP income trends more volatile. Taxable income is generally not affected by market valuation adjustments.

MARKET VALUE ADJUSTMENTS (MVA)

Market value adjustments (MVA) are those changes in market values reported through our GAAP income statement. They reflect all changes in market values on assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value and impairments on securities accounted for as AFS.

NEGATIVE AMORTIZATION ADJUSTABLE-RATE MORTGAGES (NEG AM ARMs, OPTION ARMs, OR MTA ARMs)

Negative amortization ARMs (neg am ARMs, option ARMs, pay option ARMs, or monthly treasury average (MTA) ARMs) are adjustable-rate mortgages that allow the borrower to choose between different payment options. These options allow the borrower to make minimum payments, or other payments that are less than the interest accrued on the mortgage during that period. As a result of this feature, the borrower's loan balance may increase, causing negative amortization of the loan balance.

NON-GAAP MEASURES

Not all companies and analysts calculate non-GAAP measures in the same fashion. As a result, certain measures as calculated by Redwood may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. Redwood uses non-GAAP measures such as management's estimate of economic value to provide greater transparency for investors. Our non-GAAP measures are reconciled to GAAP in the Financial Tables in the Review.



GLOSSARY

NON-PRIME SECURITIES

Non-prime securities are Alt-A, option ARM, and subprime securities. See definitions of Alt-A, option ARM, and subprime securities.

PRIME RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE LOANS

Prime loans are residential loans with high quality credit characteristics, such as borrowers with high FICO credit scores, lower loan-to-value ratios, lower debt-to-income ratios, greater levels of other assets, and more documentation.

PRIME SECURITIES

Prime securities are residential mortgage-backed securities backed by high credit-quality loans, generally with balances greater than conforming loan limits. Prime securities are typically backed by loans that have relatively high weighted average FICO scores (700 or higher), low weighted average LTVs (75% or less), limited concentrations of investor properties, and a low percentages of loans with low FICO scores or high loan-to-value ratios.

PROFITABILITY RATIOS

Many financial institution analysts use asset-based profitability ratios such as interest rate spread and interest rate margin when analyzing financial institutions. These are asset-based measures. Because we consolidate the assets and liabilities of securitization entities for GAAP purposes, our total GAAP assets and liabilities may vary over time, and may not be comparable in economic reality to assets typically used in profitability calculations for other financial institutions. As a result, we believe equity-based profitability ratios may be more appropriate than asset-based measures for analyzing Redwood's operations. We believe, for example, that net interest income as a percentage of equity is a useful measure of profitability. For operating expenses, we believe useful measures are operating efficiency ratio (operating expenses as a percentage of net interest income) and operating expenses as a percentage of equity.

REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST (REIT)

A real estate investment trust (REIT) is an entity that makes a tax election to be taxed as a REIT, invests in real estate assets, and meets other REIT qualifications, including the distribution as dividends of at least 90% of REIT taxable income. A REIT's profits are not taxed at the corporate level to the extent that these profits are distributed as dividends to stockholders, providing an operating cost savings. On the other hand, the requirement to pay out as dividends most of the REIT profits means it can be harder for a REIT to grow using only internally-generated funds (as opposed to issuing new stock).

REAL ESTATE OWNED (REO)

Real estate owned (REO) refers to real property owned by the lender or loan owner that has been acquired through foreclosure.



REIT RETAINED TAXABLE INCOME

REIT retained taxable income is not a measure calculated in accordance with GAAP. REIT retained taxable income is the taxable income earned at the REIT after dividend distributions to our shareholders, less corporate income taxes paid at the REIT level. A reconciliation of REIT retained taxable income to GAAP income appears in Table 3 in the Financial Tables.

REIT SUBSIDIARY

A REIT subsidiary is a subsidiary of a REIT that is taxed as a REIT.

REIT TAXABLE INCOME

REIT taxable income is not a measure calculated in accordance with GAAP. REIT taxable income is pre-tax income calculated for tax purposes at Redwood including only its REIT subsidiaries (i.e., excluding its taxable subsidiaries). REIT taxable income is an important measure as it is the basis of our dividend distribution requirements. We must distribute at least 90% of REIT taxable income as dividends to shareholders over time. As a REIT, we are not subject to corporate income taxes on the REIT taxable income we distribute. We pay income tax on the REIT taxable income we retain (up to 10% of total REIT taxable income). A reconciliation of REIT taxable income to GAAP income appears in Table 3 in the Financial Tables.

RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES (RMBS)

A type of mortgage-backed security that is secured by a pool of residential properties.

RETAINED TAXABLE INCOME

Retained taxable income is not a measure calculated in accordance with GAAP. Retained taxable income is the taxable income earned at the REIT after dividend distributions to shareholders and taxes. It also includes all of the taxable income earned at our taxable subsidiaries, less corporate income taxes paid, as we generally retain the after-tax income at our taxable subsidiaries. A reconciliation of retained taxable income to GAAP income appears in Table 3 in the Financial Tables.

RETURN ON EQUITY (ROE) AND ADJUSTED RETURN ON EQUITY

ROE is the amount of profit we generate each year per dollar of equity capital and equals GAAP income divided by GAAP equity. Adjusted ROE is GAAP income divided by core equity. Core equity excludes balance sheet mark-to-market adjustments. Thus, only those market value changes that are included in our income statement will affect adjusted ROE.



SEQUOIA

Sequoia is the brand name for securitizations of residential real estate loans Redwood sponsors. Most of the loans the Sequoia entities acquire are prime-quality loans. Most of the investment grade ABS issued by Sequoia are sold to third-party investors. Redwood usually acquires most of the CES and IOs issued by these securitization entities. Acacia has also acquired some CES, IOs, and IGS from Sequoia. Redwood's credit risk is limited to its investments in Sequoia and each Sequoia entity is independent of the others. For GAAP accounting purposes, we generally consolidate the assets and liabilities of the Sequoia entities and their associated income and expense on Redwood's consolidating balance sheet and income statements.

SENIOR SECURITIES

Senior securities have the least credit risk in a securitization transaction because they are the last securities to absorb credit losses. In addition, the senior securities have the highest claim on the principal and interest payments (after the fees to servicers and trustees are paid.) To further reduce credit risk, most if not all principal collected from the underlying asset pool is used to paydown the senior securities until certain performance tests are satisfied. After certain performance tests are satisfied, principal payments are shared between the senior securities and the subordinated securities, generally on a pro rata basis. At issuance, senior securities are generally AAA-rated.

SHORT-TERM DEBT

Short-term debt is debt that is an obligation of Redwood and payable within a year. We obtain this debt from a variety of Wall Street firms, banks, and other institutions. As another form of short-term debt, we have issued collateralized commercial paper in the past and may issue other forms of short-term debt in the future.

SUBPRIME SECURITIES

Subprime securities are residential mortgage-backed securities backed by loans to borrowers who typically have lower credit scores and/or other credit deficiencies that prevent them from qualifying for prime or Alt-A mortgages and may have experienced credit problems in the past, such as late payments or bankruptcies. To compensate for the greater risks and higher costs to service the loans, subprime borrowers pay higher interest rates, points, and origination fees.

Typical characteristics of subprime loan pools include more than 60% of loans with FICO scores below 680, weighted average LTVs over 85%, more than 70% of loans with LTVs over 75%, and loans with LTVs over 80% with no mortgage insurance.


GLOSSARY



SUBORDINATE SECURITIES (JUNIOR SECURITIES)

Subordinate securities absorb the initial credit losses from a securitization structure, thus protecting the senior securities. Subordinate securities have a lower priority to receive principal and interest payments than the senior securities. Subordinate securities receive little, if any, principal payments until certain performance tests are satisfied. After certain performance tests are satisfied, principal payments are shared between the senior securities and the subordinated securities, generally on a pro rata basis. Subordinate securities generally receive interest payments even if they do not receive principal payments. At issuance, subordinate securities are generally rated AA or below.

TAXABLE INCOME

Taxable income is not a measure calculated in accordance with GAAP. Taxable income is pre-tax income for Redwood and all its subsidiaries as calculated for tax purposes. Taxable income calculations differ significantly from GAAP income calculations. A reconciliation of taxable income to GAAP income appears in Table 3 in the Financial Tables.

TAXABLE SUBSIDIARY

A taxable subsidiary is a subsidiary of a REIT that is not taxed as a REIT and thus pays taxes on its income. A taxable subsidiary is not limited to investing in real estate and it can choose to retain all of its after-tax profits.

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008 59

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



Financial Tables 4th Quarter 2008

R Redwood Trust

	2008 Q4	2008 Q3	2008 Q2	2008 Q1	2007 Q4	2007 Q3	2007 Q2	2007 Q1	2006 Q4	Full Year 2008	Full Year 2007
Interest income (Premium) discount amortization on securities,	\$124,452	\$126,227	\$140,445	\$171,976	\$193,728	\$207,023	\$208,708	\$210,372	\$213,504	\$563,101	\$819,831
on securities, net Other investment interest	(1,189) 572	7,850 487	6,258 514	10,864 732	18,869 984	20,514 1,143	23,849 464	20,268	18,665	23,783 2,305	83,500 2,591
income Premium amortization expense on	(547)	(3,372)	(10,215)	(7,509)	(6,656)	(8,349)	(10,863)	(11,705)	(13,272)	(21,643)	(37,573)
loans											
Total interest income	123,288	131,192	137,002	176,063	206,925	220,331	222,158	218,935	218,897	567,545	868,349
Management fee income	1,152	1,307	1,319	1,612	1,866	1,893	1,481	1,168		5,390	6,408
Interest on short-term debt	(3)	(65)	(68)	(182)	(377)	(5,858)	(22,700)	(31,094)		(318)	(60,029)
ABS interest expense	(95,212)	(89,205)	(95,046)	(124,888)	(149,665)	(157,554)	(141,993)	(132,561)		(404,351)	(581,773
ABS issuance expense amortization ABS interest rate agreement (expense)	(1,470)	(930)	(1,921)	(2,093)	(4,644)	(4,616)	(5,681)	(7,068)	(7,897)	(6,414)	(22,009
income ABS issuance premium	(1,934) 476	(1,259) 557	(1,246) 1,955	(1,245) 2,183	1,265 1,930	1,959 2,096	3,358 2,294	1,646 1,869		(5,684) 5,171	8,228 8,189
amortization income											
Total ABS expense consolidated from trusts	(98,140)	(90,837)	(96,258)	(126,043)	(151,114)	(158,115)	(142,022)	(136,114)	(156,907)	(411,278)	(587,365)
Interest expense on long-term debt	(2,344)	(2,164)	(2,233)	(2,534)	(3,055)	(3,150)	(2,516)	(2,056)	(423)	(9,275)	(10,777)
Net interest	23,952	39,433	39,762	48,916	54,245	55,101	56,401	50,840	46,040	152,064	216,587
income Provision for	(18,659)	(18,333)	(10,061)	(8,058)	(4,972)	(1,507)	(2,500)	(3,829)	(1,506)	(55,111)	(12,808)
credit reserve Market valuation adjustments, net	(111,331)	(127,157)	(60,619)	(193,780)	(1,118,989)	(102,766)	(29,430)	(10,264)	(1,404)	(492,887)	(1,261,449)
Net interest (loss) income after provision and market valuation adjustments	(106,038)	(106,057)	(30,918)	(152,922)	(1,069,716)	(49,172)	24,471	36,747	43,130	(395,935)	(1,057,670)
Fixed	(3,575)	(4,331)	(4,648)	(5,674)	(4,316)	(4,560)	(4,286)	(4,616)		(18,228)	(17,778)
compensation expense Variable	418	(616)	(330)	(1,857)	(4,010)	1,096	(198)	(2,251)	(1,666)	(2,385)	(1,787)
compensation expense Equity	(2,377)	(3,080)	(3,502)	(3,306)	(2,767)	(2,593)	(3,540)	(3,349)	(3,233)	(12,265)	(12,249)
compensation expense Severance expense	(1,814)	-	-	-	(1,340)	-	-	(2,380)		(1,814)	(3,720)
Other operating	(6,311)	(9,191)	(6,034)	(5,806)	(7,337)	(5,455)	(4,670)	(4,479)	(4,732)	(27,342)	(21,941)
expense Due diligence	(13)	(29)	(8)	(10)	(75)	(220)	(78)	(707)	(532)	(60)	(1,080)
expenses Total operating expenses	(13,672)	(17,247)	(14,522)	(16,653)	(16,269)	(11,732)	(12,772)	(17,782)	(13,851)	(62,094)	(58,555)
Realized gains (losses) on sales, net	5,671	(15)	2,909	(3)	7,199	(1,460)	1,428	303	5,308	8,562	7,470
Realized (losses) gains on calls, net	-	(39)	(72)	45	(126)	3,284	1,310	843	1,511	(66)	5,311
Realized gains (losses), net	5,671	(54)	2,837	42	7,073	1,824	2,738	1,146	6,819	8,496	12,781
Minority interest allocation	2,366	2,194	(2,369)	(254)	-	-	-	-	-	1,937	-
(Provision) credit for income taxes	(3,914)	9,860	(937)	(1,800)	1,467	(1,837)	(3,021)	(1,801)	(407)	3,209	(5,192)
Net (loss) income	(\$115,586)	(\$111,304)	(\$45,909)	(\$171,587)	(\$1,077,445)	(\$60,917)	\$11,416	\$18,310	\$35,691	(\$444,386)	(\$1,108,636)
Diluted average shares	33,366	33,334	32,871	32,511	29,531	27,892	28,165	27,684		33,023	27,928
Net (loss) income per share	(\$3.46)	(\$3.34)	(\$1.40)	(\$5.28)	(\$36.49)	(\$2.18)	\$0.41	\$0.66	\$1.32	(\$13.46)	(\$39.70)

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 2: Taxable Income and GAAP (Loss) Income Differences (\$ in thousands, except per share data)

			Estimated		Actual				Actual	Estimated	Actual
	2008 Q4	2008 Q3	2008 Q2	2008 Q1	2007 Q4	2007 Q3	2007 Q2	2007 Q1	2006 Q4	Full Year 2008	Full Year 2007
GAAP net (loss) income <u>Difference in taxable income</u> calculations	\$(115,586)	\$(111,304)	\$(45,909)	\$(171,587)	\$(1,077,445)	\$(60,917)	\$11,416	\$18,309	\$35,691	\$(444,386)	\$(1,108,637)
Amortization and credit losses Operating expenses Gross realized (gains) losses,	6,877 (1,274)	4,313 2,713	(7,377) 706	6,094 1,491	(15,080) 10,048	10,426 (2,080)	10,298 (2,921)	10,417 (1,713)	13,740 (12,079)	9,907 3,636	16,061 3,334
net on calls and sales Market valuation adjustments,	(13,571)	(10,755)	(5,834)	(5,266)	(4,819)	(3,073)	(3,589)	954	(5,499)	(35,426)	(10,527)
net Provision (benefit) for income	111,179	127,157	60,619	193,932	1,118,989	102,766	29,430	10,264	6,571	492,887	1,261,449
taxes	3.897	(9.825)	1.447	1.158	(2,214)	1.523	1.662	1.800	405	(3,323)	2,771
Total differences in GAAP and taxable income	107,108	113,603	49,561	197,409	1,106,924	109,562	34,880	21,722	3,138	467,681	1,273,088
Taxable (loss) income	\$(8,478)	\$2,299	\$3,652	\$25.822	\$29,479	\$48,645	\$46,296	\$40.031	\$38.829	\$23,295	\$164,451
REIT taxable (loss) income Taxable income (loss) in taxable subsidiaries Taxable (loss) income	\$(8,793) <u>315</u> \$(8,478)	\$2,400 (101) \$2,299	\$4,414 (762) \$3,652	\$24,734 1,088 \$25,822	\$32,125 (2,646) \$29,479	\$48,591 <u>54</u> \$48,645	\$45,233 1,063 \$46,296	\$35,112 4,919 \$40,031	\$41,555 (2,727) \$38,828	\$22,755 <u>540</u> \$23,295	\$161,061 <u>3,390</u> \$164,451
After-tax Retained REIT taxable income Retained taxable income (loss) in taxable subsidiaries	\$- 210	\$ - (43)	\$- (444)	\$- 633	(1,325)	\$- 34	\$- 663	\$- 3,068	\$2,010 (1,175)	\$- 356	\$-2,440
Retained taxable income (loss)	\$210	\$(43)	\$(444)	\$633	\$(1,325)	\$34	\$663	\$3,068	\$835	\$356	\$2,440
Shares used for taxable EPS calculation REIT taxable (loss) income per	33,471	33,238	33,184	32,710	32,385	27,986	27,816	27,129	26,733	32,577	28,354
share (1) Taxable income (loss) in taxable	\$(0.26)	\$0.07	\$0.13	\$0.76	\$0.99	\$1.74	\$1.63	\$1.29	\$1.55	\$0.70	\$5.65
subsidiaries per share Taxable (loss) income per share (1)	\$0.01 \$(0.25)	\$(0.00) \$0.07	\$(0.02) \$0.11	\$0.03 \$0.79	\$(0.08) \$0.91	\$0.00 \$1.74	\$0.03 \$1.66	\$0.19 \$1.48	\$(0.10) \$1.45	\$0.02 \$0.72 \$-	\$0.14 \$5.79 \$-
Retained taxable income (loss) (after-tax)	\$0.01	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$0.02	\$(0.04)	\$0.00	\$0.02	\$0.11	\$0.03	\$0.01	\$0.10

(1) REIT taxable (loss) income per share and taxable (loss) income per share per quarter are based on the number of shares outstanding at the end of each quarter. REIT taxable (loss) income and taxable (loss) income per share for the year are the sum of the four corresponding quarterly per share amounts.

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 2: Taxable Income and GAAP (Loss) Income Differences

Table 3: Retention and Distribution of Taxable Income(\$ in thousands, except per share data)

			Estima	ated	Actual				Actual	Estimated	Actual
	2008 Q4	2008 Q3	2008 Q2	2008 Q1	2007 Q4	2007 Q3	2007 Q2	2007 Q1	2006 Q4	Full Year 2008	Full Year 2007
Dividends declared	\$25,103	\$24,928	\$24,887	\$24,532	\$80,496	\$20,989	\$20,862	\$20,347	\$97,665	\$99,450	\$142,694
Dividend deductions on stock issued	45	165	288	192	2,605	81	933	660	812	690	4,279
through DSPP Total dividend deductions (1)	\$25,148	\$25,093	\$25,175	\$24,724	\$83,101	\$21,070	\$21,795	\$21,007	\$98,477	\$100,140	\$146,973
Regular dividend per share	\$0.75	\$0.75	\$0.75	\$0.75	\$0.75	\$0.75	\$0.75	\$0.75	\$0.70	\$3.00	\$3.00
snare Special dividend per share	-	-	-	-	2.00	-	-	-	3.00	-	2.00
Total dividends per share (2)	\$0.75	\$0.75	\$0.75	\$0.75	\$2.75	\$0.75	\$0.75	\$0.75	\$3.70	\$3.00	\$5.00
Undistributed REIT taxable income at beginning of period (pre-											
tax): REIT taxable income (loss)	\$21,128 (8,793)	\$43,821 2,400	\$64,582 4,414	\$64,572 24,734	\$115,548 32,125	\$88,027 48,591	\$64,589 45,233	\$50,484 35,112	\$111,411 41,555		\$50,484 161,061
(pre-tax) Retained (pre- tax)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(4,005)	-	-
Dividend of 2006 income	-	-	-	-	-	(7,682)	(21,795)	(21,007)	(98,477)	-	(50,484)
Dividend of 2007 income Dividend of	(12,335)	(14,673) (10,420)	(25,175)	(24,724)	(83,101)	(13,388)	-	-	-	(64,572) (22,755)	(96,489)
2008 income Undistributed	(12,333)	(10,420)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	(22,755)	
REIT taxable income at period end (pre-tax):	\$-	\$21,128	\$43,821	\$64,582	\$64,572	\$115,548	\$88,027	\$64,589	\$50,484	\$-	\$64,572
Undistributed REIT taxable income (pre- tax) at period											
end From 2006 From 2007	\$- -	\$-	\$- 14,673	\$- 39,848	\$- 64,572	\$- 115,548	\$7,682 80,345	\$29,477 35,112	\$50,484	\$- -	\$- 64,572
From 2008 Total	- \$-	20,872 \$20,872	29,148 \$43,821	24,734 \$64,582	- \$64,572	- \$115,548	- \$88,027	- \$64,589	\$ 50,484	- \$-	- \$64,572
Shares outstanding at period end	33,471	33,238	33,184	32,710	32,385	27,986	27,816	27,129	26,733		32,385
Undistributed REIT taxable income (pre-tax) per share outstanding at period end	\$-	\$0.63	\$1.32	\$1.97	\$1.99	\$4.13	\$3.16	\$2.38	\$1.89	\$-	\$1.99

(1) Dividends in 2008 exceeded the year's taxable income plus undistributed income carried over from prior years. Thus, the 2008 dividends included \$8.4 million return of capital.

(2) Total dividends in 2008 were \$3.00 per share, of which \$2.75 per share was characterized as ordinary income and \$0.25 per share was characterized as a return of capital. The portion of Redwood's dividends characterized as a return of capital is not taxable and reduces a shareholder's basis for shares held at each quarterly distribution date. Total dividends in 2007 were \$5.00 per share, which were characterized entirely as ordinary income.

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 3: Retention and Distribution of Taxable Income

	2008 Q4	2008 Q3	2008 Q2	2008 Q1	January 1, 2008	2007 Q4	2007 Q3	2007 Q2	2007 Q1
Assets at Redwood									
Residential CES									
Prime	\$22	\$41	\$79	\$78	\$128	\$128	\$132	\$189	\$18
Non-prime	8	6	9	10	23	23	45	70	75
Total Residential CES at Redwood	30	47	88	88	151	151	177	259	256
Residential IGS									
Prime	73	66	102	20	1	1	2	119	39
Non-prime	42	47	58	6	11	11	59	85	67
Total Residential IGS at Redwood	115	113	160	26	12	12	61	204	106
Commercial CES	42	64	91	100	148	148	159	186	198
Real estate loans	3	3	4	5	4	4	6	878	1,256
CDO	4	4	14	15	21	21	9	24	24
Other real estate investments	-	-	-	3	12	12	24	32	47
Total securities and loans at Redwood	194	231	357	237	348	348	436	1,583	1,887
Cash and cash equivalents	126	177	148	257	290	290	310	83	92
Other assets (1)	37	25	27	35	67	67	118	109	120
Other liabilities (2)	(46)	(29)	(37)	(34)	(41)	(41)	(89)	(88)	(65
Short-term debt	-	(7)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(8)	(39)	(849)	(1,880
Commercial paper	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5)	(5)	(5
Total short-term debt	-	(7)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(8)	(44)	(854)	(1,885
Investments in Sequoia									
Total assets	4,688	6,137	6,414	6,800	7,205	7,205	7,624	7,473	7,424
Total liabilities	(4,591)	(6,026)	(6,274)	(6,654)	(7,059)	(7,059)	(7,376)	(7,238)	(7,203
Net investments in Sequoia	97	111	140	146	146	146	248	235	22
Investments in Acacia									
Total assets	558	813	1,091	1,269	2,107	2,107	2,795	3,433	3,424
Total liabilities	(542)	(794)	(1,050)	(1,201)	(2,023)	(3,492)	(3,475)	(3,475)	(2,770
Net investments in Acacia	16	19	41	68	84	(1,385)	(680)	(42)	654
Investments in the Fund		_							
Total assets	53	73	94	36	15	15	-	-	
Total liabilities and minority interest	(25)	(38)	(47)	(8)	-	-	-	-	
Net investments in the Fund	28	35	47	28	15	15	-	-	
Long-term debt	(150)	(150)	(150)	(150)	(150)	(150)	(150)	(150)	(100
Total GAAP equity	\$302	\$412	\$564	\$585	\$751	\$(718)	\$149	\$876	\$924
GAAP Book value per share	\$9.02	\$12.40	\$17.00	\$17.89	\$23.18	\$(22.18)	\$5.32	\$31.50	\$34.00

(1) Other assets includes deferred ABS issuance costs, derivative assets, accrued interest recievable, deferred tax assets, restricted cash, and other assets.

(2) Other liabilities include derivative liabilities, accrued interest payable, dividends payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities.

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 4: Components of Book Value

	2008	2008	2008	2008
	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
Investments in Sequoia		*	.	.
Beginning asset balance	\$6,136		\$6,800	\$7,205
Beginning liability balance	(6,025)	(6,274)	(6,654)	\$(7,059)
Beginning book value	\$111	\$140	\$146	\$146
Principal payments on assets	\$(153)	\$(243)	\$(365)	\$(400)
Asset transfers to REO	(12)	(6)	(13)	(7)
Loan premium amortization	(2)	(3)	(10)	(8)
Provision for credit losses	(19)	(18)	(10)	(8)
Sale of interests and resulting deconsolidation	(1,253)	-	-	-
Change in other assets	(9)	(8)	12	18
Net change in Sequoia assets	\$(1,448)	\$(278)	\$(386)	\$(405)
Principal payments on liabilities	\$155	\$243	\$364	\$394
Discount amortization	¢100	¢210	400 l	¢001 8
Sale of interests and resulting deconsolidation	1,264	_	-	-
Change in other liabilities	13	4	10	3
Net change in Sequoia liabilities	\$1,434	\$249	\$380	\$405
Ending asset balance Ending liability balance	4,688 (4,591)	6,136 (6,025)	6,414 (6,274)	6,800 (6,654)
Ending book value	(4,001) \$97		(0,2/4) \$140	(0,004) \$146
		· · · · ·		
Investments in Acacia				
Beginning asset balance	\$813		\$1,269	\$2,107
Beginning liability balance	(794)	(1,050)	(1,201)	(3,492)
Beginning book value	\$19	\$41	\$68	\$(1,385)
Principal payments on assets	\$(29)	\$(35)	\$(40)	\$(55)
Market valuation changes	(207)	(221)	(67)	(782)
Change in other assets	(19)	(22)	(71)	(1)
Net change in Acacia assets	\$(255)	\$(278)	\$(178)	\$(838)
Principal payments on liabilities	44	58	110	37
Market valuation changes	282		110	810
FAS 159 adjustments	202	204	-	1,490
Change in other liabilities	(74)	(6)	- 40	(46)
Net change in Acacia liabilities	\$252	\$256	\$151	\$2,291
	ψ <u></u> LOL	φ200	φi0i	Ψ2,201
Ending asset balance	\$558		\$1,091	\$1,269
Ending liability balance Ending book value	(542) \$16	(794) \$19	(1,050) \$41	(1,201) \$68
	¥IO	ψ13	<u>i +</u> ¢	400
Investments in the Fund				
Beginning asset balance	\$73		\$36	\$15
Beginning liability balance	(38)	(47)	(8)	\$- ¢45
Beginning book value	\$35	\$47	\$28	\$15
Principal payments on assets	\$(4)	\$(4)	\$(6)	\$(1)
Acquisitions	-	13	40	20
Discount amortization	1	2	1	1
Sales	-	-	(5)	-
Market valuation changes	(17)	(10)	-	1
Change in other assets	-	(22)	28	-
Net change in the Fund assets	\$(20)	\$(21)	\$58	\$21
Change in other liabilities and minority interest	13	9	(39)	(8)
Ending asset balance	\$53	\$73	\$94	\$36
Ending liability and minority interest balance	(25)	(38)	(47)	(8)
Ending book value	\$28	\$35	\$47	\$28

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 5: Investment Activity in Sequoia, Acacia and the Fund

	2008 Q4	2008 Q3	2008 Q2	2008 Q1	January 1, 2008 (1)	2007 Q4	2007 Q3	2007 Q2	2007 Q1	2006 Q4
Short-term	\$-	\$7	\$9	\$2	\$8	\$8	\$39	\$849	\$1,880	\$1,856
debt Long-term debt	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	100	100
Redwood debt	\$150	\$157	\$159	\$152	\$158	\$158	\$189	\$999	\$1,980	\$1,956
GAAP stockholders' equity	\$302	\$412	\$564	\$585	\$751	\$(718)	\$149	\$876	\$924	\$1,003
Redwood debt to equity Redwood debt to (equity +	0.5x	0.4x	0.3x	0.3x	0.2x	(0.2)x	1.3x	1.1x	2.1x	2.0x
debt)	33%	28%	22%	21%	17%	-28%	56%	53%	68%	66%
Redwood debt ABS obligations of	\$150	\$157	\$159	\$152	\$158	\$158	\$189	\$999	\$1,980	\$1,956
consolidated	4.055	0.000		7 504	0.000	10.000	10.000	10.075	0.047	0.070
entities GAAP debt	4,855 \$5,005	6,603 \$6,760	7,110 \$7,269	7,591 \$7,743	8,839 \$8,997	10,329 \$10,487	10,803 \$10,992	10,675 \$11,674	9,947 \$11,927	9,979 \$11,935
GAAP debt to equity GAAP debt to	16.6x	16.4x	12.9x	13.2x	12.0x	(14.6)x	73.8x	13.3x	12.9x	11.9x
(equity + GAAP debt)	94%	94%	93%	93%	92%	107%	99%	93%	93%	92%
GAAP stockholders'	\$302	\$412	\$564	\$585	\$751	\$(718)	\$149	\$876	\$924	\$1,003
equity Balance sheet mark-to- market										
adjustments	(57)	(84)	(68)	(93)	(99)	(574)	(735)	(81)	(6)	93
Core equity Shares	\$359	\$496	\$632	\$678	\$850	\$(145)	\$884	\$957	\$930	\$910
outstanding at period										
end	33,471	33,238	33,184	32,710	32,385	32,385	27,986	27,816	27,129	26,733
GAAP equity per share <u>Adjustments to</u> <u>GAAP</u> <u>equity to</u> <u>economic</u>	\$9.02	\$12.40	\$17.00	\$17.89	\$23.18	\$(22.18)	\$5.32	\$31.50	\$34.06	\$37.51
<u>value</u> Investments in Seguoia	\$(0.95)	\$(1.65)	\$(1.96)	\$(1.65)	\$(1.45)	\$(1.45)	\$(5.50)	\$(4.10)	\$(4.79)	\$(7.96)
Investments in Acacia	(0.21)	(0.18)	(0.66)	(0.58)	(1.17)	44.19	26.26	5.71	2.95	1.87
Long-term debt	3.24	2.61	2.34	2.38	1.73	1.73	1.47	-	-	
Economic value per share	\$11.10	\$13.18	\$16.72	\$18.04	\$22.29	\$22.29	\$27.55	\$33.11	\$32.22	\$31.42

(1) On January 1, 2008 we elected the fair value option for the assets and liabilities of Acacia and certain other assets.

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 6 : Book Value and Other Ratios

										Full	Full
	2008 Q4	2008 Q3	2008 Q2	2008 Q1	2007 Q4	2007 Q3	2007 Q2	2007 Q1	2006 Q4	Year 2008	Year 2007
Interest income		\$131,192		\$176,063	\$206,925	\$220,331	\$222,158	\$218,935		\$ 567,545	
Average consolidated earning assets	\$7,026,139				\$11,521,330						\$12,258,453
Asset yield	7.02%	6.90%	6.76%	7.73%	7.18%	7.23%	7.22%	7.13%	7.01%	7.05%	7.08%
Interest expense	\$(100,487)	\$(93,066)	\$(98,559)	\$(128,759)	\$(154,546)	\$(167,123)	\$(167,238)	\$(169,264)	\$(173,850)	\$ (420,871)	\$ (658,171)
Average consolidated interest-bearing liabilities	\$6,613,677				\$10,716,433				\$11,836,717	\$7,660,908	\$11,527,275
Cost of funds	6.08%	5.24%	5.26%	6.14%	5.77%	5.88%	5.78%	5.82%	5.84%	5.49%	5.71%
Asset yield	7.02%	6.90%	6.76%	7.73%	7.18%	7.18%	7.14%	7.01%		7.05%	7.08%
Cost of funds	(6.08%)	(5.24%)	(5.26%)	(6.14%)	(5.77%)	(5.81)%	(5.73)%	(5.78)%	(5.84)%	(5.49%)	(5.71%)
Interest rate spread	0.94%	1.66%	1.50%	1.59%	1.42%	1.37%	1.41%	1.22%	1.12%	1.56%	1.37%
Net interest income	\$23,952	\$39,433	\$39,762	\$48,916	\$54,245	\$55,101	\$56,401	\$50,840		\$152,064	\$216,587
Average consolidated earning assets	\$7,026,139	\$7,610,338	\$8,112,607	\$9,111,337	\$11,521,330	\$12,193,242	\$12,301,562	\$12,279,814	\$12,498,889	\$8,044,951	\$12,258,453
Net interest margin	1.36%	2.07%	1.96%	2.15%	1.88%	1.81%	1.83%	1.66%	1.47%	1.89%	1.77%
Net interest income	\$23.952	\$39.433	\$39.762	\$48.916	\$54.245	\$55.101	\$56,401	\$50.840	\$46.040	\$152.064	\$216.587
Net interest income / average core equity	21.36%	28.21%	24.61%	23.75%	30.73%	23.11%	23.71%	21.98%	19.93%	22.55%	22.96%
Operating expenses (excluding severance expense)	\$(11,858)	\$(17,247)	\$(14,522)	\$(16,653)	\$(14,929)	\$(11,732)	\$(12,772)	\$(15,402)	\$(13,851)	\$(60,280)	\$(54,835)
Average total assets	\$7,057,467	\$7,671,214	\$8,173,483	\$9,232,308	\$10,866,153	\$12,232,304	\$12,688,468	\$12,865,979	\$13,041,794	\$8,025,780	\$12,594,827
Average total equity	\$371,503	\$492,659	\$562,173	\$720,035	\$97,534	\$851,869	\$946,454	\$1,008,688	\$1,008,863	\$556,354	\$723,807
Operating expenses / net interest income	49.51%	43.74%	36.52%	34.04%	27.52%	21.89%	23.70%	32.76%	31.10%	39.64%	25.32%
Operating expenses / average total assets	0.67%	0.90%	0.71%	0.72%	0.55%	0.38%	0.40%	0.48%		0.75%	0.44%
Operating expenses / average total equity	12.77%	14.00%	10.33%	9.25%	61.23%	5.51%	5.40%	6.11%	5.49%	10.83%	7.58%
GAAP net (loss) income	\$(115,586)	\$(111,304)	\$(45,909)	\$(171,587)	\$(1,077,445)	\$(60,917)	\$11,416	\$18,310		\$(444,386)	\$(1,108,636)
GAAP net (loss) income / average total assets	(6.55%)	(5.80%)	(2.25%)	(7.43%)	(39.66%)	(1.99)%	0.36%	0.57%	1.09%	(5.54%)	(8.80%)
GAAP net (loss) income / average equity (GAAP ROE)	(124.45%)	(90.37%)	(32.67%)	(95.32%)	(4418.75%)	(28.60)%	4.82%	7.26%	14.15%	(79.87%)	(153.17%)
GAAP net (loss) income / average core equity (adjusted ROE)	(103.09%)	(79.62%)	(28.42%)	(83.31%)	(610.31%)	(25.55)%	4.80%	7.92%	15.45%	(65.89%)	(117.52%)

Note: All percentages in this table are shown on an annualized basis.

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 7: Profitability Ratios

R

	2008 Q4	2008 Q3	2008 Q2	2008 Q1	2007 Q4	2007 Q3	2007 Q2	2007 Q1	Full Year 2008	Full Year 2007
Residential CES at Redwood										
Prime	\$42,974	\$85,314	\$111,860	\$164,621	\$159,699	\$133,552	\$141,226	\$124,513	\$100,990	\$139,747
Non-prime	3,674	3,710	10,502	26,349	38,788	80,689	74,449	72,918	11,719	66,711
Residential CES at Redwood	46,647	89,024	122,362	190,970	198,487	214,241	215,675	197,431	112,709	206,458
Residential IGS at Redwood										
Prime	90,554	102,720	111,239	18,536	1,537	44,298	88,210	22,982	80,762	39,257
Non-prime	59,029	63,535	31,999	10,253	35,877	85,436	71,494	32,026	41,204	56,208
Residential IGS at Redwood	149,583	166,255	143,238	28,789	37,414	129,734	159,704	55,008	121,966	95,465
Commercial CES	63,969	98,534	106,314	183,446	184,491	185,358	188,672	199,302	112,892	189,456
Commercial loans	249	250	251	250	91	2.602	2.603	2,603	250	1,975
Residential loans	2,960	3.671	3,759	4.507	74.722	127,983	901,168	1,708,160	3,722	703.008
CDO	3,856	8,628	15,492	21,297	30,501	20,424	25,854	33,576	12,468	27,589
Other real estate investments	50	75	2,328	5,836	17,679	28,152	47,567	23,736	2,061	29,283
Real estate assets at Redwood	267,313	366,437	393,744	435,095	543,384	708,494	1,541,243	2,219,817	366,068	1,253,235
Earning assets at Acacia	575,709	830,311	982,169	1,439,913	3,337,923	3,333,313	3,138,142	2,819,194	955,637	3,358,420
Earning assets at Seguoia	5,966,898	6,170,944	6,483,475	6,895,529	7,254,340	7,745,341	7,331,308	6,995,987	6,377,515	7,331,744
Earning assets at the Fund	71,792	75,321	56,183	33,180	-	-	-		59,198	1,001,144
Cash and cash equivalents	204.246	229.778	311.052	402,584	385,683	406.094	290.869	244,816	286.533	315,054
Earning assets	7.085.959	7.672.791	8.226.623	9,206,301	11.521.330	12,193,242	12.301.562	12.279.814	8,044,951	12.258.453
Balance sheet mark-to-market			., .,	., ,				, .,.		
adjustments	(76,981)	(66,491)	(84,038)	(103,808)	(608,634)	(101,733)	(4,923)	83,560	(82,767)	(8,522)
Earning assets - reported value	7,008,978	7,606,300	8,142,585	9,102,493	10,912,696	12,091,509	12,296,639	12,363,374	7,962,184	12,249,931
Other assets	31,328	41,802	60,876	120,971	(46,543)	140,795	391,830	502,605	63,596	344,896
Total assets	\$7,040,306	\$7,648,102	\$8,203,461	\$9,223,464	\$10,866,153	\$12,232,304	\$12,688,469	\$12,865,979	\$8,025,780	\$12,594,827
Short-term debt	\$975	\$7,825	\$4.904	\$21,477	\$26,871	\$399,068	\$1,515,988	\$2,188,561	\$8.771	\$1,361,136
Sequoia ABS issued	5,804,702	6,040,634	6,349,661	6,745,556	7.161.634	7,430,521	7,125,947	6,845,355	6.233.434	7.302.512
Acacia ABS issued	652,398	900,611	986,915	1,456,506	3,381,924	3,401,359	2,820,328	2,492,698	997,891	2,743,195
Other liabilities	32,533	(22,524)	72,870	126,790	52.187	3,401,339	161,819	233,664	52.843	343,745
Long-term debt	146,944	(22,324)	146,480	146,242	146,004	145,813	117,934	97,013	146,594	120,432
Total liabilities	6.637.552	7.073.251	7.560.830	8.496.572	10.768.620	11.380.435	11.742.015	11.857.291	7.439.533	11.659.982
l otal liabilities	6,637,552	7,073,251	7,560,830	8,496,572	10,768,620	11,380,435	11,742,015	11,857,291	7,439,533	11,659,982
Minority interest	31.251	41.096	40.229	6.858					29.893	
Minority interest	31,251	41,090	40,229	0,658	-	-	-	-	29,893	
Core equity	448,484	600,246	686,440	823,843	706,167	953,602	951,378	925,128	639,123	883,590
Balance sheet mark-to-market										
adjustments	(76,981)	(66,491)	(84,038)	(103,808)	(608,634)	(101,733)	(4,924)	83,560	(82,769)	(159,784
Total equity	371,503	533,755	602,402	720,035	97,533	851,869	946,454	1,008,688	556,354	723,807
Total liabilities and equity	\$7,040,306	\$7,648,102	\$8,203,461	\$9,223,464	\$10,866,153	\$12,232,304	\$12,688,469	\$12,865,979	\$8,025,780	\$12,594,827

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 8: Average Balance Sheet

Table 9: Balances & Yields by Securities Portfolio at Redwood(\$ in thousands)

C4 C3 C2 C1 C4 Residential Prime CES		2008	2008	2008	2008	2007
Current face \$343,900 \$300,128 \$537,214 \$522,745 Linamortized discount (20,22) (25,50) (44,898) (60,353,34) (27,716) Linealized losses (5,59) (2,283) (9,894) (40,738) (36,784) Average amortized cost \$42,974 \$85,314 \$111,800 \$116,462 \$159,608 Average fair value \$5,846 \$7,764 \$11,303 \$11,600 \$196,334 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$5,55% 39,82% 60,27% \$2,22% \$0,08% Annualized interest income / average fair value \$5,55% 39,82% 60,27% \$2,22% \$0,08% Current face \$42,046 \$200,142 \$310,067 \$24,0997 \$25,626,84 Unamortized discount (10,56%) (10,067) (14,411 (13,464) (13,409) Discount dissignated as credit reserve (41,520) (27,798)<(299,699,690) \$22,274,63 (142) (1,762) (3,678) Linamortized discount \$3,563 \$3,570 \$10,236 \$4,637<		Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4
unamotized discount (32,02) (25,55) (48,86) (60,335) (76,332) Decourt designated as credit reserve (28,437) (28,437) (28,834) (27,716) Arrenge amortized cost \$42,974 \$85,314 \$111,800 \$164,621 \$159,603 Average fair value \$39,933 \$77,709 \$79,243 \$127,644 \$166,03 Anualized interest income / average amortized \$44,174 \$34,074 \$269,93 \$127,644 \$168,03 Anualized interest income / average fair value \$55,55% \$98,82% \$0.27% \$22,02% \$0.08% Anualized interest income / average fair value \$65,55% \$98,82% \$0.27% \$22,02% \$0.08% Carrent face (10,54) (10,0677 (14,111) (13,64) \$13,620 \$24,197 Jurealized gains (losses) \$7,281 \$44,317 \$7,528 \$10,055 \$23,397 Average fair value \$16,161 \$6,269 \$1,005 \$22,347 \$36,425 Average fair value \$16,175 \$4,997 \$2,375 \$3		\$242.000	\$260.962	\$200.129	\$527.014	\$509.745
Discound designated as credit reserve (284.379) (286.616) (251.942) (386.334) (287.74) Fair value \$21.930 \$41.414 \$79.304 \$77.806 \$127.612 Average amortized cost \$42.974 \$85.314 \$111.800 \$164.62 \$159.603 Average fair value \$5.484 \$77.764 \$11.939 \$16.60 \$197.643 Annualized interest income / average amortized cost \$4.41% 36.40% 42.69% 40.34% 48.93% Corrent face \$420.866 \$260.142 \$319.067 \$224.0997 \$2262.684 Unamorized discount (1.054) (10.067) (1.4.11) (1.364) (1.362) Discount designated as credit reserve (415.820) (247.990) \$259.696 \$27.802 \$22.469 Discount designated as credit reserve (415.820) \$47.991 \$25.996.94 \$3.772 \$3.782 Average fair value \$7.261 \$4.317 \$7.528 \$10.051 \$22.397 Average fair value \$5.167 \$4.997 \$2.367 \$3.782						
Inrealized losses (5.55) (3.283) (9.984) (40,739) (36,784) Fir value \$21,930 \$41,414 \$79,304 \$77,806 \$127,615 Average amortized cost \$42,974 \$85,314 \$111,860 \$164,622 \$159,695 Average far value \$39,933 \$77,930 \$172,643 \$19,539 \$16,600 \$19,534 Annualized interest income / average amortized cost \$8,446 \$7,744 \$19,939 \$16,800 \$19,534 Amualized interest income / average fair value \$42,066 \$260,142 \$319,067 \$240,967 \$220,867 \$222,860 Discound designated as credit reserve (1,054) (10067) (14,111) (1,364) \$33,983 \$37,823 \$37,882 \$34,975 \$220,967 \$220,967 \$220,967 \$22,246 \$34,972 \$37,823 \$319,812 \$34,933 \$37,882 \$34,972 \$37,823 \$37,882 \$34,972 \$37,882 \$34,972 \$37,823 \$37,882 \$34,975 \$32,974 \$36,853 \$37,857 \$37,777 \$31,834						
Average amortized cost \$42,974 \$85,314 \$111,860 \$164,621 \$159,696 Average fair value \$5,933 \$77,993 \$72,243 \$127,644 \$156,603 Annualized interest income / average amortized \$441% \$36,40% \$26,00% \$42,096 \$20,007 \$22,097 \$50,097 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$85,55% 39,82% 60,27% \$22,097 \$22,097 \$22,097 \$22,097 \$22,097 \$22,097 \$22,097 \$22,097 \$22,097 \$22,097 \$22,097 \$22,097 \$22,080 Decount designated as credit reserve (415,820) (247,799) (295,996) (227,820) (22,2416) Jurealized gains (losses) \$3,563 \$3,570 \$10,057 \$22,387 \$36,425 \$37,832 \$36,425 \$37,832 \$36,425 \$37,632 \$31,007 \$22,474 \$36,425 \$37,632 \$31,670 \$10,236 \$24,375 \$37,425 \$31,625 \$31,626 \$31,670 \$10,236 \$41,553 \$44,598 \$50,775 \$11,148 \$52,675						(36,784)
Average fair value \$39,933 \$77,943 \$127,643 \$16,031 Interest income Annualized interest income / average fair value \$5,846 \$67,764 \$11,939 \$16,600 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$5,846 \$26,074 \$22,025 \$50,085 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$58,556 \$39,82% \$60,27% \$20,296 \$20,097 \$262,884 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$7,261 \$41,317 \$7,528 \$10,057 \$240,997 \$262,884 Discount designated as credit reserve (41,5820) \$2,040 (14,411) (13,641) \$3,653 \$3,570 \$10,236 \$24,67 \$37,882 Average amortized cost \$3,563 \$3,570 \$10,236 \$24,67 \$37,882 Average fair value \$5,167 \$4,997 \$2,267 \$5,64,25 \$5,80,99 \$2,488 \$45,995 \$3,64,25 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$43,55% \$18,81% 103,23% \$1,111,95 \$2,37% Annualized interest income / average fair value \$6,178	Fair value	\$21,930	\$41,414	\$79,304	\$77,806	\$127,612
Average fair value \$39,933 \$77,943 \$127,643 \$16,031 Interest income Annualized interest income / average fair value \$5,846 \$67,764 \$11,939 \$16,600 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$5,846 \$26,074 \$22,025 \$50,085 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$58,556 \$39,82% \$60,27% \$20,296 \$20,097 \$262,884 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$7,261 \$41,317 \$7,528 \$10,057 \$240,997 \$262,884 Discount designated as credit reserve (41,5820) \$2,040 (14,411) (13,641) \$3,653 \$3,570 \$10,236 \$24,67 \$37,882 Average amortized cost \$3,563 \$3,570 \$10,236 \$24,67 \$37,882 Average fair value \$5,167 \$4,997 \$2,267 \$5,64,25 \$5,80,99 \$2,488 \$45,995 \$3,64,25 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$43,55% \$18,81% 103,23% \$1,111,95 \$2,37% Annualized interest income / average fair value \$6,178						
Interest income \$5,846 \$7,764 \$11,393 \$16,600 \$19,534 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$64,11% \$36,40% \$42,69% \$0.34% \$48,93% Current face \$420,966 \$260,142 \$319,067 \$240,997 \$262,884 Current face \$420,966 \$260,142 \$319,067 \$240,997 \$262,884 Unamotized discount (1,054) (10,067) (14,411) (1,364) (13,969) Discount designated as credit reserve (415,820) \$427,789 (286,980) \$22,474 \$37,882 Average amortized cost \$3,563 \$3,570 \$10,236 \$24,637 \$37,882 Average fair value \$6,016 \$4,997 \$23,77 \$31,882 Average fair value \$5,617 \$4,937 \$22,247 \$37,882 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$43,55% \$18,81% 103,23% \$1,11% \$22,37% Average fair value \$16,51 \$1,618 \$2,595 \$6,413 \$22,00 \$1,307 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$16,57% \$18,81% 103,237						
Annualized interest income / average fair value 54.41% 36.40% 42.69% 40.34% 48.93% Annualized interest income / average fair value 58.55% 39.82% 60.27% 52.02% 50.08% Residential Non-Prime CES Current face \$420,866 \$260,142 \$319,007 \$242,049 \$262,864 Unrealized gains (losses) 3.268 2.040 (142) (1,762 (3,062) Fair value \$7.261 \$4.317 \$7.528 \$10,051 \$23,397 Average amortized cost \$3,563 \$3,570 \$10,236 \$24,637 \$37,882 Average amortized cost \$3,563 \$3,570 \$10,236 \$24,637 \$36,425 Average fair value \$6,016 \$6,299 \$9,170 \$22,874 \$45,695 \$6,348 \$6,295 \$6,143 \$23,775 \$11,199 Average fair value \$1,531 \$1,488 \$2,595 \$6,413 \$22,206 \$22,175 \$22,245 \$1,307 Average fair value \$1,531 \$1,488 \$2,595 \$6,413	Interest income	\$5,846	\$7,764			
Annualized interest income / average fair value 58.55% 39.82% 60.27% 52.02% 50.08% Residential Non-Prime CES Current face 5420.866 5260.142 531.067 5240.997 5262.84 Quamontized discount (10.657) (14.411) (13.64) (13.809) Discount designated as credit reserve (415.820) (247.789) (29.686) (227.820) (222.416) Average amortized cost \$3.563 \$3.570 \$10.236 \$24.637 \$37.882 Average amortized cost \$3.563 \$3.570 \$10.236 \$24.637 \$37.882 Average fair value \$6.016 \$6.299 \$2.307 \$52.170 \$2.274 \$43.656 Annualized interest income / average fair value 343.5563 \$18.81% 103.23% \$1.111% \$2.2706 Average fair value \$1.531 \$1.468 \$2.595 \$6.413 \$22.206 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$6.76% \$0.84% 10.88% \$13.81 Average fair value \$1.531 \$1.468 \$2.595		54.41%	36.40%	42.69%	40.34%	48.93%
Residential Non-Prime CES Status		58 55%	39.82%	60 27%	52 02%	50.08%
Current face \$420.866 \$260.142 \$319.067 \$240.997 \$262.947 \$364.947 \$319.067 \$240.997 \$262.946 \$15.809 \$20.000 \$227.820 \$22.416 \$223.397 \$2.00 \$22.416 \$22.416 \$2.000 \$2.000 \$22.416 \$22.416 \$2.000 \$22.416 \$2.000 \$2.000 \$22.416 \$22.416 \$2.000 \$2.000 \$2.000 \$22.416 \$22.337 \$2.000 \$22.416 \$2.000 \$2.0	Annualized interest income / average fair value	30.33%	33.02 /6	00.27 /8	JZ.0Z /6	30.00 /8
Current face \$420.866 \$260.142 \$319.067 \$240.997 \$262.997 \$262.997 \$262.917 \$262.917 \$262.916 (11.411) (1.369) (10.067) (1.411) (1.369) (13.699) (14.411) (1.369) (13.699) (14.411) (1.361) (14.411) (1.361) (14.411) (14.411) (14.411) (14.411) (14	Residential Non-Prime CES					
Discount designated as credit reserve Unrealized gains (losses) (415,820) (227,798) (296,986) (227,820) (222,416) Fair value \$7,261 \$4,317 \$7,528 \$10,051 \$23,397 Average amortized cost \$3,363 \$3,570 \$10,236 \$24,837 \$37,882 Average fair value \$6,016 \$6,269 \$9,170 \$22,874 \$36,6425 Annualized interest income / average amortized 579,99% 559,80% 92,48% 84,59% \$0,36% Annualized interest income / average fair value \$43,55% \$18,81% 103,23% \$11,119 Average fair value \$1,531 \$1,468 \$2,595 \$6,413 \$22,006 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$6,76% 60,84% 10,88% \$18,84% 23,76% Average fair value \$1,531 \$1,468 \$2,295 \$6,414 \$22,006 Interest income \$2,217 \$2,233 \$17,152 \$11,199 Average amortized discount (100,778) (41,062) \$1,6444 (6,414) (6,014)	Current face					
Jnrealized gains (losses) 3,269 2,040 (142) (1,762) (3,062) Fair value \$7,261 \$4,317 \$7,528 \$10,051 \$23,397 Average amortized cost \$3,563 \$3,570 \$10,236 \$24,637 \$37,882 Average fair value \$6,016 \$6,299 \$9,170 \$22,674 \$36,425 Annualized interest income / average amortized cost \$53,563 \$18,81% 103,23% \$11,119 Average fair value \$9,99% \$59,90% \$2,49% \$4,59% \$0,36% Annualized interest income / average fair value \$16,511 \$1,468 \$2,595 \$6,412 \$22,200 Average fair value \$1,531 \$1,468 \$2,595 \$6,412 \$22,200 Average fair value \$1,531 \$1,488 \$2,220 \$1,307 Arrenage fair value \$1,531 \$1,488 \$23,76% Current face \$194,344 \$155,471 \$10,039 \$43,695 \$1,745 Unamorized discount (100,778) \$10,202 \$6,6141 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>(227.820)</td><td></td></td<>					(227.820)	
Average amortized cost \$3,563 \$3,570 \$10,236 \$24,637 \$37,882 Average fair value \$6,016 \$6,269 \$9,170 \$22,874 \$36,822 Annualized interest income / average amortized 579,99% 559,80% 92,48% 84,59% 50,36% Annualized interest income / average fair value 343,55% 318,81% 103,23% 91,11% 52,37% Residential Non-Prime CES at fair value \$981 \$1,901 \$357 \$3,777 \$11,199 Average fair value \$1,631 \$1,468 \$2,595 \$64,13 \$2,206 Residential Prime IGS Current face \$194,344 \$155,471 \$160,389 \$43,695 \$1,745 Unrealized discount (100,778) (41,082) (46,668) (18,937) (224) Discount designated as credit reserve (24,067) \$2,17 \$16,314 \$10,056 \$10,357 \$1,537 Average fair value \$71,620 \$66,5134 \$100,663 \$18,324 \$1,361 Average fair value \$71,620 \$65,134					(1,762)	
Average amortized cost \$3,563 \$3,570 \$10,236 \$24,637 \$37,882 Average fair value \$6,016 \$6,289 \$9,170 \$22,874 \$36,425 Annualized interest income / average amortized 579,99% 559,80% 92,48% 84,59% 50,36% Annualized interest income / average fair value 343,55% 318,81% 103,23% 91,11% 52,37% Residential Non-Prime CES at fair value \$981 \$1,901 \$357 \$3,777 \$11,199 Average fair value \$1,631 \$1,468 \$2,595 \$6,413 \$2,206 Residential Prime IGS Current face \$194,344 \$155,471 \$160,389 \$43,695 \$1,745 Unrealized discount (100,778) (41,082) (46,668) (18,937) (224) Discount designated as credit reserve (24,067) (22,075) (6,614) (20) - Average fair value \$71,620 \$65,134 \$100,663 \$18,324 \$1,361 Average fair value \$71,620 \$65,134 \$100,063 \$	Fair value	\$7 261	\$4.317	\$7 528	\$10.051	\$23.397
Average fair value \$6,016 \$6,269 \$9,170 \$22,874 \$36,425 Interest income Average fair value \$79,99% \$59,80% \$2,48% \$4,59% \$50,36% Annualized interest income / average fair value 343,55% 318,81% 103,23% 91,11% \$22,37% Residential Non-Prime CES at fair value \$981 \$1,901 \$357 \$3,777 \$11,199 Average fair value \$1,631 \$1,468 \$2,595 \$64,13 \$22,006 Interest income / average fair value \$1,511 \$1,468 \$2,595 \$64,13 \$22,006 Average fair value \$1,521 \$1,468 \$2,595 \$64,13 \$22,006 Average fair value \$104,744 \$155,471 \$160,889 \$38,48% \$23,76% Aumotized discount (100,778) (41,082) (46,668) (18,937) (224) Jamotized discount (100,778) (41,082) (46,644) (160) Fair value \$71,62 \$65,134 \$100,663 \$13,324 \$1,357 Average amort		÷,,201	÷ 1,0 17	÷.,620	÷. 5,001	+,001
Interest income \$5,167 \$4,997 \$2,287 \$5,210 \$4,768 Annualized interest income / average amortized cost 343,55% 318,81% 103,23% 91,11% 52,37% Residential Non-Prime CES at fair value 343,55% 318,81% 103,23% 91,11% 52,37% Residential Non-Prime CES at fair value \$981 \$1,901 \$357 \$3,777 \$11,199 Average fair value \$1,531 \$1,468 \$2,295 \$6,413 \$22,006 Interest income \$217 \$223 \$71 \$2,220 \$1,307 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$6,76% 60.84% 10.88% 138.48% 23,76% Residential Prime IGS Current face \$194,344 \$156,371 \$16,039 \$43,665 \$1,745 Unamortized discount (100,778) (41,082) (46,668) (18,937) (22,47) Discount designated as credit reserve (2,407) (22,6106 \$13,345 \$10,375 \$1,537 Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,488 \$100,663 <td></td> <td>\$3,563</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		\$3,563				
Annualized interest income / average fair value 579.99% 559.80% 92.48% 84.59% 50.36% Annualized interest income / average fair value 343.55% 318.81% 103.23% 91.11% 52.37% Residential Non-Prime CES at fair value \$981 \$1.901 \$357 \$3.777 \$11,199 Average fair value \$1,531 \$1,468 \$2.595 \$6,413 \$22.006 Interest income \$217 \$223 \$71 \$2.220 \$1,307 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$6.76% 60.84% 10.88% 138.48% 23.76% Residential Prime IGS Current face \$194,344 \$155,471 \$160,389 \$43,695 \$1,745 Unrealized inscent (100,778) (41,082) (46,668) (18,937) (224) Discount designated as credit reserve (24,067) (22,075) (6,614) (160) Vareage fair value \$71,620 \$65,134 \$100,663 \$18,324 \$1,371 Average mortized cost \$90,554 \$101,488 \$199,565 \$10						
cost 343.55% 318.81% 103.23% 91.11% 52.37% Residential Non-Prime CES at fair value \$981 \$1,901 \$337 \$3,777 \$11,198 Fair value \$981 \$1,901 \$337 \$3,777 \$11,198 Average fair value \$1,531 \$1,468 \$2,295 \$6,413 \$22,006 Interest income \$217 \$223 \$711 \$2,220 \$1,307 Annualized interest income / average fair value 56,76% 60.84% 10.88% 138.48% 23.76% Residential Prime IGS Current face \$194,344 \$155,471 \$160,389 \$43,695 \$1,745 Unamortized discount (100,778) (41,062) (46,668) (18,937) (224) Discount designated as credit reserve (24,067) (22,075) (6,614) (100,377 \$1,531 Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,489 \$100,653 \$18,324 \$1,361 Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,489 \$10,037 \$1,577 Average fa						50.36%
Residential Non-Prime CES at fair value \$981 \$1,901 \$357 \$3,777 \$11,199 Average fair value \$1,531 \$1,468 \$2,595 \$6,413 \$2,206 Interest income \$217 \$223 \$71 \$2,220 \$1,307 Annualized interest income / average fair value 56,76% 60,84% 10,88% 138,48% 23,76% Residential Prime IGS Current face \$194,344 \$155,471 \$160,389 \$43,695 \$1,745 Jnamortized discount (100,778) (41,082) (46,668) (18,937) (224) Jonamortized discount (100,778) (41,082) (46,668) \$13,324 \$1,361 Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,489 \$109,565 \$10,357 \$1,57 Average fair value \$71,620 \$65,134 \$100,663 \$18,324 \$1,57 Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,489 \$109,565 \$10,357 \$1,57 Annualized interest income / average amortized \$3,3020 \$3,776 \$2,514 \$229 <	cost					
Fair value \$981 \$1,901 \$357 \$3,777 \$11,195 Average fair value Interest income \$1,531 \$1,468 \$2,595 \$6,413 \$22,006 Average fair value Interest income \$217 \$223 \$71 \$2,222 \$1,307 Annualized interest income \$217 \$223 \$71 \$2,220 \$1,307 Annualized interest income / average fair value 56,76% 60.84% 10.88% 138.48% 23.76% Residential Prime IGS Current face \$194,344 \$155,471 \$160,389 \$43,695 \$1,745 Discount designated as credit reserve (24,067) (22,075) (6,614) (20) - Juraealized losses 2,121 (27,180) (6,444) (160) (16,937) Fair value \$71,620 \$65,134 \$100,663 \$18,324 \$1,361 Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,488 \$109,565 \$10,375 \$1,537 Average amortized income / average amortized \$3,020 \$3,776 \$2,51,58 \$1,577 <t< td=""><td>Annualized interest income / average fair value</td><td>343.55%</td><td>318.81%</td><td>103.23%</td><td>91.11%</td><td>52.37%</td></t<>	Annualized interest income / average fair value	343.55%	318.81%	103.23%	91.11%	52.37%
Fair value \$981 \$1,901 \$357 \$3,777 \$11,195 Average fair value Interest income \$1,531 \$1,468 \$2,595 \$6,413 \$22,006 Average fair value Interest income \$217 \$223 \$71 \$2,222 \$1,307 Annualized interest income \$217 \$223 \$71 \$2,220 \$1,307 Annualized interest income / average fair value 56,76% 60.84% 10.88% 138.48% 23.76% Residential Prime IGS Current face \$194,344 \$155,471 \$160,389 \$43,695 \$1,745 Discount designated as credit reserve (24,067) (22,075) (6,614) (20) - Juraealized losses 2,121 (27,180) (6,444) (160) (16,937) Fair value \$71,620 \$65,134 \$100,663 \$18,324 \$1,361 Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,488 \$109,565 \$10,375 \$1,537 Average amortized income / average amortized \$3,020 \$3,776 \$2,51,58 \$1,577 <t< td=""><td>Residential Non-Prime CES at fair value</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Residential Non-Prime CES at fair value					
Interest income \$217 \$223 \$71 \$2,220 \$1,307 Annualized interest income / average fair value 56,76% 60.84% 10.88% 138,48% 23,76% Residential Prime IGS Current face \$194,344 \$155,471 \$160,389 \$43,695 \$1,745 Discourt designated as credit reserve (100,778) (41,082) (46,668) (19,377) (224) Jnamotized discount (100,778) (44,668) (19,377) (244) (54,144) (164,14) (160) Fair value \$71,620 \$65,134 \$100,663 \$18,324 \$1,561 Average amortized oost \$90,554 \$101,489 \$109,565 \$10,357 \$15,573 Anrualized interest income \$30,20 \$3,776 \$2,514 \$229 \$56 Annualized discount (14,73) (19,251) (20,473) (2,456) Ouramotized discount (41,873) (19,251) (20,473) (2,456) Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201) - (12,013) (12,456)		\$981	\$1,901	\$357	\$3,777	\$11,199
Interest income \$217 \$223 \$71 \$2,222 \$1,307 Annualized interest income / average fair value 56.76% 60.84% 10.88% 138.48% 23.76% Residential Prime IGS Current face \$194,344 \$155,471 \$160,389 \$43,695 \$1,745 Discount designated as credit reserve (2407) (22,67) (6,614) (20) - Jurealized losses 2,121 (27,180) (6,444) (6,414) (160) Fair value \$90,554 \$101,489 \$109,565 \$10,337 \$1,537 Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,489 \$109,565 \$10,375 \$1,57 Average fair value \$70,358 \$87,765 \$10,263 \$18,374 \$1,57 Annualized interest income / average amortized \$3,020 \$3,776 \$2,514 \$229 \$56 Current face \$10,263 \$81,777 \$82,617 \$2,5362 \$1,172 \$82,617 \$2,5362 Junamotized discount (41,873) (19,251) (2,476) \$2						
Annualized interest income / average fair value 56.76% 60.84% 10.88% 138.48% 23.76% Residential Prime IGS Current face \$194,344 \$155,471 \$160,389 \$43,695 \$1,742 Luramotrized discount (100,778) (41,082) (46,668) (18,937) (224) Discount designated as credit reserve (24,067) (22,075) (6,614) (160) Purealized losses 2,112 (27,160) (6,444) (166) Fair value \$71,620 \$65,134 \$100,663 \$18,324 \$1,361 Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,480 \$109,565 \$10,377 \$1,571 Average fair value \$71,620 \$65,134 \$100,663 \$18,324 \$1,571 Average fair value \$71,620 \$65,134 \$100,663 \$18,324 \$1,571 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$71,776 \$2,514 \$229 \$56 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$17,776 \$2,617 \$2,5362 \$2,1000 \$14,26%						
Residential Prime IGS Current face \$194,344 \$155,471 \$160,389 \$43,685 \$1,745 Unamortized discount (100,778) (41,082) (46,668) (18,937) (224) Discount designated as credit reserve (24,067) (22,075) (6,614) (20) - Unrealized losses 2,121 (27,180) (6,444) (166) - Virenalized losses 2,121 (27,180) (6,444) (160) - Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,489 \$109,565 \$10,357 \$1,571 Average fair value \$70,358 \$87,765 \$10,202 \$91,168 \$15,574 Annualized interest income / average amortized 13,34% 14,86% 9.18% 8.44% 14,57% Current face \$102,263 \$81,172 \$82,617 \$ \$25,362 Unamortized discount (41,873) (19,251) (20,473) - (2,456) Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201) - - - (12,073)	Interest income	\$217	\$223	\$71	\$2,220	\$1,307
Current face \$194,344 \$155,471 \$160,389 \$43,695 \$1,745 Unamotized discount (100,778) (41,082) (46,686) (18,937) (224) Discount designated as credit reserve (24,067) (22,075) (6,614) (20) Unrealized losses 2,121 (27,180) (6,444) (6,414) (160) Fair value \$71,620 \$65,134 \$100,663 \$18,324 \$1,361 Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,489 \$109,565 \$10,377 \$1,537 Average fair value \$70,358 \$87,765 \$10,202 \$9,156 \$1,571 Interest income average fair value \$71,776 \$12,203 \$81,177 \$2,514 \$229 \$56 Annualized interest income / average fair value 17.17% 17.20% 9,86% 10.00% 14.26% Current face \$102,263 \$81,172 \$82,617 \$\$25,362 \$\$10,203 \$\$1,671 Unamortized discount (41,873) (19,251) (20,473) - (2,456) </td <td>Annualized interest income / average fair value</td> <td>56.76%</td> <td>60.84%</td> <td>10.88%</td> <td>138.48%</td> <td>23.76%</td>	Annualized interest income / average fair value	56.76%	60.84%	10.88%	138.48%	23.76%
Unamortized discount (100,778) (41,082) (46,668) (18,937) Unrealized discount (24,067) (22,075) (6,614) (20) Unrealized losses 2,121 (27,180) (6,444) (6,414) (160) Fair value \$71,620 \$66,134 \$100,663 \$118,324 \$1,610 Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,489 \$109,565 \$10,357 \$1,537 Average fair value \$70,358 \$87,765 \$102,032 \$9,156 \$15,571 Average fair value \$70,358 \$87,765 \$102,032 \$9,156 \$1,571 Average fair value \$70,758 \$172,780 \$18,671 \$22,55 \$14,577 Annualized interest income / average fair value 17,17% 17,20% 9,86% 10.00% 14,26% Current face \$102,263 \$81,172 \$82,617 \$25,362 \$25,362 Unamortized discount (41,873) (19,251) (20,473) - (2,456) Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201)	Residential Prime IGS					
Discount designated as credit reserve (24,067) (22,075) (6,614) (120) Unrealized losses 2,121 (27,180) (6,444) (6,414) (160) Fair value \$71,620 \$65,134 \$100,663 \$18,324 \$1,361 Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,489 \$109,565 \$10,357 \$1,537 Average fair value \$70,358 \$87,765 \$10,203 \$9,156 \$1,537 Average fair value \$70,358 \$87,765 \$10,205 \$9,156 \$1,577 Annualized interest income / average amortized 13,34% 14.88% 9,18% 8.84% 14.57% Current face \$102,263 \$81,172 \$82,617 \$25,362 Unamortized discount (41,873) (19,251) (20,473) - (2,456) Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201) (12,013) - (12,013) Unrealized losses (11,535) (15,296) (6,914) (12,013) Average fair value \$44,655 \$55,230 \$ \$10,083 \$10,893	Current face	\$194,344	\$155,471	\$160,389	\$43,695	\$1,745
Unrealized losses 2,121 (27,180) (6,444) (6,414) (160) Fair value \$71,620 \$65,134 \$100,663 \$18,324 \$1,361 Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,489 \$109,565 \$10,357 \$1,537 Average fair value \$70,358 \$87,785 \$102,032 \$9,158 \$1,537 Interest income / average fair value \$70,358 \$87,785 \$2,514 \$229 \$56 Annualized interest income / average amortized 13,34% 14,88% 9,18% 8,84% 14,57% Annualized interest income / average fair value 17,17% 17,20% 9,86% 10,00% 14,26% Current face \$102,263 \$81,172 \$82,617 \$ \$25,362 Unamortized discount (41,873) (19,251) (2,473) - (2,456) Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201) - - (2,456) - Average fair value \$41,654 \$46,625 \$55,230 \$ \$10,893 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>(224)</td></t<>						(224)
Fair value \$71,620 \$65,134 \$100,663 \$18,324 \$1,361 Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,489 \$109,565 \$10,357 \$1,537 Average fair value \$70,358 \$87,765 \$102,022 \$9,158 \$15,71 Annualized interest income / average amortized 13,34% 14,86% 9,18% 8,84% Annualized interest income / average fair value 17,17% 17,20% 9,86% 10,00% 14,26% Annualized interest income / average fair value 17,17% 17,20% 9,86% 10,00% 14,26% Current face \$102,263 \$81,172 \$82,617 \$\$ \$25,362 Unrendized discount (41,873) (19,251) (20,473) (2,456) Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201) - - (12,013) Unrealized losses (11,535) (15,296) (6,914) - Fair value \$44,652 \$55,230 \$\$ \$10,883 Average fair value \$1,399 \$1,911 \$64,85 \$10,08						-
Average amortized cost \$90,554 \$101,489 \$109,565 \$10,357 \$1,537 Average fair value \$70,358 \$87,785 \$102,032 \$9,156 \$1,537 Interest income \$3,020 \$3,776 \$2,514 \$229 \$56 Annualized interest income / average amortized 13,34% 14,88% 9.18% 8.84% 14,57% Annualized interest income / average fair value 17.17% 17.20% 9.86% 10.00% 14.26% Residential Non-Prime IGS Current face \$102,263 \$81,172 \$82,617 \$25,362 Junamortized discount (41,873) (19,251) (20,473) - (2,456) Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201) (12,013) - (12,013) Unrealized losses (11,535) (15,296) (6,914) (12,013) Average fair value \$41,654 \$46,625 \$55,230 \$ \$10,083 Average fair value \$41,654 \$46,625 \$55,230 \$ \$10,083 Average fair value \$1,399 \$1,911 \$648						
Average fair value \$70,568 \$87,765 \$102,032 \$91,156 Annualized interest income / average amortized 13.34% 14.88% 9.18% \$229 Annualized interest income / average fair value 17.17% 17.20% 9.86% 10.00% 14.25% Annualized interest income / average fair value 17.17% 17.20% 9.86% 10.00% 14.25% Residential Non-Prime IGS Current face \$102,263 \$81,177 \$82,617 \$ \$25,362 Unamortized discount (41,873) (19,251) (20,473) - (2,456) Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201) - - - (12,013) Unrealized losses (11,535) (15,296) \$6,614) - - - - (12,013) Unrealized losses (11,535) (15,296) \$25,200 \$ \$10,893 Average amortized cost \$58,691 \$62,030 \$27,615 \$ \$33,661 Average fair value \$41,654 \$46,625 \$55,230 \$		ψ/ 1,020	000,10 4	φ100,000	ψ10,024	ψ1,001
Interest income \$3,020 \$3,776 \$2,514 \$229 Annualized interest income / average amortized 13,34% 14.88% 9.18% 8.84% Annualized interest income / average fair value 17.17% 17.20% 9.86% 10.00% Residential Non-Prime IGS Id.17% 17.20% 9.86% 10.00% Current face \$102,263 \$81,172 \$82,617 \$ Junamortized discount (41,873) (19,251) (20,473) (2,456) Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201) - - (12,013) Inrealized losses (11,535) (15,296) (6,914) - Fair value \$41,664 \$46,625 \$55,230 \$ \$10,893 Average fair value \$47,460 \$52,080 \$2,643 \$ \$33,361 Interest income / average fair value 11.79% 14.68% 9.79% - 12.20% Annualized interest income / average fair value 11.79% 14.68% 9.79% - 12.10% Residential IGS Prime at fair value 11.79% 14.68% 9.79% - 12.10% Residential IGS Prime at fair value \$1,102 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$ Fair value						
Annualized interest income / average amortized 13.34% 14.88% 9.18% 8.84% Annualized interest income / average fair value 17.17% 17.20% 9.86% 10.00% Residential Non-Prime IGS Current face \$102,263 \$81,172 \$82,617 \$ \$25,362 Unamotized discount (41,873) (19,251) (20,473) - (2,456) Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201) - - (12,013) Unrealized losses (11,535) (15,296) (6,914) - - Fair value \$41,654 \$46,625 \$55,230 \$ \$10,893 Average fair value \$41,654 \$46,625 \$55,230 \$ \$10,893 Annualized interest income / average amortized \$47,460 \$52,080 \$2,6453 \$ \$10,293 Annualized interest income / average fair value 11.79% 14.68% 9.79% 12.10% Annualized interest income / average fair value 11.79% 14.68% 9.79% 12.10% Annualized interest income / average fair val						
cost Annualized interest income / average fair value 17.17% 17.20% 9.86% 10.00% 14.26% Residential Non-Prime IGS Current face \$102,263 \$81,172 \$82,617 \$\$25,362 Unamortized discount (41,873) (19,251) (20,473) - (2,456) Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201) - - (12,013) Inrealized losses (11,535) (15,296) (6,914) - - Fair value \$44,654 \$46,625 \$55,230 \$						
Residential Non-Prime IGS \$25,362 Current face \$102,263 \$81,172 \$82,617 \$25,362 Unamontized discount (41,873) (19,251) (20,473) (2,456) Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201) - - (12,013) Unrealized losses (11,535) (15,296) (6,914) - - Fair value \$41,654 \$46,625 \$55,230 \$ \$10,883 Average amortized cost \$58,691 \$62,030 \$27,615 \$33,361 Interest income \$1,399 \$1,911 \$648 \$10,098 Annualized interest income / average amortized 9,54% 12,32% 9,38% \$11,25% cost - - - 12,10% \$12,20% \$1,21 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$- Residential IGS Prime at fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$- Fair value \$1,202 \$1,211 \$1,320 \$1,860 \$- Residential IGS Prime at fair value \$1	cost					
Current face \$102,263 \$81,172 \$82,617 \$\$ \$52,562 Unamotized discount (41,87) (19,251) (24,465) (12,013) Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201) - - (12,013) Unrealized losses (11,535) (15,296) (6,914) - - Fair value \$41,664 \$46,625 \$55,230 \$\$ \$10,893 Average amortized cost \$58,691 \$62,030 \$27,615 \$\$ \$33,361 Annualized interest income \$1,319 \$1,911 \$648 \$\$ \$31,089 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$1,79% 14,68% 9,79% - 11,25% cost Annualized interest income / average fair value 11,79% 14,68% 9,79% - 12,10% Residential ICS Prime at fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,860 \$- Fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,850 \$- \$- \$- Fair value \$1,202 \$	Annualized interest income / average fair value	17.17%	17.20%	9.86%	10.00%	14.26%
Current face \$102,263 \$81,172 \$82,617 \$\$ \$52,562 Unamotized discount (41,87) (19,251) (24,465) (12,013) Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201) - - (12,013) Unrealized losses (11,535) (15,296) (6,914) - - Fair value \$41,664 \$46,625 \$55,230 \$\$ \$10,893 Average amortized cost \$58,691 \$62,030 \$27,615 \$\$ \$33,361 Annualized interest income \$1,319 \$1,911 \$648 \$\$ \$31,089 Annualized interest income / average fair value \$1,79% 14,68% 9,79% - 11,25% cost Annualized interest income / average fair value 11,79% 14,68% 9,79% - 12,10% Residential ICS Prime at fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,860 \$- Fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,850 \$- \$- \$- Fair value \$1,202 \$	Residential Non-Prime IGS					
Discount designated as credit reserve (7,201) (11,133) (11,201) Jurrealized losses (11,533) (15,296) (6,914) - (12,013) Fair value \$41,654 \$46,625 \$55,230 \$ \$10,893 Average amortized cost \$58,691 \$62,030 \$27,615 \$ \$33,361 Average fair value \$47,460 \$52,080 \$26,453 \$ \$33,361 Annualized interest income / average amortized 9,54% 12,32% 9,38% - 11,25% Annualized interest income / average fair value 11,79% 14,68% 9,79% - 12,10% Residential IGS Prime at fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,860 \$- Fair value \$1,135 \$1,231 \$1,674 \$2,283 \$- Average fair value \$1,333 \$2,331 \$1,674 \$2,283 \$-	Current face				\$-	
Unrealized losses (11,535) (15,296) (6,914) Fair value \$41,654 \$46,625 \$55,230 \$\$\$ \$10,893 Average amortized cost \$58,691 \$62,030 \$27,615 \$\$ \$35,877 Average fair value \$47,460 \$52,080 \$26,453 \$\$ \$33,361 Interest income \$1,399 \$1,911 \$648 \$\$ \$11,25% Annualized interest income / average fair value \$11.79% \$14,68% 9.79% \$12,10% Residential IGS Prime at fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$ Fair value \$1,202 \$1,211 \$1,320 \$1,860 \$ Average fair value \$1,202 \$1,211 \$1,320 \$1,860 \$ Fair value \$1,202 \$1,211 \$1,674 \$2,283 \$ Average fair value \$333 \$291 \$391 \$628 \$			(19,251)	(20,473)	-	
Average amortized cost \$58,691 \$62,030 \$27,615 \$- \$35,877 Average fair value \$47,460 \$52,080 \$26,453 \$- \$33,361 Interest income \$1,399 \$1,911 \$648 \$- \$1,097 Annualized interest income / average amortized 9.54% 12.32% 9.38% - 11.25% Annualized interest income / average fair value 11.79% 14.68% 9.79% - 12.10% Residential IGS Prime at fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$- Fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$- Average fair value \$1,135 \$1,231 \$1,674 \$2,283 \$- Interest income \$383 \$291 \$391 \$628 \$-			(15,296)	(6,914)	-	(12,013)
Average amortized cost \$58,691 \$62,030 \$27,615 \$- \$35,877 Average fair value \$47,460 \$52,080 \$26,453 \$- \$33,361 Interest income \$1,399 \$1,911 \$648 \$- \$1,097 Annualized interest income / average amortized 9.54% 12.32% 9.38% - 11.25% Annualized interest income / average fair value 11.79% 14.68% 9.79% - 12.10% Residential IGS Prime at fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$- Fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$- Average fair value \$1,135 \$1,231 \$1,674 \$2,283 \$- Interest income \$383 \$291 \$391 \$628 \$-	Esir yaluo	\$41.654	\$46.625	\$55,220	¢	\$10.903
Average fair value \$47,460 \$52,080 \$26,453 \$\$\$\$ \$33,361 Interest income \$1,399 \$1,911 \$648 \$\$ \$1,009 Annualized interest income / average amortized 9.54% 12.32% 9.38% 11.25% Annualized interest income / average fair value 11.79% 14.68% 9.79% 12.10% Residential IGS Prime at fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 Fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$ Average fair value \$1,135 \$1,231 \$1,674 \$2,283 \$ Interest income \$383 \$291 \$391 \$628 \$		ψ+1,00+	ψ 1 0,020	400,200	Ŷ	ψ10,000
Interest income \$1,399 \$1,911 \$648 \$- \$1,005 Annualized interest income / average amortized ost 9.54% 12.32% 9.38% - 11.25% Annualized interest income / average fair value 11.79% 14.68% 9.79% - 12.10% Residential IGS Prime at fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$ Fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$ Average fair value \$1,135 \$1,231 \$1,674 \$2,283 \$ Interest income \$383 \$291 \$391 \$628 \$	Average amortized cost	\$58,691	\$62,030	\$27,615	\$-	\$35,877
Annualized interest income / average amortized 9.54% 12.32% 9.38% 111.25% Annualized interest income / average fair value 11.79% 14.68% 9.79% 12.10% Residential IGS Prime at fair value 11.202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 Fair value Verage fair value Normalized interest income Normalized interest income Normalized interest income Sign colspan="3">Colspan="3">Colspan="3">Colspan="3">Colspan="3">Colspan="3">Colspan="3">Colspan="3" Normalized interest income \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$- Average fair value \$1,135 \$1,231 \$1,674 \$2,283 \$- Average fair value \$383 \$291 \$391 \$628 \$-	Average fair value	\$47,460	\$52,080	\$26,453	\$-	\$33,361
cost Annualized interest income / average fair value 11.79% 14.68% 9.79% 12.10% Residential IGS Prime at fair value Fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$- Average fair value \$1,135 \$1,231 \$1,674 \$2,283 \$- Interest income \$383 \$291 \$391 \$628 \$-					\$-	
Annualized interest income / average fair value 11.79% 14.68% 9.79% 12.10% Residential IGS Prime at fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$ Fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$ Average fair value \$1,135 \$1,231 \$1,674 \$2,283 \$ Interest income \$383 \$291 \$391 \$628 \$		9.54%	12.32%	9.38%	-	11.25%
Fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$- Average fair value \$1,135 \$1,231 \$1,674 \$2,283 \$- Interest income \$383 \$291 \$391 \$628 \$-		11.79%	14.68%	9.79%	-	12.10%
Fair value \$1,202 \$1,121 \$1,320 \$1,850 \$- Average fair value \$1,135 \$1,231 \$1,674 \$2,283 \$- Interest income \$383 \$291 \$391 \$628 \$-	Posidential ICS Prime at fair value					
Average fair value \$1,135 \$1,231 \$1,674 \$2,283 \$- nterest income \$383 \$291 \$391 \$628 \$-		\$1,202	\$1,121	\$1,320	\$1,850	\$-
Interest income \$383 \$291 \$391 \$628 \$-		+1,202	÷ 1, 12 1	÷ /,020	÷1,000	Ţ
						\$-
	Annualized interest income / average iall value	134.03%	34.43%	55.4176	110.01%	-

Residential IGS Non-Prime at fair value		Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4
Fair value	\$237	\$476	\$3,251	\$5,676	
Average fair value	\$337	\$1,505	\$4,384	\$10,253	
Interest income	\$19	\$131	\$289	\$433	
	22.96%	34.91%	26.39%	16.88%	
Commercial CES					
Current face	\$514,169	\$514,883	\$517,615	\$523,118	\$523,
Unamortized premium (discount)	35,069		(31,871)		(17,8
Discount designated as credit reserve	(497,047)	(470,660)	(384,487)	(378,388)	(318,4
	(0.704)	(4.000)	(40.000)	(0.050)	(38.3
Unrealized losses Fair value	(9,701) \$42,490	(4,383) \$63,686	(10,288) \$90,969	(8,252) \$99,523	(38,3
Average amortized cost	\$63,969	\$98,534		\$183,446	
Average fair value	\$60,367	\$90,068	\$98,417	\$151,051	\$164,
Interest income	\$(1,000)	\$3,160	\$4,155	\$5,000	\$4,
Annualized interest income / average amortized cost	(6.25%)	12.83%	15.63%	10.90%	10.7
Annualized interest income / average fair value	(6.62%)	14.03%	16.89%	13.24%	12.0
randanzed interest income / average idli Value	(0.02 %)	14.03%	10.03%	13.2470	12.0
CDO CES	-	-			
Current face	\$17,558	\$17,513	\$22,470	\$26,562	\$26,
Unamortized discount	(1,057)	(927)	(3,412)	(3,513)	(3,0
Discount designated as credit reserve	(16,476)	(16,431)	(18,743)	(22,374)	(21,8
Unrealized gains	-	-	10	10	
Fair value	\$25	\$155	\$325	\$685	\$2,
Average amortized cost	\$154	\$248	\$693	\$1,576	\$1,
Average fair value	\$154	\$283	\$670	\$2,211	\$4,
Interest income	\$183	\$105	\$223	\$140	\$
Annualized interest income / average amortized cost	474.30%	169.00%	128.97%	35.53%	30.7
Annualized interest income / average fair value	474.30%	148.01%	133.34%	25.32%	12.2
CDO CES at fair value					
CDO CES at fair value Fair value	\$75	\$75	\$75	\$-	
Average fair value	\$75	\$532	\$124	\$-	
Interest income	\$34	\$242	\$33	\$-	
Annualized interest income / average fair value	180.73%	181.79%	107.10%	-	
CDO IGS					
Current face	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$73,
Unamortized discount	· ·	-	-	-	(24,9
Discount designated as credit reserve	-	-	-	-	
Unrealized losses	-		-	-	(29,6
Fair value	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$18,
Average amortized cost	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$28,
Average fair value	\$- ¢	\$-	\$- ¢	\$- ¢	\$19,
Interest income Annualized interest income / average amortized	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 11.2
cost	-	-	-	-	11.2
Annualized interest income / average fair value	-	-	-	-	16.6
CDO IGS at fair value	\$2.510	\$3.935	\$14 364	\$15 504	
Fair value	\$3,510	\$3,835	\$14,364	\$15,504	
Average fair value	\$3,702	\$8,380	\$14,799	\$19,721	
Interest income	\$159	\$193	\$512	\$707	
Annualized interest income / average fair value	17.18%	9.19%	13.84%	14.33%	

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008 Table 9: Balances & Yields by Securities Portfolio at Redwood



	2008	2008	2008	2008	2007	<u> </u>
	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4	
Residential Prime CES						
Beginning fair value	\$41,414	\$79,304	\$77,806	\$127,612	\$132,055	
Acquisitions	-	-	2,435	10,159	63,663	ĺ
Downgrades into this portfolio	2,295	672	(3.395)	-	-	Í
Transfer between portfolios Sales	-	-	(3,395)	-	-	ĺ
Principal payments	(4.622)	(6.831)	(13,421)	(14,590)	(14.633)	
Discount amortization	1,240	2,789	5,511	9,490	12,521	ĺ .
Changes in fair value, net	(18.397)	(34,520)	10.368	(54,865)	(65,994)	
-	(.,,	,	.,	(1,1,1,1)	, ,	
Ending fair value	\$21,930	\$41,414	\$79,304	\$77,806	\$127,612	
Residential Non-Prime CES						
Beginning fair value	\$6.218	\$7.885	\$13.828	\$34.596	\$69.994	
Acquisitions	3,901	-	-	_	-	
Downgrades into this portfolio	-	1,877	207	953	8,273	
Transfer between portfolios	-	-	3,395	(4,056)	(322)	Í
Sales	-	-	-	-	-	Í
Principal payments	(2,232)	(3,359)	(1,392)	(3,164)	(6,288)	Í
Discount (premium) amortization	536	2,289	177	2,080	(64)	ĺ l
Changes in fair value, net	(181)	(2,474)	(8,330)	(16,581)	(36,997)	
Ending fair value	\$8,242	\$6,218	\$7,885	\$13,828	\$34,596	
Residential Prime IGS	000.054	0101000	000 171		00.500	
Beginning fair value Acquisitions	\$66,254 35,865	\$101,983	\$20,174 84,512	\$1,360 28.048	\$2,569	ĺ.
Downgrades out of this portfolio	(2,295)	(672)	04,012	20,040	-	ĺ.
Transfer between portfolios	(2,200)	(012)	(408)	1,183	(624)	
Sales	-	-	(100)	-	(021)	
Principal payments	(1,882)	(3,288)	(1,175)	(178)	(68)	
Discount amortization	963	1,892	863	63	23	
Changes in fair value, net	(26,083)	(33,661)	(1,983)	(10,302)	(540)	
Ending fair value	\$72,822	\$66,254	\$101,983	\$20,174	\$1,360	
Residential Non-Prime IGS						
Beginning fair value	\$47,100	\$58,481	\$5,676	\$10,866	\$58,063	
Acquisitions Downgrades	10,148	- (1,877)	62,809 (207)	(953)	2,575.00 (8,273.23)	
Transfer between portfolios	-	(1,077)	(207) 408	(953) 2.874	(13,952)	
Sales	(867)	1	-00	2,014	(20,171)	
Principal payments	(1,156)	(2.599)	(3.018)	(1,524)	(1,026)	
Discount amortization	710	1,222	636	-	187	Í -
Changes in fair value, net	(14,044)	(8,127)	(7,823)	(5,587)	(6,537)	
Ending fair value	\$41,891	\$47,100	\$58,481	\$5,676	\$10,866	
						i i
Residential Real Estate Loans						1
Beginning fair value	\$3,150	\$3,695	\$4,443	\$4,533	\$6,049	1
Sales	-	-	-	-	-	1
Principal payments	(40)	(19)	(626)	(16)	(343)	1
Premium amortization Credit provision	-	-	-	-	(779)	
Transfers to REO	- (14)	-	(40)	-	-	1
Changes in fair value, net	(14)	(526)	(40)	(74)		1
Ending fair value	\$2,624	\$3.150	\$3.695	\$4,443	\$4.533	1
	¥2,524	<i>\$0,100</i>	<i>Q</i> (0,000	÷ 1, 740	÷.,500	

	2008 Q4	2008 Q3	2008 Q2	2008 Q1	2007 Q4
Commercial CES					
Beginning fair value	\$63,686	\$90,969	\$99,523	\$148,508	\$156,991
Acquisitions				-	-
Transfer between portfolios	-	-	-	-	20.995
Sales	-	-	-	-	(3,546)
Principal payments	_	-	-	-	(0,010)
Premium amortization	(6,165)	(2,582)	(2,123)	(1,523)	(1,582)
Changes in fair value, net	(15,031)	(24,701)	(6,431)	(47,462)	(24,350)
Ending fair value	\$42,490	\$63,686	\$90,969	\$99,523	\$148,508
	\$42,490	303,000	\$90,909	\$99,52 3	\$140,300
Commercial Real Estate Loans					
Beginning fair value	\$250	\$251	\$252	\$253	\$249
Acquisitions	-	-	-	-	-
Sales	-	-	-	-	-
Principal payments	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
Discount amortization	1	1	1	1	6
Credit provision	-	-	-	-	-
Changes in fair value, net	-	-	-	-	-
Ending fair value	\$249	\$250	\$251	\$252	\$253
CDO CES					
Beginning fair value	\$-	\$400	\$685	\$2,372	\$4,136
Acquisitions	-	-	-	-	-
Downgrades into this portfolio	-	750	150	-	1,000
Transfer between portfolios	-	-	-	-	-
Sales	-	(1,679)	-	-	-
Principal payments	-	42	16	30	(317)
Premium amortization	45	(43)	-	-	-
Changes in fair value, net	(45)	760	(451)	(1,716)	(2,447)
Ending fair value	\$(0)	\$230	\$400	\$685	\$2,372
CDO IGS					
Beginning fair value	\$3,835	\$14,364	\$15,504	\$18,450	\$5,223
Acquisitions	-	-	-	-	24,188
Downgrades out of this portfolio	-	(750)	(150)	-	(1,000)
Transfer between portfolios	-	-	-	-	(1,525)
Sales	-	(5,688)	-	-	-
Principal payments	(327)	(599)	(1,703)	-	-
Discount (premium) amortization	-	-	-	-	-
Changes in fair value, net	2	(3,492)	712	(2,945)	(8,436)
Ending fair value	\$3,510	\$3,835	\$14,364	\$15,504	\$18,450
	,		,	,	

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 10: Securities Portfolio Activity at Redwood

Table 11: Managed Residential Loans Credit Performance (\$ in thousands)

										<u> </u>		
			Internally			Total		Seriously		Losses To	Deducedie	Redwood
			Internally- Designated		Total	Credit Protection		Delinquent	Total	Securities	Redwood's Share of Net	Credit
		Managed		External Credit			Delinquent		Credit		Charge-offs/	
		Loans	Reserve	Enhancement					Losses	Interest	(Recoveries)	
Residential	Q4:	\$219,178,838		\$302,072					\$5,058	\$196		(Annualizeu) 0.01%
	2006											
Portfolio	2006 Q1:	219,178,838 245,080,031	392,365 412,717	302,072 355,855	694,437 768,572		842,746 1,075,683		12,272 5,776	351 325		0.01% 0.01%
	2007 Q2:	227,973,546			825,866		1,431,963		12,157	471		0.02%
	2007											
	Q3: 2007	219,465,992		335,699	801,733		2,234,644		17,553	8,682		0.03%
	Q4: 2007	256,923,033	695,130	342,009	1,037,139	0.40%	7,536,293	2.93%	44,529	32,533	11,996	0.07%
	2007 Q1:	256,923,033 (3) 157,481,973			1,037,139 700,070		7,536,293 4,698,037		80,015 57,354	42,011 24,746		0.03% 0.15%
	2008 Q2:	151,774,072			644,666		6,271,650		82,967	13,890		0.22%
	2008											
	Q3: 2008	138,100,158			631,316		6,214,451		94,165	699		
	Q4: 2008	132,921,087	735,912				7,097,231		114,315	5,478		0.34%
	2008	\$132,921,087	\$735,912	\$48,177	\$784,089	0.59%	\$7,097,231	5.34%	\$348,801	\$44,813	\$303,988	0.26%
Residential Real	Q4: 2006	\$9,212,002	\$20,119	\$0	\$20,119	0.22%	\$65,071	0.79%	\$711	\$0	\$711	0.02%
Estate Loans	2000	9,212,002	20,119	-	20,119	0.22%	65,071	0.79%	2,148		2,148	0.02%
Loans	Q1:	8,582,964	19,954	-	19,954	0.23%	68,632	0.92%	1,646		- 1,646	0.08%
	2007 Q2:	8,256,759	16,416	-	16,416	0.20%	55,674	0.67%	6,038		- 6,038	0.29%
	2007 Q3:	7,546,529	15,195	-	15,195	0.20%	56,068	0.74%	2,728		- 2,728	0.14%
	2007 Q4:	7,106,018	18,282	-	18,282	0.26%	67,984	0.96%	1,886		- 1,886	0.11%
	2007 2007	7,106,018		-	18,282				12,298		- 12,298	0.17%
	Q1: 2008	(3) 6,697,241	24,444	-	24,444				1,896		- 1,896	0.11%
	Q2: 2008	6,322,568	32,597	-	32,597	0.52%	118,139	1.87%	1,908		- 1,908	0.12%
	Q3: 2008	6,070,083	46,881	-	46,881	0.77%	143,429	2.36%	4,049		- 4,049	0.27%
	2008 Q4: 2008	4,617,269	35,713	-	35,713	0.77%	121,314	2.63%	7,548		- 7,548	0.65%
	2008 2008	\$4,617,269	\$35,713	\$0	\$35,713	0.77%	\$121,314	2.63%	\$15,401	\$0	\$15,401	0.33%
Residential		\$209,966,836	\$372,246	\$302,072	\$674,318	0.32%	\$777,675	0.37%	\$4,347	\$196	\$4,151	<0.01%
CES	2006											
	2006 Q1:	209,966,836 236,497,067		302,072 355,855	674,318 748,618				10,124 4,130	351 325		<0.01% <0.01%
	2007 Q2:	219,716,787		356,374	809,450				6,119	471	5,648	0.01%
	2007 Q3:	211,919,463		335.699	786.538		2,178,576		14,825	8.682		0.01%
	2007			,						.,		
	Q4: 2007	249,817,015		,	1,018,857		7,468,309		42,643	32,533		0.02%
	2007 Q1:	249,817,015 (3) 150,784,732		342,009 89,472	1,018,857 675,626		7,468,309 4,614,071		67,717 55,458	42,011 24,746		
	2008 Q2:	145,451,504	548,928	63,141	612,069	0.42%	6,153,511	4.23%	81,059	13,890	67,169	0.18%
	2008 Q3:	132,030,075	534,414	50,021	584,435	0.44%	6,071,023	4.60%	90,116	699	89,417	0.27%
	2008 Q4:	128,386,696		48,177	748,376	0.58%	6,745,103	5.25%	106,767	5,478	101,289	0.32%
	2008 2008	\$128,386,696			\$748,376		\$6,745,103		\$333,400	\$44,813		0.22%
	2000	¥120,000,090	φ, 50, 1 <i>3</i> 3	ψ τ υ, 177	<i>\$140,370</i>	0.00 //		5.25 /0		ψ 11 ,013	₩ 200,307	0.22 /0

(1) The credit reserve on residential real estate loans is only available to absorb losses on our residential real estate loans. Internally-designated credit reserves and external credit enhancement are only available to absorb losses on our residential CES. The credit enhancement balances shown above do not include pari passu CES owned by others.

(2) The seriously delinquent loans amount for residential real estate loans excludes loans in REO which is included in our consolidated other assets. At December 31, 2008, REO totaled \$19 million.

(3) As of January 1, 2008, balances only include CES and loans held at Redwood and loans held by Sequoia.

(4) Credit reserve is 87% of the principal balance of our CES. If the principal balance on our securities is completely absorbed by losses, we will cease to have any credit exposure to that pool of loans.

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008 Table 11: Managed Residential Loans Credit Performance

Table 12A: Residential Prime IGS at Redwood and Underlying Loan Characteristics (\$ in thousands)

	2008	2008	2008	2008	2007		2008	2008	2008	2008	2007
	2008 Q4	2008 Q3	2008 Q2	2008 Q1	2007 Q4		2008 Q4	2008 Q3	2008 Q2	2008 Q1	2007 Q4
AFS: Residential IGS Prime	47	40	42	u ,	44	Southern CA	20%	21%	21%	22%	11%
Principal value	\$194,344	\$155,471	\$160,389	\$43,695	\$1,745	Northern CA	23%	23%	22%	22%	10%
Unamortized discount	(100,778)	(41,082)	(46,668)	(18,937)	(224)	Florida	6%	6%	6%	7%	16%
Discount designated as credit reserve	(24,067)	(22,075)	(40,000) (6,614)	(10,337)	(224)	New York	6%	5%	5%	5%	8%
Unrealized gain (loss)	(24,007) 2,121	(22,073)	(6,444)	(6,414)	(160)	Georgia	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Fair value	\$71,620	\$65,134	\$100,663	\$18,324	\$1,361	New Jersey	4%	4%	4%	4%	6%
Fair value / principal value	37%	42%	63%	42%	78%	Texas	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%
						Arizona	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%
FVO: Residential IGS Prime						Illinois	3%	3%	3%	3%	0%
Fair value	\$1,202	\$1,121	\$1,320	\$1,850	\$-	Colorado	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%
						Virginia	5%	5%	5%	5%	10%
Total fair value	\$72,822	\$66,255	\$101,983	\$20,174	\$1,361	Other states	24%	24%	25%	24%	30%
Current Rating						Wtd Avg Original LTV	70%	70%	70%	70%	66%
AAA	\$47,637	\$13,862	\$17,165	\$1,567	\$328	Original LTV: 0 - 50	10%	10%	10%	10%	15%
AA	15,172	32,822	49,022	3,661	639	Original LTV: 50.01 - 60	11%	10%	10%	10%	13%
A	4,421	11,791	23,460	9,608	74	Original LTV: 60.01 - 70	21%	20%	21%	20%	23%
BBB	5,592	7,780	12,336	5,338	320	Original LTV: 70.01 - 80	56%	58%	57%	58%	48%
Total fair value	\$72,822	\$66,255	\$101,983	\$20,174	\$1,361	Original LTV: 80.01 - 90	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	<i>\$12,022</i>	φ00,200	\$101,303	φ20,174	91,001	Original LTV: 90.01 - 100	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Security Type						Unknown	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Option ARM	\$-	S-	\$-	\$493	\$320	UTIKITOWIT	0 /8	0 76	0 /8	0 /6	0 /6
ARM	-پ 801	299	209	415	φ320	Wtd Avg FICO	744	744	743	740	722
Hybrid	68.363	56,923	83.962	7.877	260	FICO: <= 600	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Fixed	3,658	9,033	17,812	11,389	781	FICO: 601 - 620	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Total fair value	\$72,822	\$66,255	\$101,983	\$20,174	\$1,361	FICO: 621 - 640	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%
						FICO: 641 - 660	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%
AFS: Residential IGS Prime						FICO: 661 - 680	4%	5%	5%	5%	11%
Coupon income	\$2,008	\$1,883	\$1,418		\$33	FICO: 681 - 700	10%	9%	9%	10%	20%
Discount amortization	1,012	1,893	1,096	64	23	FICO: 701 - 720	12%	13%	13%	13%	19%
Total interest income	\$3.020	\$3,776	\$2,514	\$229	\$56	FICO: 721 - 740	14%	14%	14%	14%	15%
		,				FICO: 741 - 760	16%	16%	16%	16%	12%
Average amortized cost	\$90,554	\$101,489	\$109,565	\$10.357	\$1,537	FICO: 761 - 780	19%	19%	19%	18%	10%
	,			,		FICO: 781 - 800	17%	17%	16%	15%	8%
Coupon income %	8.87%	7.42%	5.18%	6.37%	8.59%	FICO: >= 801	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%
Discount amortization %	4.47%	7.46%	4.00%	2.47%	5.99%	Unknown	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Annualized interest income / avg. amt. cost	13.34%	14.88%	9.18%	8.84%	14.57%			- / -	- / -	- / -	
Annualized interest income / avg. ant. cost	13.34 /0	14.00 /6	5.1076	0.04 /0	14.57 /6	Conforming % (1)	46%	49%	49%	53%	51%
FVO: Residential IGS Prime						> \$1 MM %	40%	43 % 9%	45% 9%	7%	16%
Coupon income	\$383	\$291	\$391	\$628	S-	- 91 10101 78	11/0	576	576	1 /0	1078
Average fair value	\$303 \$1,135	\$1,231	\$1.674	\$2,283	3- S-	2nd Home %	8%	8%	8%	8%	6%
			1.7.		- Ş						
Annualized interest income / avg. fair value	134.85%	94.45%	93.47%	110.01%	-	Investment Home %	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%
Underlying Loan Characteristics (2)											
						Purchase	57%	58%	57%	54%	39%
Number of loans	31,731	46,864	49,227	37,389	271	Cash Out Refi	19%	21%	21%	26%	33%
Total loan face	\$15,665,283	\$22,246,211	\$23,330,538		\$93,771	Rate-Term Refi	24%	21%	22%	19%	28%
Average loan size	\$494	\$475	\$474	\$440	\$346	Construction	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
v						Other	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Year 2008 origination	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%					.,.	
Year 2007 origination	29%	21%	20%	7%	0%	Full Doc	55%	54%	54%	47%	40%
Year 2006 origination	0%	33%	32%	52%	0%	No Doc	5%	3%	3%	1%	0%
Year 2005 origination	21%	11%	11%	3%	0%	Other Doc (Lim, Red, Stated, etc)	40%	43%	42%	52%	58%
Year 2004 origination and earlier	50%	35%	36%	37%	100%	Unknown/Not Categorized	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%
	0070	0070	5070	0170	.0070		0 /0	570	170	570	- 70
						2-4 Family	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%
						Condo	12%	12%	12%	13%	10%
						Single Family	86%	85%	85%	86%	88%
						Other	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
						0.000	1 70	1 76	1 /0	J /6	J 78

(1) The definition of a conforming loan has significantly changed over time. For all periods shown in this table, the conforming loan definition available in January 2009 was used (which had a maximum loan balance of \$625,500).

(2) Only the loan groups providing direct cash flows to our securities are included.

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 12A: Residential Prime IGS at Redwood and Underlying Loan Characteristics

Table 12B: Residential Non-Prime IGS at Redwood and Underlying Loan Characteristics (\$ in thousands)

	2008	2008	2008	2008	2007		2008	2008	2008	2008	2007
	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4		Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4
AFS: Residential IGS Non-Prime						Southern CA	31%	31%	32%	28%	19%
Principal value	\$102,263	\$81,172	\$82,617	\$-	\$25,362	Northern CA	24%	24%	24%	19%	12%
Unamortized discount	(41,873)	(19,251)	(20,473)	-	(2,456)	Florida	10%	10%	9%	13%	9%
Discount designated as credit reserve	(7,201)	-	-	-	(12,013)	New York	5%	6%	5%	4%	7%
Unrealized gain (loss)	(11,535)	(15,296)	(6,914)	-	-	Georgia	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%
Fair value	\$41,654	\$46,625	\$55,230	\$-	\$10,893	New Jersey	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%
Fair value / principal value	41%	57%	67%	0%	43%	Texas	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%
						Arizona	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%
FVO: Residential IGS Non-Prime						Illinois	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%
Fair value	\$237	\$476	\$3,251	\$5,676	\$-	Colorado	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
						Virginia	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%
Total fair value	\$41,891	\$47,101	\$58,481	\$5,676	\$10,893	Other states	17%	17%	17%	22%	32%
Current Rating						Wtd Avg Original LTV	74%	74%	74%	76%	80%
AAA	\$29,646	\$46,625	\$57,123	\$2,899	\$8,824	Original LTV: 0 - 50	4%	4%	4%	4%	2%
AA	1,424	ψ 1 0,020	ψ01,120	φ2,000	ψ0,024	Original LTV: 50.01 - 60	7%	6%	6%	5%	3%
A	1,424		90	842		Original LTV: 60.01 - 70	19%	18%	17%	16%	10%
BBB	10,821	476	1.268	1.935	2,069	Original LTV: 70.01 - 80	63%	62%	63%	61%	57%
Total fair value	\$41,891	\$47,101	\$58,481	\$5,676	\$10,893	Original LTV: 80.01 - 90	5%	7%	7%	10%	16%
	φ4 1,69 I	φ4 <i>1</i> ,101	a00,481	a0,070	a 10,693	Original LTV: 80.01 - 90 Original LTV: 90.01 - 100	5% 2%	3%	3%	4%	10%
Security Type						Unknown	2%	3% 0%	3% 0%	4%	0%
Option ARM	\$23,841	\$32.873	\$40,962	\$804	\$564	UTKHOWH	0%	0 %	0%	0%	0%
ARM	φ23,04 I	\$32,073	\$40,90Z	φo04	φ304 0	Wtd Avg FICO	703	694	695	703	666
Hybrid	12,569	14,228	17,519	4,872	9,867	FICO: <= 600	3%	6%	5%	2%	12%
Fixed	5.481	14,220	17,515	4,072	462	FICO: 601 - 620	3%	5%	4%	2%	12 %
		-	-	-							
Total fair value	\$41,891	\$47,101	\$58,481	\$5,676	\$10,893	FICO: 621 - 640	6%	7%	7%	6%	11%
						FICO: 641 - 660	8%	9%	9%	9%	13%
AFS: Residential IGS Non-Prime			0045			FICO: 661 - 680	12%	12%	12%	14%	14%
Coupon income	\$738	\$689	\$245	\$-	\$822	FICO: 681 - 700	16%	15%	16%	16%	12%
Discount amortization	661	1,222	403	-	187	FICO: 701 - 720	14%	13%	13%	14%	9%
Total interest income	\$1,399	\$1,911	\$648	\$-	\$1,009	FICO: 721 - 740	11%	10%	11%	12%	7%
						FICO: 741 - 760	10%	10%	9%	10%	5%
Average amortized cost	\$58,691	\$62,030	\$27,615	\$-	\$35,877	FICO: 761 - 780	9%	7%	8%	9%	4%
						FICO: 781 - 800	5%	5%	5%	5%	2%
Coupon income %	5.03%	4.44%	3.55%	0.00%	9.16%	FICO: >= 801	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Discount amortization %	4.50%	7.88%	5.84%	0.00%	2.08%	Unknown	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Annualized interest income / avg. amt. cost	9.53%	12.32%	9.39%	0.00%	11.25%						
						Conforming % (1)	49%	52%	53%	60%	74%
FVO: Residential IGS Non-Prime						> \$1 MM %	16%	15%	14%	15%	9%
Coupon income	\$19	\$131	\$289	\$433	\$-						
Average fair value	\$337	\$1,505	\$4,384	\$10,253	\$-	2nd Home %	6%	6%	6%	7%	3%
Annualized interest income / avg. fair value	22.96%	34.91%	26.39%	16.88%	-	Investment Home %	5%	5%	5%	11%	5%
Underlying Loan Characteristics (2)						Purchase	39%	39%	40%	35%	48%
ondenying Loan Characteristics (2)						Cash Out Refi	39% 47%	39% 48%	40% 47%	35% 44%	48%
Number of loans	18,516	19,448	22,017	9,452	14,276	Cash Out Reli Rate-Term Refi	47% 14%	48% 13%	47%	44% 21%	38% 14%
Total loan face	\$8,485,275	19,448 \$8,314,102	\$9,363,041	9,452 \$3,528,453	\$3,533,144	Construction	14%	0%	0%	21%	14%
Average loan size	\$8,485,275 \$458	\$8,314,102 \$428	\$9,363,041 \$425	\$3,528,453 \$373	\$3,533,144 \$247	Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Average rodit Size	φ 4 08	 \$428	φ 4 ∠5	 4 3 7 3	φ24 <i>1</i>	Julei	0%	0%	0%	0%	U%
Year 2008 origination	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Full Doc	25%	25%	24%	16%	45%
Year 2007 origination	7%	4%	9%	35%	88%	No Doc	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%
Year 2006 origination	19%	28%	28%	50%	6%	Other Doc (Lim, Red, Stated, etc)	73%	75%	74%	78%	54%
Year 2005 origination	39%	34%	31%	6%	0%	Unknown/Not Categorized	0%	0%	1%	4%	0%
Year 2004 origination and earlier	35%	34%	32%	9%	6%	-					
-						2-4 Family	4%	4%	4%	6%	7%
						Condo	10%	10%	10%	11%	7%
						Single Family	86%	86%	86%	83%	86%
						Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

The definition of a conforming loan has significantly changed over time. For all periods shown in this table, the conforming loan definition available in January 2009 was used (which had a maximum loan balance of \$625,500).
 Only the loan groups providing direct cash flows to our securities are included.

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 12B: Residential Non-Prime IGS at Redwood and Underlying Loan Characteristics

Table 12C: Residential Prime CES at Redwood and Underlying Loan Characteristics (\$ in thousands)

	2008	2008	2008	2008	2007		2008	2008	2008	2008	2007
	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4		Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4
AFS: Residential Prime CES						Southern CA	25%	25%	25%	26%	26%
Principal value	\$343,900	\$360,863	\$390,128	\$537,214	\$528,745	Northern CA	22%	23%	23%	23%	23%
Unamortized discount	(32,032)	(29,550)	(48,898)	(60,335)	(76,633)	Florida	5%	5%	5%	6%	6%
Discount designated as credit reserve	(284,379)	(286,616)	(251,942)	(358,334)	(287,716)	New York	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%
Unrealized loss	(5,559)	(3,283)	(9,984)	(40,739)	(36,784)	Georgia	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Fair value	\$21,930	\$41,414	\$79.304	\$77,806	\$127,612	New Jersey	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Fair value / principal value	6%	11%	20%	14%	24%	Texas	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%
						Arizona	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
FVO: Residential Prime CES						Illinois	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Fair value	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	Colorado	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
						Virginia	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%
Total fair value	\$21,930	\$41,414	\$79,304	\$77,806	\$127,612	Other states	23%	22%	23%	22%	21%
Current Rating						Wtd Avg Original LTV	68%	69%	69%	69%	69%
BB	\$7,488	\$12,169	\$29,714	\$24,647	\$49,935	Original LTV: 0 - 50	14%	14%	14%	13%	13%
в	4,700	12,362	20,928	21,538	41,150	Original LTV: 50.01 - 60	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%
Unrated	9,742	16,883	28,662	31,621	36,527	Original LTV: 60.01 - 70	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%
Total fair value	\$21,930	\$41,414	\$79,304	\$77,806	\$127.612	Original LTV: 70.01 - 80	48%	49%	49%	50%	50%
		• • • • • • •			· · · · · · · · ·	Original LTV: 80.01 - 90	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Security Type						Original LTV: 90.01 - 100	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Option ARM	\$-	S-	\$-	\$6.841	\$16.827	Unknown	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
ARM	1,779	3.293	4.950	4.370	16,180		0,0	0,0	0,0	070	0,0
Hybrid	12,924	14,505	49.829	47.858	72,704	Wtd Avg FICO	735	748	748	736	736
Fixed	7,227	23,616	24,525	18,737	21,901	FICO: <= 600	<1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	\$21,930	\$41,414	\$79,304	\$77,806	\$127,612	FICO: 601 - 620	<1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Total fair value	\$21,930	\$41,414	\$79,304	\$77,806	\$127,012		<1%	1%	0% 1%		1%
AFS: Residential Prime CES						FICO: 621 - 640	3%	2%	2%	1% 3%	3%
	\$4,598	\$4,975	\$6,428	\$7,110	\$7,013	FICO: 641 - 660 FICO: 661 - 680	3% 5%	2% 5%	2% 5%	3% 5%	3% 7%
Coupon income	\$4,598 1,247	\$4,975	ან,428 5,511	\$7,110 9,490	\$7,013	FICO: 681 - 680 FICO: 681 - 700	5% 8%	5% 9%	5% 9%	5% 10%	10%
Discount amortization											
Total interest income	\$5,846	\$7,764	\$11,939	\$16,600	\$19,534	FICO: 701 - 720	13%	12%	12%	13%	13%
						FICO: 721 - 740	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%
Average amortized cost	\$42,974	\$85,314	\$111,860	\$164,621	\$159,699	FICO: 741 - 760	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%
						FICO: 761 - 780	19%	19%	19%	18%	18%
Coupon income %	42.80%	23.32%	22.98%	17.27%	17.57%	FICO: 781 - 800	14%	14%	14%	13%	14%
Discount amortization %	11.61%	13.08%	19.71%	23.06%	31.36%	FICO: >= 801	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%
Annualized interest income / avg. amt. cost	54.41%	36.40%	42.69%	40.34%	48.93%	Unknown	3%	3%	3%	3%	0%
FVO: Residential Prime CES						Conforming % (1)	55%	24%	25%	25%	26%
Coupon income	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	> \$1 MM %	7%	8%	8%	10%	10%
Average fair value	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-						
Annualized interest income / avg. fair value	-	-	-	-	-	2nd Home %	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%
						Investment Home %	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
Underlying Loan Characteristics						Purchase	43%	43%	42%	42%	42%
						Cash Out Refi	21%	21%	21%	24%	25%
Number of loans	226,529	247,449	262,263	303,657	305,272	Rate-Term Refi	36%	36%	35%	33%	32%
Total loan face	\$98,684,840		\$107,284,052		\$126,820,985	Construction	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Average loan size	\$436	\$408	\$409	\$419	\$415	Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
-											
Year 2008 origination	<1%	<1%	0%	0%	0%	Full Doc	54%	53%	53%	49%	52%
Year 2007 origination	7%	6%	6%	8%	7%	No Doc	4%	7%	7%	7%	7%
Year 2006 origination	15%	11%	11%	13%	13%	Other Doc (Lim, Red, Stated, etc)	39%	37%	37%	41%	41%
Year 2005 origination	17%	20%	20%	22%	23%	Unkown	3%	3%	3%	3%	0%
Year 2004 origination and earlier	61%	62%	63%	56%	57%						
						2-4 Family	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
						Condo	10%	11%	11%	11%	2%
						Single Family	88%	88%	88%	87%	11%
						Other	1%	0%	0%	0%	87%

(1) The definition of a conforming loan has significantly changed over time. For all periods shown in this table, the conforming loan definition available during the corresponding period was used. For December 31, 2008, the conforming loan definition available in January 2009 was used (which had a maximum loan balance of \$625,500).

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008 Table 12C: Residential Prime CES at Redwood and Underlying Loan Characteristics



Table 12D: Residential Non-Prime CES at Redwood and Underlying Loan Characteristics (\$ in thousands)

	2008	2008	2008	2008	2007		2008	2008	2008	2008	2007
	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4		Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4
AFS: Residential CES Non-Prime						Southern CA	31%	30%	30%	27%	28%
Principal value	\$420,866	\$260,142	\$319,067	\$240,997	\$262,684	Northern CA	22%	22%	22%	19%	19%
Unamortized discount	(1,054)	(10,067)	(14,411)	(1,364)	(13,809)	Florida	10%	10%	10%	11%	11%
Discount designated as credit reserve	(415,820)	(247,798)	(296,986)	(227,820)	(222,416)	New York	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%
Unrealized gain (loss)	3,269	2,040	(142)	(1,762)	(3,062)	Georgia	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Fair value	\$7,261	\$4,317	\$7,528	\$10,051	\$23,397	New Jersey	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Fair value / principal value	2%	2%	2%	4%	9%	Texas	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
						Arizona	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%
FVO: Residential CES Non-Prime						Illinois	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Fair value	\$981	\$1,901	\$357	\$341	\$-	Colorado	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
						Virginia	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%
Total fair value	\$8,242	\$6,218	\$7,885	\$10,392	\$23,397	Other states	19%	19%	19%	23%	22%
Current Rating						Wtd Avg Original LTV	74%	77%	77%	80%	78%
BB	\$748	\$175	\$459	\$427	\$2,901	Original LTV: 0 - 50	5%	5%	4%	3%	3%
В	3,207	1,928	1,356	2,220	7,642	Original LTV: 50.01 - 60	7%	7%	6%	5%	5%
Unrated	4,287	4,115	6,070	7,745	12,854	Original LTV: 60.01 - 70	19%	19%	18%	13%	14%
Total fair value	\$8,242	\$6,218	\$7,885	\$10,392	\$23,397	Original LTV: 70.01 - 80	59%	58%	60%	61%	60%
						Original LTV: 80.01 - 90	7%	8%	8%	13%	12%
Security Type						Original LTV: 90.01 - 100	3%	3%	3%	5%	5%
Option ARM	\$5,061	\$3,943	\$6,744	\$7,798	\$19,644	Unknown	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
ARM	-	-	-	116	151						
Hybrid	2,257	2,220	1,085	1,962	2,903	Wtd Avg FICO	708	705	703	688	692
Fixed	924	55	56	516	699	FICO: <= 600	2%	4%	4%	6%	5%
Total fair value	\$8,242	\$6,218	\$7,885	\$10,392	\$23,397	FICO: 601 - 620	2%	3%	3%	4%	4%
						FICO: 621 - 640	5%	5%	6%	8%	7%
AFS: Residential CES Non-Prime						FICO: 641 - 660	7%	8%	8%	11%	10%
Coupon income	\$4,387	\$2,602	\$2,189	\$3,216	\$4,094	FICO: 661 - 680	11%	11%	12%	15%	15%
Discount amortization	780	2,394	177	2,079	1,153	FICO: 681 - 700	16%	16%	16%	15%	14%
Total interest income	\$5,167	\$4,996	\$2,367	\$5,295	\$5,247	FICO: 701 - 720	15%	14%	14%	12%	12%
						FICO: 721 - 740	13%	13%	12%	10%	9%
Average amortized cost	\$3,563	\$3,570	\$10,236	\$25,772	\$38,788	FICO: 741 - 760	11%	11%	11%	8%	8%
						FICO: 761 - 780	10%	9%	9%	6%	6%
Coupon income %	492.46%	291.56%	85.56%	49.91%	42.22%	FICO: 781 - 800	6%	5%	5%	4%	4%
Discount amortization %	87.53%	268.20%	6.92%	32.27%	11.89%	FICO: >= 801	2%	1%	1%	1%	5%
Annualized interest income / avg. amt. cost	579.99%	559.76%	92.48%	82.18%	54.11%	Unknown	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%
FVO: Residential CES Non-Prime						Conforming % (1)	54%	37%	41%	50%	49%
Coupon income	\$217	\$223	\$71	\$128	\$-	> \$1 MM %	20%	19%	17%	12%	13%
Average fair value	\$1,531	\$1,468	\$2,595	\$576	\$-						
Annualized interest income / avg. fair value	56.76%	60.84%	10.88%	88.89%	-	2nd Home %	7%	7%	6%	5%	6%
5						Investment Home %	7%	7%	9%	10%	11%
Underlying Loan Characteristics											
Number of lands	70.004	70 / / /	400.000	74 004	70.050	Purchase	34%	36%	37%	38%	37%
Number of loans Total loan face	72,621	78,441	103,292 \$38,167,452	74,301	73,658	Cash Out Refi Rate-Term Refi	45%	45% 19%	44%	43%	44% 19%
	\$29,701,856 \$409	\$30,954,928 \$395	\$38,167,452 \$370	\$23,601,231 \$318	\$22,895,942 \$311	Construction	21% 0%	19%	18% 0%	18% 0%	19%
Average loan size	\$409	გ აყე	\$370	\$318	\$311	Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Year 2008 origination	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Oulei	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Year 2008 origination Year 2007 origination	37%	34%	26%	32%	26%	Full Doc	23%	24%	24%	27%	25%
Year 2006 origination	28%	34%	20%	29%	32%	No Doc	23%	24%	24%	21%	25%
Year 2005 origination	20%	22%	28%	23%	23%	Other Doc (Lim, Red, Stated, etc)	71%	71%	69%	66%	69%
Year 2004 origination and earlier	13%	13%	16%	17%	19%	Unknown/Not Categorized	1%	1%	4%	7%	5%
real 2001 origination and carrier	1370	1070	10 /8	17 /0	1370	charles in Not Oalegonzed	176	1 70	-+ /0	1 70	578
						2-4 Family	4%	4%	5%	5%	5%
						Condo	10%	10%	11%	10%	10%
						Single Family	86%	86%	85%	85%	84%
						Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(1) The definition of a conforming loan has significantly changed over time. For all periods shown in this table, the conforming loan definition available during the corresponding period was used. For December 31, 2008, the conforming loan definition available in January 2009 was used (which had a maximum loan balance of \$625,500).

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 12D: Residential Non-Prime CES at Redwood and Underlying Loan Characteristics

Table 13: Residential Real Estate Loan Characteristics(\$ in thousands)

	2008	2008	2008	2008	2007	2007	2007	2007	2006
	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4
Residential loans	\$4,617,269	\$6,070,083	\$6,322,868	\$6,702,726	\$7,106,018	\$7,546,529	\$8,256,759	\$8,582,964	\$9,212,002
Number of loans	15,203	18,037	18,706	19,801	21,000	21,981	24,452	25,579	27,695
Average loan size	\$304	\$337	\$338	\$339	\$338	\$343	\$338	\$336	\$333
Average loan size	\$304	φ 3 37	4000	\$339	4000	φ343	4330	4 330	\$ 333
Adjustable %	85%	67%	67%	67%	68%	69%	71%	79%	85%
Hybrid %	15%	33%	33%	33%	32%	31%	29%	20%	15%
Fixed %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Amortizing %	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	3%
Interest-only %	96%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	96%	97%
Negatively amortizing %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Southern California	12%	15%	15%	15%	14%	15%	14%	14%	13%
Northern California	9%	11%	11%	11%	10%	10%	11%	10%	10%
Florida	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	12%	12%	13%	12%
New York	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%
Georgia	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%
New Jersey	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%
Texas	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Arizona	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%
Illinois	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Colorado	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%
Virginia	4 %	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4 %
Other states (none greater than 3%)	33%	31%	30%	30%	31%	31%	31%	30%	31%
Y 0000 · · · ·	201	201	201		201	201			00/
Year 2008 origination	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Year 2007 origination	2%	13%	13%	13%	13%	12%	11%	3%	0%
Year 2006 origination	15%	21%	21%	20%	20%	19%	18%	19%	17%
Year 2005 origination	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Year 2004 origination or earlier	79%	61%	61%	62%	62%	64%	66%	73%	78%
Wtd Avg Original LTV	68%	69%	69%	69%	69%	68%	68%	68%	68%
Original LTV: 0 - 50	17%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	16%
Original LTV: 50 - 60	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	12%	12%
Original LTV: 60 - 70	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	19%	20%	20%	20%
Original LTV: 70 - 80	46%	49%	49%	49%	48%	48%	47%	46%	45%
Original LTV: 80 - 90	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Original LTV: 90 - 100	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%
Wtg Avg FICO	732	732	732	732	732	732	732	727	733
FICO: <= 600	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
FICO: 601 -620	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
FICO: 621 - 640	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
FICO: 641 -660	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
FICO: 661 - 680	7%	7%	8%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	8%
FICO: 681 - 700	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%
FICO: 701 - 720	13%	13%	14%	13%	14%	13%	14%	14%	14%
FICO: 721 - 740	13%	13%	14%	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%
FICO: 741 - 760	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%
FICO: 761 - 780	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%
FICO: 781 - 800	12%	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	12%	12%
FICO: >= 801	3%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%
Conforming % (1)	52%	34%	33%	34%	34%	35%	35%	37%	38%
% balance in loans > \$1mm per loan	14%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	16%	18%
2nd home %	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%
Investment home %	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Purchase	34%	36%	36%	36%	36%	36%	35%	35%	34%
Cash out refinance	34%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	32%	31%	32%
Rate-term refinance	31%	30%	30%	30%	30%	31%	31%	32%	32%
Other	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%

(1) The definition of a conforming loan has significantly changed over time. For all periods shown in this table, the conforming loan definition available during the corresponding period was used. For December 31, 2008, the conforming loan definition available in January 2009 was used (which had a maximum loan balance of \$625,500).

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 13: Other Real Estate Investments and
Underlying Characteristics at Redwood

Table 14: Commercial Real Estate Loans Credit Performance (\$ in thousands)

		Managed Loans	Internally- Designated Credit Reserve	External Credit Enhancement			Seriously Delinquent Loans		Total Credit	Third Parties' Share of Net Charge-offs/ (Recoveries)	Redwood's Share of Net Charge-offs/ (Recoveries)	Total Credit Losses As % of Loans (Annualized)
Total Managed Commercia	Q4: 2006 2006	\$57,789,159 57,789,159	\$303,481 303,481	\$472,669 472,669	\$776,150 776,150					\$1,132 4,355	\$24 52 1	
Portfolio	Q1:	57,450,042	304,955	551,917	856,872	1.49%	77,726	0.14%	2,688	1,417	1,271	0.02%
	2007 Q2:	63,626,147	321,234	584,706	905,940	1.42%	73,104	0.10%	72	30	42	0.00%
	2007 Q3:	65,030,244	320,987	577,447	898,434	1.38%	181,473	0.28%	680	408	272	0.00%
	2007 Q4:	61,776,102	328,945	427,868	756,813	1.23%	183,093	0.30%	1,952	1,171	781	0.01%
	2007 2007	61,776,102		427,868	756,813				5,392	3,026	2,366	
	Q1: (1 2008		389,014	63,299	452,313				42	4	38	
	Q2: 2008	49,370,254	395,113	63,297	458,410					8,254	5,502	
	Q3: 2008	49,028,984	481,286	63,297	544,583				6,508	3,775	2,733	
	Q4: 2008	48,703,440	507,673	63,196	570,869					1,069	713	
	2008	\$48,703,440	\$507,673	\$63,196	\$570,869	1.17%	\$561,676	5 1.15%	\$22,088	\$13,102	\$8,986	0.05%
Commercial Real Estate Loans		\$38,360 38,360 38,394	\$8,141 8,141 10,489	\$0	\$8,141 8,141 10,489	21.22% 21.22% 27.32%		0.00%	\$0 35	\$0	\$0 35	
	2007 Q2:	38,311	10,489	-	10,489			 0.00% 	-	-		- 0.00%
	2007 Q3:	38,224	10,489	-	10,489	34.07%		- 0.00%	-	-		- 0.00%
	2007 Q4:	38,111	10,489	-	10,489	27.52%		- 0.00%	-	-		- 0.00%
	2007 2007 Q1: (1	38,111) 10,645	10,489 10,626	-	10,489 10,626			- 0.00%	-			- 0.00%
	2008 Q2: 2008	10,643	10,626	-	10,626	99.84%		- 0.00%	-	-		- 0.00%
	Q3: 2008	10,642	10,626	-	10,626	99.85%		- 0.00%	-	-		- 0.00%
	Q4: 2008	10,640	10,626	-	10,626	99.87%		- 0.00%	-	-		- 0.00%
	2000 2008	\$10,640	\$10,626	\$0	\$10,626	99.87%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Commercia CES	Q4: 2006	\$57,750,799	\$295,340	\$472,669	\$768,009	1.33%	\$64,367	0.11%	\$1,156	\$1,132	\$24	0.01%
CE3	2006 Q1:	57,750,799 57,411,648	295,340 294,466	472,669 551,917	768,009 846,383	1.33% 1.47%				4,355 1,417	486 1,271	
	2007 Q2: 2007	63,587,836	310,745	584,706	895,451	1.41%	73,104	0.10%	72	30	42	0.00%
	Q3: 2007	64,999,460	310,498	577,447	887,945	1.37%	181,473	0.28%	680	408	272	0.00%
	Q4: 2007	61,737,991	318,456	427,868	746,324	1.21%	183,093	0.30%	1,952	1,171	781	0.01%
	2007	61,737,991) 54,735,936	318,456 378,388	427,868 63,299	746,324 441,687	1.21% 0.81%			5,392 42	3,026 4	2,366 38	
	2008 Q2: 2008	49,359,611	384,487	63,297	447,784	0.91%	390,117	0.79%	13,756	8,254	5,502	0.03%
	2008 Q3: 2008	49,018,342	470,660	63,297	533,957	1.09%	472,840	0.96%	6,508	3,775	2,733	0.01%
	2008 Q4: 2008	48,692,800	497,047	63,196	560,243	1.15%	561,676	1.15%	1,782	1,069	713	0.00%
	2000 2008	\$48,692,800	\$497,047	\$63,196	\$560,243	1.15%	\$561,676	1.15%	\$22,088	\$13,102	\$8,986	0.05%

(1) As of January 1, 2008 balances includes loans and CES held by Redwood only.

(2) The credit reserve on commercial real estate loans is only available to absorb losses on our commercial real estate loan portfolio. Internally-designated credit reserves and external credit enhancement are only available to absorb losses on commercial CES. The credit enhancement balances shown above do not include pari passu CES owned by others. If we had included these amounts, the total credit protection would increase to 1.55% for commercial CES compared to the 1.15% shown in the table above.

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 14: Commercial Real Estate Loans Credit Performance



Table 15: Commercial CES at Redwood Underlying Loan Characteristics (all \$ in thousands)

	2008	2008	2008	2008
	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
Commercial loans	\$48,692,800	\$49,018,342	\$49,359,611	\$54,735,936
Number of loans	3,286	3,310	3,351	3,407
Average face value	\$14,779	\$14,809	\$14,758	\$14,629
State Distribution				
CA	16%	15%	15%	15%
NY	13%	13%	13%	13%
ТХ	9%	9%	9%	9%
VA	5%	5%	5%	5%
FL	6%	6%	6%	6%
Other	51%	52%	52%	52%
Property Type Distribution				
Office	39%	38%	39%	39%
Retail	28%	28%	28%	28%
Multi-family	16%	16%	16%	16%
Hospitality	7%	7%	7%	7%
Self-storage	3%	3%	3%	3%
Industrial	3%	4%	4%	4%
Other	4%	4%	4%	4%
Weighted average LTV	68%	68%	70%	70%
Weighted average debt service coverage ratio	1.60	1.60	1.62	1.60

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 15: Commercial CES at Redwood Underlying Loan Characteristics

Table 16: Securities at Redwood Fair Value as a % of Principal (\$ in millions)¹

otal CDO	\$-	0%	\$4	20%		\$-	0%	\$-	0%	\$-	0%	\$4	20%
DO - CES Total	-	-	4	20%		\$-	-	-	-	-	-	\$4	20%
AA	\$-	0%		20%		\$-	0%	\$-	0%	\$-	0%		20%
<u>0</u> :DO - IGS													
	ψIU	21/0	<i>45</i>	1 /0		ψ17	1 /0	ψŪ	1 /0	φ-	0 /0	<i>ψ</i> 4Ζ	0 /0
otal CMBS	\$10	21%	\$9	7%		\$17	7%	\$6	7%	\$-	0%	\$42	8%
Comm - CES Total	10	21%	9	7%		17	7%	6	7%	-			8%
NR	- 8	21%	- 9	0% 7%		14	6%	2	6%	-	0%		8%
В	\$2 -	20%	ə- -	0%		ه- 3	0% 11%		10%	\$- _	0%		14%
Comm - CES BB	\$2	20%	¢	0%		\$ -	0%	¢٦	11%	S-	0%	¢o	14%
otal Non-Prime	\$1	2%	\$26	27%		\$6	9%	\$17	5%	\$-	0%	\$50	9%
Resi - CES Total	1	2%	1	4%		-	0%	6	2%	-	0%	8	
NR	1	2%	1	4%		-	0%	2	1%	-	0%	4	
В	-	0%	-	0 /0		-	0%	3	4%	-	0%		3%
BB	-	0%	-			-	0%	1	3%	-	0%		3%
lesi - IGS Total	-	0%	25	41%	-	6	35%	11	43%	-	0%	42	40%
BBB	-	0%		0%		-	0%	11	43%	-			41%
AA	-	0%		59%		-	0%	-	0%	-	0%		59%
n <u>-Prime</u> Resi - IGS AAA	\$-	0%	\$24	41%		\$6	35%	\$-	0%	\$-	0%	\$30	39%
otal Prime	\$34	14%	\$43	29%		\$8	15%	\$9	14%	\$1	4%	\$95	18%
							6%						6%
NR Resi - CES Total	9 18	8% 10%	1	2%		- 1	0%	1 1	1% 1%	-	0% 0%		5%
B	4	12%	-	0%		-	0%	-	0%	-	0%		9%
BB	\$5	14%		5%		\$1	6%	\$-	0%	\$-	0%		9%
Resi - CES													
tesi - IGS Total	16	25%	41	47%		7	42%	8	53%	1	10%	73	37%
BBB	3	17%	0	7%			0%	2	35%	-	0%	5	17%
A	4	18%				-	0%	-	0%	-	0%		17%
AAA AA	\$1 8	15% 32%		67% 23%		\$7	42% 0%	\$6	63% 0%	\$- 1	0% 10%	\$48 16	62% 25%
<u>me</u> Resi - IGS	•	150/	AC -	070/		-	4004		0001	-	0.01		000
		Value	%		Value	%		Value	%	Value	%	Value	9

(1) Certain categories have market values of less than one million and significant face values. For example, Non-Prime Resi CES from the 2006 vintage is carried at only \$106 thousand and has a face value of \$47 million.

THE REDWOOD REVIEW 4TH QUARTER 2008

Table 16: Securities at Redwood Fair Value as a % of Principal

Redwood Trust Corporate Information

Executive Officers:

George E. Bull, III Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Martin S. Hughes President, Chief Financial Officer, and Co-Chief Operating Officer

Brett D. Nicholas Chief Investment Officer and Co-Chief Operating Officer

Harold F. Zagunis Chief Risk Officer and Managing Director

Stock Listing:

The Company's common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol RWT

Corporate Office:

One Belvedere Place, Suite 300 Mill Valley, California 94941 Telephone: (415) 389-7373

Investor Relations:

Mike McMahon Managing Director Paula Kwok Assistant Vice President IR Hotline: (866) 269-4976 Email: investorrelations@redwoodtrust.com

For more information about Redwood Trust, please visit our website at: www.redwoodtrust.com

Directors:

George E. Bull, III Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Richard D. Baum Executive Director, California Commission for Economic Development

Thomas C. Brown COO, McGuire Real Estate and Principal Shareholder, Urban Bay Properties, Inc.

Mariann Byerwalter Chairman, JDN Corporate Advisory LLC

Douglas B. Hansen Private Investor

Greg H. Kubicek President, The Holt Group, Inc.

Diane L. Merdian Private Investor

Georganne C. Proctor Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, TIAA-CREF

Charles J. Toeniskoetter Chairman, Toeniskoetter & Breeding, Inc. Development Chairman & CEO, Toeniskoetter Construction, Inc.

David L. Tyler Private Investor

Transfer Agent: Computershare 2 North LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: (888) 472-1955